# **EXHIBIT 1**

# PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND VOLUNTARY INJUNCTION

#### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

**Debtors.**<sup>1</sup>

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, et al.,

Defendants.

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-23649 (RDD)

(Jointly Administered)

Adv. Pro. No. 19-08289

#### NINTH AMENDED ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) GRANTING MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Upon the motion, dated September 18, 2019 ("September 18 Motion"), of Purdue

Pharma L.P. and certain affiliated debtors, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively,

"Debtors"), that are plaintiffs in this adversary proceeding, for an order pursuant to

section 105(a) of title 11 of the United States Code ("Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 7065 of the

Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure ("Bankruptcy Rules"), to (i) enjoin the governmental

defendants in this adversary proceeding ("Governmental Defendants") from the

commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's registration number in the applicable jurisdiction, are as follows: Purdue Pharma L.P. (7484), Purdue Pharma Inc. (7486), Purdue Transdermal Technologies L.P. (1868), Purdue Pharma Manufacturing L.P. (3821), Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. (0034), Imbrium Therapeutics L.P. (8810), Adlon Therapeutics L.P. (6745), Greenfield BioVentures L.P. (6150), Seven Seas Hill Corp. (4591), Ophir Green Corp. (4594), Purdue Pharma of Puerto Rico (3925), Avrio Health L.P. (4140), Purdue Pharmaceutical Products L.P. (3902), Purdue Neuroscience Company (4712), Nayatt Cove Lifescience Inc. (7805), Button Land L.P. (7502), Rhodes Associates L.P. (N/A), Paul Land Inc. (7425), Quidnick Land L.P. (7584), Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. (6166), Rhodes Technologies (7143), UDF LP (0495), SVC Pharma LP (5717) and SVC Pharma Inc. (4014). The Debtors' corporate headquarters is located at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

proceedings against the Debtors that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case ("**Governmental Actions**") that are included in the chart annexed hereto as Appendix III, as well as the commencement or continuation of any other actions against the Debtors alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in the Governmental Actions, and (ii) enjoin the Governmental Defendants and the private defendants

("**Private Defendants**") in this adversary proceeding from the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings, included in the chart annexed hereto as Appendix IV, and the commencement or continuation of other actions alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in the actions identified in Appendix III and Appendix IV, against current or former (a) owners (including any trusts and their respective trustees and beneficiaries), (b) directors, (c) officers, (d) employees, and (e) other similar associated entities of the Debtors that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case ("**Related Parties**," as identified in Appendix IV,<sup>2</sup> and the claims

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Related Parties are: The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Pharma Technologies Inc.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings Inc.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; BR Holdings Associates Inc.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, Inc.; Beacon Company; PRA Holdings Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; MNP Consulting Limited; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Marianna Sackler; Estate of Mortimer Sackler; Estate of Raymond Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Raymond Sackler Trust; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees Under Trust Agreement Dated November 5, 1964; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees Under Trust Agreement Dated November 5, 1974; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judith Lewent; Craig Landau; Mark Timney; Stuart D. Baker; Frank Peter Boer; John Stewart; Russell Gasdia; Marv Kelly; Shelli Liston; Heather Weaver; Doug Powers; Lori Fuller; Rodney Davis; Brandon Worley; Donald Leathers; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; LeAvis Sullivan; Jeffrey Ward; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Mark Waldrop; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Carol Debord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook; James David Haddox; Aida Maxsam; Tessa Rios; Amy K. Thompson; Joe Coggins; Lyndsie Fowler; Mitchell "Chip" Fisher; Rebecca Sterling; Vanessa Weatherspoon; Chris

#### 19-9-82869-9 chold DDco 0.75.75-1 led F0/4/0.4/8/220/20/20/20/2072:5-08:548 ain Extra bit ment Preliminary Injunction an Elg/3 lon 2874/ Injunction Pg 4 of 275

against them described in this paragraph, the "Related-Party Claims"); and the Court having jurisdiction to decide the Motion and the relief requested therein under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b); and there being due and sufficient notice of the Motion; and the Court having reviewed the Complaint, the September 18 Motion, the Debtors' brief in support of the September 18 Motion, the declarations in support of the September 18 Motion, and other evidence and argument submitted by the Debtors in support thereof; all pleadings filed in support of the September 18 Motion; and all objections filed in opposition or partial opposition to the September 18 Motion, as well as all filed letters in response to the September 18 Motion; and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the September 18 Motion's request for entry of a preliminary injunction on October 11, 2019 (the "October 11 Hearing") and at the hearing held on November 6, 2019 (the "November 6 Hearing"); and, after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the Hearings, good and sufficient cause appearing having entered Orders on October 11, 2019 granting the Motion in part and on October 18, 2019 and November 6, 2019 amending such Order; and such Orders having contemplated a procedure to amend the Orders further; and having entered Orders on November 20, 2019, December 9, 2019, January 2, 2020, February 17, 2020 and March 4, 2020, amending such Orders further and enjoining actions brought by Additional Plaintiffs; and upon the Court having reviewed the Debtors' motion to extend the Preliminary Injunction for an additional 180 days filed on March 4 ("March 4 Motion," together with the September 18 Motion, the "Motions"), the declaration in support of the March 4 Motion, the Debtors' brief in support of the March 4 Motion, all pleadings filed in support of the March 4 Motion; and all

Hargrave; Brandon Hassenfuss; Joe Read; Andrew T. Stokes; Nathan C. Grace; Jaclyn P. Gatling; Leslie Roberson; Barbara C. Miller; Briann Parson-Barnes; Becca Beck Harville; Lindsey Bonifacio; Tammy Heyward; James Speed; Damon Storhoff; Diana C. Muller; and Draupadi Daley.

# 19-9-82869-9 chold DDcot 75-75-11 ed F014/01-0/20/20/20/2072-9-108:5% ain Extended and a state of the state o

objections filed in opposition or partial opposition to the March 4 Motion; and upon the record made at the telephonic hearing held on March 18, 2020 ("**March 18 Hearing**," together with the October 11 Hearing and the November 6 Hearing, the "**Hearings**"); and upon good and sufficient cause appearing to amend and extend such orders as provided therein, the Court grants the Debtors' request to amend and extend the orders as provided in this Amended Order, which amends and supersedes the prior orders. Now, therefore, the Court finds and concludes as follows:

(a) The Defendants in this adversary proceeding are the Governmental Defendants and the Private Defendants, that, along with the Additional Plaintiffs, are listed in the "Underlying Plaintiffs" column of each of the charts annexed hereto as Appendix III and Appendix IV, with such Appendices being made a part of and incorporated in this Order. The Defendants in this adversary proceeding and the Additional Plaintiffs are all plaintiffs in judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings that seek to hold the Debtors and/or the Related Parties, as identified in Appendix IV, liable in connection with claims and/or causes of action arising out of or otherwise related to the Debtors' prescription opioid business.

(b) The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

(c) The Debtors have demonstrated that the continuation of the active
 litigation against them and the Related Parties, identified in Appendix III and Appendix
 IV, respectively, would result in irreparable harm to the Debtors and their reorganization.

(d) The representatives of the Raymond Sackler family and of the Mortimer Sackler family (collectively, the "**Sackler Families**") agreed on the record at the October

11 Hearing to toll all applicable statutes of limitations and similar time limits on the commencement of Additional Actions against any member of the Sackler Families, and to treat as inoperative all deadlines (including deadlines for appeals) in any currently pending Related Party Claim against any member of the Sackler Families, for the duration of this preliminary injunction.

(e) Accordingly, this Court finds it appropriate to enter a preliminary injunction as provided herein pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 7065 of the Bankruptcy Rules.

(f) The legal and factual bases set forth in the Complaint, the Motions, other supporting papers, and at the Hearings establish just cause for the relief granted herein.

(g) Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Nevada, North Carolina, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin, the Ad Hoc Group of Non-Consenting States (as listed on the October 11, 2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 296 of Case No. 19-23649), the ad hoc committee of government and other contingent litigation claimants and each of its members (as listed on the October 10, 2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 279 of Case No. 19-23649), and the Multi-State Governmental Entities Group and each of its members<sup>3</sup> (as listed on the October 30,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The following members of the Multi-State Governmental Entities Group are not Potential Opt-Out Parties and are instead bound to the terms of this Order until October 5, 2020: (1) Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirteenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (2) Jennings H. Jones, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixteenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (3) Robert J. Carter, in his official

2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 409 of Case No. 19-23649) (collectively, the "**Potential Opt-Out Parties**") have each consented and agreed to continue to abide by the terms of the *Eighth Amended Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion For A Preliminary Injunction*, without the need to have any order entered against them.

Based on these findings, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that the Governmental Defendants, other than those who are Potential Opt-Out Parties, the Private Defendants, and the Additional Plaintiffs that have been bound by the Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Amended Orders are prohibited and enjoined from (i) the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings against the Debtors and/or Related Parties that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case under this title against the Debtors and/or the Related Parties arising from or in any way relating to the Debtors' prescription opioid business, including the actions reflected in Appendix III and Appendix IV, as well as (ii) from commencing or continuing any other actions against the Debtors or Related Parties alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in actions reflected in Appendix III and Appendix IV, in each case through and including Monday, October 5, 2020. The preliminary injunction period may be extended by further order of the Court.

ORDERED, that each Potential Opt-Out Party may withdraw its consent on July 8, 2020 (the "**Opt-Out Date**")—by filing with the Bankruptcy Court a notice (a "**Withdrawal Notice**") in the form attached hereto as Appendix II. Each Potential Opt-Out Party filing a Withdrawal

capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventeenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (4) Brent A. Cooper, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twenty-Second Judicial District, Tennessee; and (5) Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirty-First Judicial District, Tennessee.

# 19-99-82869-96 hdd DDoc 0.715.75F1 led F014/01.47/32/20/20071:9108:56 Rain Extended and a contract of the second s

Notice must send a copy of the same to the Debtors' counsel at least two business days before such filing. If any Potential Opt-Out Party files a Withdrawal Notice on the Opt-Out Date, then, no later than three business days after the Opt-Out Date, counsel for the Debtors shall submit to the Court for immediate entry a new proposed order that, upon its entry, will terminate the voluntary compliance of and instead bind each Potential Opt-Out Party that timely filed and served a Withdrawal Notice to the same terms imposed on other parties by the this Order from the Opt-Out Date until October 5, 2020. For the avoidance of doubt, entry of this order shall not impair any rights of Potential Opt-Out Parties to appeal any subsequent order entered in connection with a Withdrawal Notice as contemplated herein.

ORDERED, that the March 4 Motion constitutes a joint motion of the Debtors and UCC to extend the stay beyond the Initial Stay Period as provided in paragraph 2 of the Amended and Restated Case Stipulation Among the Debtors, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors and Certain Related Parties, *In re: Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19:23649 (RDD) (Nov. 20, 2019) [ECF No. 518] ("**UCC Stipulation**"). All obligations under the UCC Stipulation, inclusive of obligations of any Covered Party as defined therein, that remain in effect during the Stay Period, as defined therein, shall remain in full force and effect so long as the Preliminary Injunction, as amended and extended, remains in effect. For the avoidance of doubt, the Initial Stay Period as defined in the UCC Stipulation shall expire on April 8, 2020.

ORDERED, that the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases continue to be subject to the Voluntary Injunction annexed hereto as Appendix I through and including October 5, 2020.

ORDERED, that the Debtors need not give security in connection with this injunctive relief.

#### 19-9-82869-9 chold DDco 0.75.75-1 led F0/4/0.4/8/220/20/20/20/2072:5-08:548 ain Extra bit ment Preliminary Injunction an Elg/8 lon 2874/ Injunction Pg 9 of 275

ORDERED, that this Order shall be promptly filed in the Clerk's Office and entered into the record.

ORDERED, that the Debtors are authorized to take all steps necessary or appropriate to carry out this Order.

ORDERED, that nothing in this Order shall prevent the Debtors from seeking a further extension of the requested injunction.

ORDERED, that if, while the preliminary injunction provided for in this Order is effective, either (i) any inactive litigation currently pending against the Debtors or Related Parties becomes active, or (ii) any new action is commenced against the Debtors or Related Parties (in either case, an "Additional Action"), the Debtors may promptly serve the plaintiff or plaintiffs in such Additional Action ("Applicable Plaintiff") with a copy of the Complaint, the Motions, the Debtors' memoranda of law in support of the Motions, and this Order (the "Service Documents"). The Debtors shall file a notice of such service on the docket promptly after service. If the Applicable Plaintiff in such Additional Action does not file and serve an objection within seven (7) days of service of the Service Documents, the Court may determine whether such Additional Action should be enjoined pursuant to this Order without further proceedings. If the Applicable Plaintiff files and serves an objection, the Debtors shall have the right to file and serve a response to the objection within seven (7) days of service of the objection, after which the Court may determine whether such Additional Action should be enjoined pursuant to this Order without further proceedings, or either party may seek to schedule and provide notice of a hearing.

ORDERED, that all applicable statutes of limitations and similar time limits on the commencement of Additional Actions, and all deadlines (including deadlines for appeals) in

any currently pending Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or action brought by an Additional Plaintiff (including as agreed on the record at the October 11 Hearing by the representatives of the Sackler Families), shall be tolled or otherwise inoperative for the duration of this preliminary injunction. This is without prejudice to any party's rights to assert that any currently pending Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or claim brought by an Additional Plaintiff is time barred, or that commencement of any Additional Action, or any other action taken by a party with respect to any Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or Additional Plaintiff after the entry of this Order would have been time barred or untimely had it been commenced or taken before the entry of this Order.

ORDERED, that nothing in this Order shall affect or abrogate the automatic stay as to the Debtors under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code.

ORDERED, that the time for all defendants to answer the Complaint is extended to October 19, 2020, subject to further extension by agreement of the parties and/or order of the Court. All claims and defenses of the parties, including those under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure made applicable to this proceeding by Rule 7012 of the Bankruptcy Rules, are expressly preserved.

ORDERED, that the Pre-Trial Conference in this adversary proceeding, currently scheduled for April 8, 2020 at 10:00 am (Prevailing Eastern Time), is adjourned to October 19, 2020 at 10:00 am (Prevailing Eastern Time) before the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas St., White Plains, New York, NY 10601.

# 19-99-82869-96rdd DDcot 75-75-11ed F014/04/92/20/2012 Dte feet 6/4/04/92/20/2072 Dte feet 6/4/04/92/2072 Dte feet 6/4/04/04/20720

ORDERED, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters

arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

Dated: April 14, 2020 White Plains, New York

# /s/Robert D. Drain

THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE 19-9828849etroid DDcc 12.75F11ed F014/14/25/20/2012012012012012012018:548ain Extra bit ment Preliminary Injunction an Elg/101uot 201741njunction Pg 12 of 275

# Appendix I

**Voluntary Injunction** 

## I. **DEFINITIONS**

- A. "Bankruptcy Court" or "Court" shall mean the court presiding over the chapter 11 proceedings *In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19-23649-RDD (S.D.N.Y.).
- B. "Cancer-Related Pain Care" shall mean care that provides relief from pain caused by active cancer or ongoing cancer treatment, as distinguished from treatment provided during remission.
- C. "CDC Guideline Recommendations" shall mean the 12 enumerated Recommendations published by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the prescribing of opioid pain medication for patients 18 and older in primary care settings as part of its 2016 Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain (CDC Guidelines), as updated or amended by the CDC.
- D. "Company" shall mean the Debtors as defined in these chapter 11 proceedings *In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19-23649-RDD (S.D.N.Y.).
- E. "Direct Customer Data" shall mean transaction information that the Company collects relating to the Company's direct customers' orders, including direct customer's wholesale orders, order history, and customer files.
- F. "Downstream Customer Data" shall mean transaction information that the Company collects relating to the Company's direct customers' sales to downstream customers, including chargeback data tied to the Company providing certain discounts, "867 data," and IQVIA data.
- G. "End-of-Life Care" shall mean care for persons with a terminal illness or at high risk for dying in the near future in hospice care, hospitals, long-term care settings, or at home.
- H. "Health Care Provider" shall mean any U.S.-based physician, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, dentist, pharmacist, podiatrist, nurse, or other person engaged in the business of providing health care services and/or prescribing an Opioid Product and any medical facility, practice, hospital, clinic, or pharmacy engaged in providing health care services and/or prescribing an Opioid Product in the United States.
- I. "Including but not limited to," when followed by a list or examples, shall mean that list or examples are illustrative instances only and shall not be read to be restrictive.
- J. "In-Kind Support" shall mean payment or assistance in the form of goods, commodities, services, or anything else of value.
- K. "Initial Covered Sackler Persons" shall mean the Estate of Beverly Sackler, David A. Sackler, Ilene Sackler, Jonathan D. Sackler, Kathe Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, Theresa Sackler, any trusts of which any of the foregoing are beneficiaries, and the trustees thereof (solely in their capacities as such), each Shareholder Party and each other entity or person that directly or indirectly owns equity in, or has voting control over, any of the Debtors, and in the event of the death of an

Initial Covered Sackler Person who is a natural person, other than a natural person who is an Initial Covered Sackler Person solely in the capacity as a trustee, the estate of such person.

- L. "Lobby" and "Lobbying" shall have the same meaning as such terms have under U.S. federal law and the law governing the person or entity being lobbied.
- M. "Opioid(s)" shall mean all natural, semi-synthetic, or synthetic chemicals that interact with opioid receptors on nerve cells in the body and brain. The term "Opioids" shall not mean (i) methadone, buprenorphine, buprenorphine/naloxone (oral/sublingual), suboxone, and other substances when used exclusively to treat opioid or other substance use disorders, abuse, addiction, or overdose; (ii) raw materials and/or immediate precursors used in the manufacture or study of Opioids or Opioid Products, but only when such materials and/or immediate precursors are sold or marketed exclusively to DEA-licensed manufacturers or DEA-licensed researchers; or (iii) Opioids listed by the DEA as Schedule IV drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act.
- N. "Opioid Product(s)" shall mean all natural, semi-synthetic, or synthetic chemicals that interact with opioid receptors on nerve cells in the body and brain, and that are approved by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) and listed by the DEA as Schedule II or III drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act (including but not limited to codeine, fentanyl, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, meperidine, methadone, morphine, oxycodone, oxymorphone, tapentadol, tramadol, and buprenorphine for the treatment of pain). The term "Opioid Products(s)" shall not mean (i) methadone, buprenorphine, buprenorphine/naloxone (oral/sublingual), suboxone, and other substances to treat opioid or other substance use disorders, abuse, addiction, or overdose; (ii) raw materials and/or immediate precursors used in the manufacture or study of Opioids or Opioid Products, but only when such materials and/or immediate precursors are sold or marketed exclusively to DEA-licensed manufacturers or DEA-licensed researchers; or (iii) Opioid Products listed by the DEA as Schedule IV drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act.
- O. "Promote," "Promoting," and "Promotion" shall mean the dissemination of information by the Company to a Third Party that is either likely or intended to influence prescribing practices of Health Care Providers in favor of prescribing greater amounts, quantities, doses, and/or strengths of Opioid Products.
- P. "Section" shall mean, unless the context requires otherwise, a Section of this injunction.
- Q. "Suspicious Order" shall have the same meaning as provided by the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904, and the regulations promulgated thereunder and analogous state laws and regulations
- R. "Third Party" shall mean any person or entity other than the Company or a government entity.
- S. "Treatment of Pain" shall mean the provision of therapeutic modalities to alleviate or reduce pain.

T. "Unbranded Information" shall mean any information regarding an Opioid or Opioid Product that does not identify a specific product(s).

# II. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

#### A. Ban on Promotion

- 1. The Company shall not Promote Opioids or Opioid Products, including by:
  - a. Employing or contracting with sales representatives or other persons to Promote Opioids or Opioid Products to Health Care Providers or patients;
  - b. Using speakers, key opinion leaders, thought leaders, lecturers, and/or speaking events for Promotion of Opioids or Opioid Products;
  - c. Sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support to medical education programs;
  - d. Creating, sponsoring, operating, controlling, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support to any website, network and/or social or other media account for the Promotion of Opioids or Opioid Products;
  - e. Creating, sponsoring, distributing, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for materials Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products, including but not limited to brochures, newsletters, pamphlets, journals, books, and guides;
  - f. Creating, sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for advertisements that Promote Opioids or Opioid Products, including but not limited to internet advertisements or similar content, and providing hyperlinks or otherwise directing internet traffic to advertisements;
  - g. Engaging in Internet search engine optimization or other techniques designed to Promote Opioids or Opioid Products by improving rankings or making content appear among the top results in an Internet search or otherwise be more visible or more accessible to the public on the Internet; and
  - h. Engaging in Internet marketing techniques that Promote Opioids or Opioid Products by identifying or generating sales leads, including through pop up ads or information obtained from web forms completed by prospective patients or consumers.
- 2. Notwithstanding Sections II.A.1 and II.C, the Company may:
  - a. Maintain corporate websites;

#### 19-9-82869-9 dodd DDcot 75.75F1 ed F0/4/d 4/8/20/20/20/2072072508:548 in Extra bit ment Preliminary Injunction an Big/105u of 2074 njunction Pg 16 of 275

- b. Maintain a website for any Opioid Product that contains principally the following content: the FDA-approved package insert, dosage strengths, dosage forms, packaging configurations, and medication guides,; a statement directing patients or caregivers to speak with a licensed Health Care Provider; Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) materials; contact information to report an adverse event or product complaint; and/or information regarding savings programs, savings cards, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs for the Company's Opioid Products.
- c. Provide information or support the provision of information, as expressly required by (i) law, (ii) settlement agreement, (iii) court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, or (iv) any state or federal government agency, including providing all information necessary in order for the Company to comply with its regulatory obligations pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, and/or (v) provide information about legal proceedings involving the Company;
- d. Engage Health Care Providers or other Third Parties to assist the Company in responding to, preparing for, and participating in, any initiatives, advisory committees, working groups, action plans, boards, meetings and/or hearings by any state or federal government or state or federal agencies or regulators, including the Food and Drug Administration.
- e. Provide the following by mail, electronic mail, on or though the Company's corporate or product websites or through other electronic or digital methods: FDA-approved package insert, medication guide, approved labeling for Opioid Products, Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy materials, or other prescribing information or guidelines for Opioid Products that are published by a state or federal government agency with jurisdiction;
- f. Provide scientific and/or medical information in response to an unsolicited request by a Health Care Provider concerning Opioid Products by providing truthful, balanced, non-misleading, non-promotional scientific or medical information that is responsive to the specific request. Such responses should be handled by medical or scientific personnel at the Company who are independent from the sales or marketing departments;
- g. Provide a response to any unsolicited question or request from a patient or caregiver by (i) directing the patient or caregiver to the FDA-approved labeling and reviewing the prescribing information with the patient as relevant to their inquiry, and, to the extent the question cannot be answered solely by reference to a specific provision of the FDA-approved labeling, providing a response that is truthful, balanced, non-misleading and fully consistent with the FDA-approved labeling, if applicable;

(ii) recommending that the patient or caregiver speak with a licensed Health Care Provider without naming any specific provider or healthcare institution; (iii) directing the patient or caregiver to speak with their insurance carrier regarding coverage of an Opioid Product; and/or (iv) directing the patient or caregiver to information concerning savings programs, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs for the Company's Opioid Products;

- h. Provide information to a payor, formulary committee, distributor, or other similar entity with knowledge and expertise in the area of health care economics concerning the cost or availability of a Company Opioid Product, including the costs compared to the cost of an Opioid Product manufactured or distributed by another company. Such information may include information about the stocking of the Opioid Product; product attributes of the Opioid Product as described in the FDA-approved labeling; tier status; applicable prescribing guidelines that are consistent with the FDA-approved labeling; step-edits for Opioid Products; restrictions; and/or prior authorization status concerning an Opioid Product;
- i. Sponsor or provide financial support or In-Kind Support for an accredited or approved continuing medical education program required by either an FDA-approved Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy program, other federal or state law or regulation, or settlement, through an independent Third Party, which shall be responsible for determining the program's content without the participation of Company;
- j. Provide Unbranded Information in connection with managing pain in Endof-Life Care and/or Cancer-Related Pain Care relating to: the use of Opioids for the Treatment of Pain, as long as the Unbranded Information identifies Company as the source of the information; and
- k. Provide information about, discuss, or comment on, issues regarding mechanisms for preventing opioid abuse and misuse, including (i) abuse deterrent formulations and the use of blister packaging for opioid medications; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid use disorders or opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medicationassisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.
- 3. The Company shall not engage in the following specific Promotional activity relating to any products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prohibits the Company's provision or dissemination of information or activities relating to: (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose:

- a. Employing or contracting with sales representatives or other persons to Promote products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects to Health Care Providers or patients;
- b. Creating, sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for advertisements that Promote products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects, including but not limited to internet advertisements or similar content, and providing hyperlinks or otherwise directing internet traffic to advertisements; and
- c. Engaging in any other Promotion of products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects in a manner that encourages the utilization of Opioids or Opioid Products or normalizes the use of Opioids or Opioid Products for chronic pain.
- 4. Notwithstanding Section II.A.3 directly above, the Company may engage in other marketing activities for products that are indicated or used for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects, so long as such activities do not Promote Opioids or Opioid Products. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in Sections II.A.3 or 4 shall limit or otherwise restrict the ability of the Company to Promote products for occasional constipation or restrict the Company from Promoting (i) products relating to the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) products relating to the treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.
- 5. Treatment of Pain
  - a. The Company shall not engage in Promotion of the Treatment of Pain in a manner that encourages the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
  - b. The Company shall not Promote the concept that pain is undertreated in a manner that encourages the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
  - c. The Company shall not knowingly use Third Parties to engage in the Promotion of the Treatment of Pain or Promote the concept that pain is undertreated in manners that encourage the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
- 6. To the extent that the Company engages in conduct permitted by Section II.A.2 above, the Company shall do so in a manner that is:
  - a. Consistent with the CDC Guidelines Recommendations, as applicable; and
  - b. Truthful, not misleading, accurate, and not deceptive.

7. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this injunction shall be construed or used to prohibit the Company in any way whatsoever from taking legal or factual positions in litigation, the bankruptcy proceedings, investigations, regulatory actions and initiatives, or other legal or administrative proceedings, or exercising its right to legally challenge the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation, rule, or regulation, or in any way whatsoever prohibit or limit the Company's right to make public statements or respond to media reports or inquires relating to any legal, administrative, regulatory, or legislative proceedings.

# **B.** No Financial Reward or Discipline Based on Volume of Opioid Sales

- 1. The Company shall not provide financial incentives to its sales and marketing employees, or take disciplinary actions against its sales and marketing employees, that are directly based on, or tied to, sales volume or sales quotas for Opioid Products, unless otherwise permitted by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 2. The Company shall not offer or pay any remuneration directly or through a Third Party, to or from any person in return for the prescribing, sale, use or distribution of Opioid Product. For the avoidance of doubt, this shall not prohibit the provision of rebates and/or chargebacks.

# C. Ban on Funding/Grants to Third Parties to Promote Opioids

- 1. The Company shall not provide financial support or In-Kind Support to any Third Party for purposes of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from directly or indirectly supporting Third Parties as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.
- 2. The Company shall not operate, control, create, sponsor, or provide financial support or In-Kind Support to any medical society or patient advocacy group for the purpose of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from supporting any medical society or patient advocacy group as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.
- 3. For the purposes of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products, the Company shall not provide links to any Third Party website or materials or otherwise distribute materials created by a Third Party relating to any Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from providing links to any Third Party website or materials or otherwise distributing materials created by a Third Parties that the Company supports as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.

- 4. The Company shall not knowingly use a Third Party, including Health Care Providers, to engage in any activity that the Company itself would be prohibited from engaging in pursuant to the injunction.
- 5. No director, officer, or management-level employee of the Company may concurrently serve as a director, board member, employee, agent, or officer of any entity that engages in Promotion relating to Opioids, Opioid Products, the Opioid-related Treatment of Pain, or products indicated to treat Opioid-related side effects.
- 6. The Company shall not advocate for the appointment of persons to the board, or hiring persons to the staff, of any entity that principally engages in the Promotion of Opioids and Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this paragraph shall prohibit the Company from fully and accurately responding to unsolicited requests or inquiries about a person's fitness to serve as an employee or Board member at any such entity.
- 7. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in Section II.C or this injunction shall be construed or used to prohibit the Company from providing financial or In-Kind Support to, or disseminating information about, Third Parties, including medical societies and patient advocate groups, who are principally involved in issues relating to (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

# **D.** Lobbying Restrictions

- 1. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby for the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation that:
  - a. Encourages or requires Health Care Providers to prescribe Opioids or sanctions Health Care Providers for failing to prescribe Opioids or failing to treat pain with Opioids;
  - b. Would have the effect of limiting access to any non-Opioid alternative pain treatments; or
  - c. Pertains to the classification of any Opioid or Opioid Product as a scheduled drug under the Controlled Substances Act.
- 2. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby against the enactment of any federal, state or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation that supports:

- a. The use of non-pharmacologic therapy and/or non-Opioid pharmacologic therapy to treat chronic pain over or instead of Opioid therapy, including but not limited to Third Party payment or reimbursement for such therapies;
- b. The use and/or prescription of immediate release Opioids instead of extended release Opioids when Opioid therapy is initiated, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such prescriptions.
- c. The prescribing of the lowest effective dose of an Opioid, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such prescription;
- d. The limitation of initial prescriptions of Opioids to treat acute pain;
- e. The prescribing and other means of distribution of naloxone to minimize the risk of overdose, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for naloxone.
- f. The use of urine testing before starting Opioid therapy and annual urine testing when Opioids are prescribed, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such testing;
- g. Evidence-based treatment (such as using medication-assisted treatment with buprenorphine or methadone in combination with behavioral therapies) for Opioid Use Disorder, including but not limited to third party reimbursement or payment for such treatment; or
- h. The implementation or use of Opioid drug disposal systems that have proven efficacy for the Company's Opioid Products.
- 3. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby against the enactment of any federal, state or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation limiting the operation or use of PDMPs, including, but not limited to, provisions requiring Health Care Providers to review PDMPs when Opioid therapy is initiated and with every prescription thereafter.
- 4. Nothing in Section II.D or this Injunction, however, limits the Company from:
  - a. Challenging the enforcement of, or suing to stop the enactment of, or for declaratory or injunctive relief with respect to any legislation, rules, or regulations, including legislation, rules, or regulations relating to any issues referred to in Section II.D.1;
  - b. Communications made by the Company in response to a statute, rule, regulation, or order requiring such communication;

- c. Communications by a representative of the Company appearing before a federal or state legislative or administrative body, committee, or subcommittee as result of a mandatory order, subpoena commanding that person to testify or an unsolicited request from an elected or appointed official, federal or state legislative or administrative body, committee, or subcommittee.
- d. Responding to an unsolicited request for the input on the passage of legislation or the promulgation of any rule or regulation.
- 1. Communications by the Company, including to elected or appointed officials, federal or state legislative or administrative bodies, committees, or subcommittees regarding (i) mechanisms for preventing opioid abuse and misuse, including abuse deterrent formulations and the use of blister packaging for opioid medications, (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid use disorders or opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.
- 5. The Company shall require all of its officers, employees and representatives engaged in Lobbying to certify in writing to them that they are aware of and will fully comply with the provisions of this injunction with respect to Lobbying.

## E. Ban on High Dose Opioids

1. The Company shall abide by any decision by the FDA on the pending Citizens Petition dated September 1, 2017 (docket number FDA-2017-P-5396) requesting a ban on specific high doses of prescription oral and transmucosal Opioids that, when taken as directed, exceed 90 morphine milligram equivalents per day.

# F. Ban on Prescription Savings Programs

- 1. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Promote savings card, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs to Health Care Providers for any Opioid Product. Nothing in this provision shall prohibit the Company from providing savings cards, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs, including electronic point-of-dispense programs: (i) in response to requests from Health Care Providers, patients, or other caregivers or (ii) on its website or product-specific websites.
- 2. The Company shall not directly or through a Third Party provide financial support to any Third Party to avoid the prohibited conduct in Section II.F.1 above.

# G. Self-Monitoring and Reporting of Direct and Downstream Customers.

1. The Company shall operate an effective monitoring and reporting system that shall include processes and procedures that:

- a. Reasonably analyze all collected Direct Customer Data to identify a Suspicious Order of a Company Opioid Product by a direct customer;
- b. Reasonably utilize available Downstream Customer Data to identify whether a downstream customer poses a material risk of diversion of a Company Opioid Product;
- c. Analyze all information that the Company receives that indicates an unreasonable risk of diversion activity of a Company Opioid Product or an unreasonable potential for diversion activity of a Company Opioid Product, by a direct customer or a downstream customer, including reports by employees and customers of the Company, Health Care Providers, law enforcement, state, tribal, or federal agencies, or the media; and
- d. Unless otherwise required by law, upon a relevant state's request, report to the relevant state agency any direct customer or downstream customer in each state that the Company has identified as part of the monitoring required by (a)-(c), above, and any Company customer relationship in each state that was terminated by the Company because of an unreasonable risk of diversion or unreasonable risk for potential for diversion.
- 2. Upon request, the Company shall promptly provide reasonable assistance to law enforcement investigations of potential diversion and/or suspicious circumstances involving the Company's Opioid Products subject to, and without waiving, any applicable privilege objections.
- 3. If one or more of the nation's three largest pharmaceutical distributors establishes a system to aggregate data concerning transactions of Opioid Products and/or concerning reports of Suspicious Orders of Opioid Products, and the system is designed to use information provided by manufacturers of Opioid Products, the Company shall provide information to such system to the extent reasonably available and feasible, subject to, and without waiving, any applicable privilege objections.
- 4. The Company agrees that it will refrain from acting as a distributor of Opioid Products by providing an Opioid Product directly to a retail pharmacy or Health Care Provider or otherwise engaging in activity that requires it to be registered as a distributor under the Controlled Substances Act unless otherwise required by local, state, or federal law. Nothing in this provision, however, prevents the Company from acting as a distributor of medications relating to (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

## H. Appointment and Responsibilities of Monitor.

- 1. The Company shall retain a Monitor. On February 21, 2020, the Debtors retained Thomas J. Vilsack to serve as Monitor.
- 2. The Monitor shall perform its duties according to the terms of this injunction and shall be vested with all rights and powers reasonably necessary to carry out such powers, duties, authority, and responsibilities enumerated herein.
- 3. The Monitor shall work with all diligence to confirm and oversee compliance with this injunction, and shall provide reports to the Company's Board of Directors and the Bankruptcy Court as outlined below.
- 4. The Monitor shall:
  - a. subject to any legally recognized privilege and as necessary or to perform their duties hereunder, have full and complete access to the Company's personnel, books, records, and facilities, and to any other relevant information, as the Monitor may request. The Company shall develop such information as the Monitor may request and shall fully, completely and promptly cooperate with the Monitor. The Monitor may raise with the Court any issues relating to any failure of or delay in such cooperation for an expedited resolution by the Court;
  - b. serve, without bond or other security, at the cost and expense of the Company, with the Monitor's fees subject to final approval by the Court. The Monitor shall have the authority to employ, upon Court approval, at the cost and expense of the Debtors' estates, such consultants, accountants, attorneys, and other representatives and assistants as are necessary to carry out the Monitor's and responsibilities. The Monitor shall serve throughout the term of this injunction and submission of a final report;
  - c. have no obligation, responsibility or liability for the operations of the Company;
  - d. file a report no less than every 90 days regarding compliance by the Company with the terms of this injunction; provided that elements of any such report may be filed under seal or subject to such other confidentiality restrictions contained in the Protective Order. The Court may, in response to such reports, provide further direction to the Monitor as it deems appropriate;
  - e. sign onto the Protective Order entered by the Court in this matter, and any confidentiality agreement consistent with the Protective Order as deemed necessary by the parties, and each of the Monitor's consultants, accountants, attorneys and other representatives and assistants shall also sign onto the Protective Order entered by the Court, and any

confidentiality agreement consistent with the Protective Order as deemed necessary by the parties; *provided, however*, that nothing shall restrict the Monitor from providing any information to the Court and the parties consistent with the terms of the Protective Order; and

f. promptly seek an order requiring compliance or such other remedies as may be appropriate under the circumstances should the Company not comply with this injunction.

## 5. **Disputes Regarding Compliance**

- a. If an Attorney General should have a reasonable basis to believe the Company is not in compliance with the terms of this injunction, the Attorney General shall notify the Company, via the Company's General Counsel, in writing of the specific objection, including identifying the provisions of this injunction that the practice appears to violate, and give the Company thirty (30) days to respond to the notification and cure the conduct at issue, if necessary.
- b. The Attorney General shall provide notification to the Monitor at the same time as notification is provided to the Company. To the extent that the Company fails to cure the alleged conduct within the thirty (30) day period, the Monitor shall have ten (10) days to determine the appropriate action and response. After that ten (10) day period and unless otherwise ordered by the Monitor or Bankruptcy Court, any Attorney General may petition the Bankruptcy Court to enforce the terms of this injunction and/or to obtain any remedy as a result of alleged non-compliance with the Company.

# I. Initial Covered Sackler Persons

c. The Initial Covered Sackler Persons shall not actively engage in the opioid business in the United States (other than by virtue of their ownership of beneficial interests in the Company), and shall not take any action that would interfere with the Company's compliance with its obligations under this injunction. 19-9828849etroid DDcc 11:175F11ed F014/114/2020/2012012012012012012018:548ain Exonomination Preliminary Injunction an Big/255 to 12:50 Preliminary Injunction an Big/255 Preliminary Injunction Ambridge 10:00 Preliminary Injunction Ambridge 20:00 Preliminary Injunction Preliminary Injunction Preliminary Injunction Preliminary Pr

# Appendix II

Form of Withdrawal Notice

19-9-82869-9 chold DDcot 75-75-1 led F0/4/04/8/20/20/20/2072-9-08:548 ain Extra bit ment Preliminary Injunction an Big/260 ot 27/4 njunction Pg 27 of 275

#### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

**Debtors.**<sup>4</sup>

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-23649 (RDD)

(Jointly Administered)

#### **NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL FROM THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

By this notice of withdrawal (this "Withdrawal Notice"), [NAME OF PARTY] hereby provides notice of its withdrawal from voluntary compliance with the terms of the *Eighth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction* [Docket No. •] (the "**Preliminary Injunction Order**"), effective upon entry of the amended order (the "**Amended Order**") involuntarily binding [NAME OF PARTY] to the terms of such Amended Order until and including October 5, 2020.

[NAME OF PARTY]

By: \_\_\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's registration number in the applicable jurisdiction, are as follows: Purdue Pharma L.P. (7484), Purdue Pharma Inc. (7486), Purdue Transdermal Technologies L.P. (1868), Purdue Pharma Manufacturing L.P. (3821), Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. (0034), Imbrium Therapeutics L.P. (8810), Adlon Therapeutics L.P. (6745), Greenfield BioVentures L.P. (6150), Seven Seas Hill Corp. (4591), Ophir Green Corp. (4594), Purdue Pharma of Puerto Rico (3925), Avrio Health L.P. (4140), Purdue Pharmaceutical Products L.P. (3902), Purdue Neuroscience Company (4712), Nayatt Cove Lifescience Inc. (7805), Button Land L.P. (7502), Rhodes Associates L.P. (N/A), Paul Land Inc. (7425), Quidnick Land L.P. (7584), Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. (6166), Rhodes Technologies (7143), UDF LP (0495), SVC Pharma LP (5717) and SVC Pharma Inc. (4014). The Debtors' corporate headquarters is located at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

19-982884-96rdd DDcc 119.75F11ed F014/01.4/25/20/20/2012 01/20

# **Appendix III**

# **Chart of Governmental Actions**

	T.		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /	
14	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number	
State Actions						
1.	AG	Alabama	The State of Alabama	The State of Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Montgomery Cnty. 03-CV-2019-901174	
2.	AG	Alaska	State of Alaska	State of Alaska v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. AK, 3rd Jud. Dist. 3AN-17-09966	
3.	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. Pima Cnty. C20622471	
4.	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	U.S. Supreme Court No. 20151	
5.	AG	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pulaski Cnty. 665 0018-2018	
6.	AG	California	The People of the State of California	The People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Los Angeles Cnty. Super. Ct. 1955년 V19045 그 것	
7.	AG	Colorado	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General	The State of Colorado ex rel. Phil Weiser, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dest Het. Denver 20 & V33300 5 E	
8.	AG	Connecticut	State of Connecticut	State of Connecticut v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hart Brd State Super. Ct.	
9.	AG	DC	District of Columbia	District of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	NO. 507 HHD-CV-19-6105325-5 SEC Ct. District of Columbia 2005 A 003680 B	
10.	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Charles Charles of Condition 2005 CA 003680 B Support Ct. of Delaware Charles No. N18C-01-223 MMJ (Charles District Charles Charle	
11.	AG	Florida	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Casterio. 2018-CA-001438	
12.	AG	Georgia	State of Georgia	State of Georgia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Supper Ct. Gwinnett Cnty. 19-A 00060-4	
13.	AG	Guam	Territory of Guam	Territory of Guam v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	Super Ct. Guam, Hagatna CN 20-19	
Error!	Unknown document pr	roperty name.			19-016 275	

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
14.	AG	Hawaii	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Cir. Ct. of Hawaii 09-1-0862-06 JHA
15.	AG	Idaho	State of Idaho, Through Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden	State of Idaho, Through Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden vs. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ada County District Court CV01-19-10061
16.	AG	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. 5. Cook Cnty. 2019 CH-04406
17.	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Superior Ct. Marion Cnty. 49D - 1811-PL-045447
18.	AG	Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General of Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General of Iowa v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Park Cnty. Dist. Ct. ESCE 084514
19.	AG	Kansas	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek Schmidt, Attorney General	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek Schmidt, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Shawnee Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019 Sev-000369
20.	AG	Louisiana	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health v. Purdue Pharma L.P, et al.	19 hudicial District Court, Parish of 8 th Baton Rouge 6 to 6
21.	AG	Maine	State of Maine	State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc., Richard Sackler, Jonathan Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler and Kathe Sackler	State of Maine Kennebec County Smallor Court CO-W-112
22.	AG	Maryland	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General (Md.)	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Consider Protection Division of the Child of the Attorney General (Note: Office of Administrative Heatings CPDC ase No.: 311366 Oct 12 Case No. 1923474
23.	AG	Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Smooth Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 1884 Sv-01808 (BLS2)
24.	AG	Minnesota	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
			2		Atto Jed. Dist. Ct., Hennepin Cnty. ConterFile No. 27-CV-18-10788 9.00 N.9.75 80 75 80 75 80 75 80 75

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
25.	AG	Mississippi	State of Mississippi	State of Mississippi v. Purdue	Hinds Cnty.
23.	10	mississippi	Sale of mississippi	Pharma L.P., et al.	25CH1:15-cv-001814
26.	AG	Missouri	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric	Cir. Ct. St. Louis City
			Schmitt, in his official capacity as	Schmitt, in his official capacity	1722-CC10626
			Missouri Attorney General	as Missouri Attorney General v.	
				Purdue Pharma L.P.	
27.	AG	Montana	State of Montana	State of Montana v. Purdue	Lew & Clark Cty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	AD 2017-949
28.	AG	Nevada	State of Nevada	State of Nevada v. McKesson	Dist of the County
				Corp., et al.	A-192796755-B
29.	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire v.	Merinack Super. Ct.
• •				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	217-@17-CV-00402
30.	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v.	Sper. Ct. NJ Chancery Div., Essex
			General and Paul Rodriguez,	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Circ. E.2XQC-245-17
			Acting Director of the New Jersey		$\Box \Box$
31.	AG	New Mexico	Division of Consumer Affairs State of New Mexico, ex rel.,	State of New Mexico, ex rel.,	Santa Fe Dist.
51.	AG	INEW MEXICO	Hector Balderas, Attorney General	Hector Balderas, Attorney	$D = 0 + CV_{201702541}$
			fiector Baldelas, Automey General	General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
				et al.	DE 0 CV-201702541
32.	AG	New York	The People of the State of New	The People of the State of New	Carter Confer 11, Contra
52.	10	I WW I OIK	York, by Letitia James, Attorney	York, by Letitia James,	400( <b>%</b> 6/2018
			General of the State of New York	Attorney General of the State of	
				New York v. Purdue Pharma	
				L.P., et al.	
33.	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel.	State of North Carolina, ex rel.	Start Ct. Wake Cnty.
			Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	Joshua H. Stein, Attorney	1最6月6051
				General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	と の で の の の の の の の の の の の の
				et al.	SBD 2018 400 6/2018 100 20 SBD 20 SBD 20 SBD 20 Ct. Wake Cnty. 100 20 Ct. Wake Cnty. 100 20 100 20 100 100
34.	AG	North Dakota	State of North Dakota, ex rel.	State of North Dakota, ex rel.	Dast Burleigh Cnty.
			Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney	Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney	0822 8-CV-01300
			General	General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	0822008-CV-01300
25				et al.	
35.	AG	Ohio	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost,	State of Ohio, ex rel. David	C.P. Boss Cnty.
			Ohio Attorney General	Yost, Ohio Attorney General v.	
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
					1:9:08:508ainEXolobuit 1 of 275
					f 28:
			3		
			3		Ē

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
36.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 18CV40526
37.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV22185
38.	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Combionwealth Ct. of PA 257-6d-19
39.	AG	Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ster. Ct. San Juan SHORSCV01659
40.	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter Neronha, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. 야근 대 PG- 644555
41.	AG	South Carolina	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C큐·思ichland Cnty. 2년 권CP-4004872
42.	AG	South Dakota	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CH Bushes Cnty. 32 18-000065
43.	AG	Tennessee	State of Tennessee, ex rel. Herbert H. Slatery III, Attorney General and Reporter	State of Tennessee, ex rel. Herbert H. Slatery III, Attorney General and Reporter v. Purdue Pharma L.P., a foreign limited partnership	Cherry Cherry Dist. Ct.
44.	AG	Texas	State of Texas	State of Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 8077003
45.	AG	Utah	Utah Division of Consumer Protection	In the Matter of Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Div. Consumer Protection DOR ase No. 107102
46.	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
			4		Super Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 759-5518-CRCV Of D 20 759-55 20 75 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
					(E) docida interest of the second sec

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
47.	AG	Virginia	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Tazewell Cnty. CL18-1076
48.	AG	Washington	State of Washington	State of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. King Cty. 17-2 <u>-2</u> 5505-0 SEA
49.	AG	West Virginia	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Cir. Boone Cnty. 19-Co2
50.	AG	Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. E. Dane Cnty. 201 X000009
51.	AG	Wyoming	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1 K Jud. Ct. Laramie Cnty. 1 K Jud. Ct. Laramie Cnty. 1 K Jud. 1 K
Triba	l (MDL)				nary ary
52.	Tribal	MDL	Puyallup Tribe of Indians	Puyallup Tribe of Indians v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	NED whio 199 - 45660 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
53.	Tribal	MDL	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	NG) ADhio 1788 p-45749 Masing Case No. 17-md-2804
54.	Tribal	MDL	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D So No Ohio 103 Ap-45459 Mass Case No. 17-md-2804
Triba	l (State Court)				
55.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	DEC Caddo Cnty. C
Local	l Government (Sta	te Court)			nctic
56.	Municipality	Arizona	Bullhead City	Bullhead City v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Maler Cnty. Super. Ct. Case 10. S8015cv201900591
57.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Glendale	City of Glendale v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Markopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-010792
					1:5-008:558ain田xohidanith 3 of 275
			5		
					Dahaba

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
58.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Prescott	City of Prescott v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Yavapai Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. P1300cv201900393
59.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Surprise	City of Surprise v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-003439
60.	Municipality	Arizona	County of Apache	County of Apache v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Apache Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S0100cv201900101
51.	Municipality	Arizona	County of La Paz	County of La Paz v. Allergan PLC, et al.	La P Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S1500cv201900053
52.	Municipality	Arizona	Pinal County	Pinal County v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Sup. 51. Pinal Cnty. S11 52 CV201901448
63.	Prosecuting Attorney	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington; City of Little Rock; City of Fort Smith; City of Springdale; City of Jonesboro; City of North Little Rock; City of Conway; City of Rogers; City of Pine Bluff; City of Bentonville; City of Bentonville; City of Hot Springs; City of Benton; City of Sherwood; City of Sherwood; City of Sherwood; City of Jacksonville; City of Monticello; County of Arkansas; County of Ashley; County of Baxter; County of Benton; County of Benton; County of Benton; County of Benton; County of Benton; County of Benton; County of Bradley; County of Calhoun; County of Carroll; County of Clark;	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S1108 CV201901448 Circutor, Crittenden Cnty. CP-2018-268 Iminary Injunction AUS/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/
			6		75 路前 田
					xdnabulit

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
			County of Clay;		
			County of Cleburne;		
			County of Cleveland;		
			County of Columbia;		
			County of Conway;		
			County of Craighead;		<u>н</u>
			County of Crawford;		9.0
			County of Cross;		60 60
			County of Dallas;		
			County of Desha;		
			County of Faulkner;		90
			County of Franklin;		
			County of Fulton;		
			County of Garland;		
			County of Grant;		<u>⊐</u> .ĕ
			County of Greene;		na a
			County of Hempstead;		
			County of Hot Spring;		In 75
			County of Howard;		
			County of Independence;		nc
			County of Izard;		5 B
			County of Jackson;		
			County of Johnson;		an <b>14</b>
			County of Lafayette;		
			County of Lawrence;		<b>B</b> O
			County of Lee;		
			County of Lincoln;		
			County of Little River;		と の で の の の の の の の の の の の の の
			County of Logan;		
			County of Lonoke;		
			County of Madison;		
			County of Miller;		
			County of Mississippi;		19-99-8226634-9etadd DDocod 7년 75F11ed F014/07-020/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/
			County of Monroe;		
			County of Montgomery; County of Ouachita;		Pg
					<b>5</b> 07
			County of Perry; County of Phillips;		ច ដីថ្
L			County of Fininps;		<u> </u>
					22 7
					171:15-1018:568ainExtraction
			7		in E
					Эġ;

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State		Case Name	
64.	Type         Municipality	California	(Last, First)County of Pike;County of Poinsett;County of Polk;County of Pope;County of Prairie;County of Prairie;County of St. Francis;County of Saline;County of Scott;County of Searcy;County of Sebastian;County of Stone;County of Stone;County of Van Buren;County of Washington;County of Washington;County of YellCity of El Monte, and The Peopleof the State of California, by and	Case Name City of El Monte, and The People of the State of	Case Number 19992200996000 Preliminary Injund EEO Ente County Super. Ct. 1987 EV10532
			bi the State of Canforma, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez	California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ile EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
65.	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa;	City of Santa Ana, et al. v. Actavis Pharma, Inc., et al.	Super. Ct. Orange Cnty. 30-2019-01101802
			City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Glenn Sabine, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen		19-0-22639-9etadd DDccd 75-16 Preliminary Injun
66.	Municipality	California	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kantonty. Super. Ct. BGN H9-100861 n and 40 BGN H9-100861
67.	Municipality	California	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker v. Purdue Pharam L.P., et al.	00,500 00,500
			9		2072:5008:568ainExtraction

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
68.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of Ansonia;	The City of Ansonia, The City	Hartford State Super. Ct.
			The City of Danbury;	of Danbury, The City of Derby,	HHD-CV-18-6098036-S
			The City of Derby;	and The City of Norwalk v.	
			The City of Norwalk	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
69.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Britain	City of New Britain v. Purdue	Hartford State Super. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	НН <u>Д-</u> CV-18-6087132-S
70.	Municipality	Connecticut	The Borough of Naugatuck;	The City of Bridgeport, et al. v.	Hart Hart State Super. Ct.
			The City of Bridgeport;	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHIECV-18-6088462-S
			The City of Bristol;		
			The City of Milford;		
			The City of Shelton;		9e
			The City of Torrington;		2889-9etadd DDood 7151.75F11edF0149014012 Preliminary Injunction anB
			The City of West Haven;		
			The Town of Beacon Falls;		
			The Town of East Hartford;		n.e
			The Town of Fairfield;		na 7
			The Town of Newtown;		N I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
			The Town of North Haven;		In 75
			The Town of Oxford;		
			The Town of Southbury;		l ned
			The Town of Southington;		tio
			The Town of Thomaston;		
			The Town of Tolland'		an <b>14</b>
			The Town of Woodbury		
71.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of New Haven	The City of New Haven v.	Hard State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHILCV-17-6086134-S
72.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of New London	The City of New London v.	Hat rd State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	He De CV-18-6094421-S
73.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of Waterbury	The City of Waterbury v.	Herterd State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHI CV-17-6088121-S

44/01/22/02/02/07:5008:546a in Extrationing unction Pg 38 of 275

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
74.	Type           Municipality	Connecticut	The Town of Berlin;	The Town of Berlin; The Town	Hartford State Super. Ct.
			The Town of Bethlehem;	of Bethlehem; The Town of	HHD-CV-18-6099290-S
			The Town of Coventry;	Coventry v. Purdue Pharma	
			The Town of Middlebury; The Town of New Milford;	L.P., The Town of Middlebury; The Town of New Milford; The	
			The Town of Prospect;	Town of Prospect; The Town of	
			The Town of Roxbury;	Roxbury;The Town of	19
			The Town of Seymour;	Seymour; The Town of	19-982889
			The Town of Stratford;	Stratford; and The Town of	
			The Town of Wolcott	Wolcott; et al.	
75.	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Wallingford	Town of Wallingford v. Purdue	Hart Frd State Super. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	HHIECV-18-6094422-S
76.	Municipality	Illinois	County of Lake;	County of Lake, et al. v. Purdue	CH. Ct. Cook Cnty.
			Michael Nerheim, Lake County State's Attorney;	Pharma L.P., et al.	
			Mark C. Curran, Jr., Lake County		
			Sheriff;		ary 15
			Dr. Howard Cooper, Lake County		
			Coroner;		듣뀝
			The County of Lake in the Name of		nc
			the People of the State of Illinois		ttio 迅
77.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Sesser	City of Sesser v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHIECV-18-6094422-S CH. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2003728 inary Injunction C. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008147 C. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008147 C. Cook Cnty. 200587 C. Cook Cnt
78.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois v.	C St. Madison Cnty.
				AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp.,	2689-000587
				et al.	
					2 <b>9</b> 80 - 010351
79.	Municipality	Illinois	The City of Burbank	The City of Burbank v. Purdue	C . Cook Cnty.
	1 5		5	Pharma L.P., et al.	2 H A 012659
80.	Municipality	Illinois	The City of Countryside	The City of Countryside v.	Ch. & Cook Cnty. 2015 2-012640 Ch. & Cook Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 -012640
81.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois	The People of the State of	
			and Boone County, Illinois	Illinois and Boone County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	2018 -000007
				et al.	Cur Cook Cuty
					2 <b>60</b> 8 <b>2</b> -004539
					<u>਼ ਉੱ</u>
					27.0
					2018g000007 PQ 20 Circ Cook Cnty. 2005g-004539 of 275 5 60 10 20 5 60 20 5 7 5 60 20 5 7 5 60 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
			11		in H
					Ę

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
82.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
83.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Champaign Cnty. 2018-L-000006 Cir. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-005935
84.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. <b>C</b> . Cook County 2017 <b>3</b> -013180
85.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CH, C, DeKalb Cnty. 2018 C. DeKalb Cnty. 2018 C. Oook Cnty. 2018 C. Cook Cnty. 2018 C013655
86.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C표·쉽·Cook Cnty. 2018년-004542 TO TE N TE N TE N TE N TE N TE N TE N TE N
87.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CH. G. Henry Cnty. 2000016 CH. Cook Cnty. 20000126 CH. Cook Cnty. 2000012690
88.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Jersey County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Jersey County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CH Ct. Cook Cnty. 245 CH Ct. Cook Cnty. 245 CH Ct. Cook Cnty. 265 St. Cook Cnty. 265 St. Cook Cnty.
89.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CH. Cook Cnty. 2018 St002943 POR
			12		<b>1:5:1</b> 08: <b>5:</b> RainExolocio 10 of 275

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
90.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kankakee County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kankakee County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
91.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Kendall Cnty. 2018 - 000078 Cir. C. Cook Cnty. 2018 - 012741
92.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. 5. LaSalle Cnty. 2019 -000052 C. 5. Cook Cnty. 2019 -008722
93.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Macon County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Macon County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Code City. 2021 84-002916 In City L
94.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cn. <u>B</u> t. Macoupin Cnty. 2日 日 - 000030 - CH. C. Cook Cnty. 2日 初 -013247
95.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Carlo Cook Cnty. 2018 L-002948
96.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McLean County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and McLean County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	CA 9. McLean Cnty. 20000108
97.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cm. &. Piatt Cnty. 2018 -000007 PC Coole Costs
			13		201 201 2006 Chty. 201 201 201 2089 0 f 2 75
					Đxabidibilitr

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
98.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004546
99.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Bedford Park	The Village of Bedford Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018 <u>-</u> L-008819
100.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bridgeview	Village of Bridgeview v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. <b>G</b> . Cook Cnty. 2018 -009526
101.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Evergreen Park	The Village of Evergreen Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. S. Cook Cnty. 2018 -012652
102.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Hodgkins	Village of Hodgkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. St. Cook Cnty. 2018 -009848
103.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Lyons	The Village of Lyons v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018 - 008746
104.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Summit	The Village of Summit v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C#: Ot. Cook Cnty. 20 & -008803
105.	Municipality	Maryland	Anne Arundel County, Maryland	Anne Arundel County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Che. 년. Anne Arundel Cnty. (3)2.CV-18-000021
106.	Municipality	Maryland	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Che. Baltimore City 25 500515
107.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Boston; The Boston Public Health Commission; The Boston Housing Authority	City of Boston, The Boston Public Health Commission, The Boston Housing Authority v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Show Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 16042 V02860B
108.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Cambridge	City of Cambridge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
109.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Chicopee	City of Chicopee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S Ct. Hampden Cnty.
110.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Framingham	City of Framingham v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
111.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Gloucester	City of Gloucester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. Essex Cnty. 1877 V01773
112.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Haverhill	City of Haverhill v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. Essex Cnty. 1892 V01762A
					: <del>9</del> ිට 8: <b>5</b> ඇ 2 of 275
			14		15-008:548ain Extended

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
113.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Salem	City of Salem v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1899CV01767A
114.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Worcester	City of Worcester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. No. 1984CV00543
115.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Canton	Town of Canton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 18-1 <u>5</u> 82
116.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Lynnfield	Town of Lynnfield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Supt Ct. Essex Cnty. 1895 V01769D
117.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Natick	Town of Natick v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 19-6
118.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Randolph	Town of Randolph v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Supart Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 1987 V00400
119.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Springfield	Town of Springfield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sper. Ct. Hampden Cnty.
120.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Wakefield	Town of Wakefield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	SEP Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 1 8 3 4 5 8
121.	Municipality	Missouri	Butler County; Cape Girardeau County; Christian County; City of Independence; City of Joplin; Crawford County; Dent County; Dunklin County; Franklin County; Franklin County; Greene County; Iron County; Jasper County; Jasper County; Madison County; Perry County; Ste. Genevieve County; Stone County; Taney County; Texas County; Washington County	Jefferson County, et al. v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et al.	2 <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>4</sup> Hidicial Cir. Ct., St. Louis City 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
122.	Municipality	Missouri	Polk County, Missouri	Polk County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Cui G. Polk Cnty. 1922:CC11660
			15		275 275

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
123.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Henderson	City of Henderson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800695-B Dept. 11
124.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Las Vegas	City of Las Vegas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800697-B Dept_27
125.	Municipality	Nevada	City of North Las Vegas	City of North Las Vegas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eight Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty. A-1 300699-B Dep 1
126.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Reno	City of Reno v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eight Jud. Dist Ct. Washoe Cnty. CV1 01895
127.	Municipality	New Jersey	City of Trenton	City of Trenton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. NJ, Mercer Cnty. Mr. 1-001167-19
128.	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Ocean, NJ	County of Ocean, NJ v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Signa Ct. NJ, Ocean Cnty. Oct OL-0014740-19
129.	Municipality	New York	The City of Albany	The City of Albany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sap Cit. Suffolk Cnty. 490094/2019
130.	Municipality	New York	City of Alma, GA; Village of Amityville, NY; Town of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Bacon County, GA; City of Bayonne, NJ; Village of Bellport, NY; City of Blackshear, GA; Town of Brookhaven, NY; City of Brunswick, GA; Chatham County, GA; Town of Clarkstown, NY; City of Clifton, NJ; Town of Clinton, NJ; Dade County, GA; City of Demorest, GA; East Hampton Village, NY; Village of East Rockaway, NY; City of Elizabeth, NJ; Village of Farmingdale, NY;	City of Alma, GA, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Signation and water and wa
			16		2:5:008:548ain Exolocionitr 14 of 275
					Debacionin

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
			Village of Floral Park, NY;		
			Village of Garden City, NY;		
			Village of Greenport, NY;		
			Town of Haverstraw, NY;		
			Town of Hempstead, NY;		
			Town of Huntington, NY;		E .
			Village of Island Park, NY;		<u><u></u></u>
			Village of Islandia, NY;		
			Town of Islip, NY;		
			Village of Lake Grove, NY;		<u>8</u>
			Village of Lawrence, NY;		) en
			Village of Lindenhurst, NY;		ר ש <u>פ</u>
			Village of Lloyd Harbor, NY;		
			City of Long Beach, NY; Village of Lynbrook, NY;		l in Ø
			Village of Massapequa Park, NY;		
			Village of Mill Neck, NY;		an
			Village of Millerton, NY;		₩ - 2
			Village of New Hyde Park, NY;		글뛰
			Village of Nissequoge, NY;		Ind
			Town of North Hempstead, NY;		
			Village of Northport, NY;		
			Village of Old Westbury, NY;		a 🛱
			Town of Orangetown, NY;		
			Town of Oyster Bay, NY;		
			Borough of Paramus, NJ;		
			Village of Patchogue, NY;		<u>o</u> g
			Pierce County, GA;		
			City of Pooler, GA;		
			Village of Poquott, NY;		
			Village of Port Washington North,		
			NY;		
			City of Richmond Hill, GA;		19-99-823634-9etadd DDocod 7년 75-Tiled 편(ka/d-4013/20/20) Preliminary Injunction an Elgy-44-Juntae Televiter Pg
			Town of Riverhead, NY;		20
			Village of Saltaire, NY;		Pg
			Town of Smithtown, NY;		<b>4</b> 2
			Town of Southampton, NY; Town of Southold, NY;		5 <b>1</b> 5
I	I	<u> </u>		I	
					225
			15		17:5-108:5-68ain Extended
			17		

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
			Village of Stewart Manor, NY;		
			Village of Suffern, NY;		
			Village of Valley Stream, NY;		
			Village of the Branch, NY;		
			Town of Wappinger, NY;		
			Village of Wappinger Falls, NY;		4
			Village of West Hampton Dunes,		ម្ម
			NY;		
			Village of West Haverstraw, NY;		
			Village of Westbury, NY;		
			Village of Hempstead, NY		19-9-82889-96
131.	Municipality	New York	The City of Buffalo	The City of Buffalo v. Purdue	Sup. t. Erie Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	84359-2019
132.	Municipality	New York	City of Ithaca	City of Ithaca v. Purdue Pharma	S
				L.P., et al.	4 🕀 10 🔁 2/2018
133.	Municipality	New York	The City of Mount Vernon	The City of Mount Vernon v.	Son St. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4000FF6/2019
134.	Municipality	New York	City of New York	City of New York v. Purdue	Sm. t. Suffolk Cnty
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400046/2018
135.	Municipality	New York	City of Plattsburgh	City of Plattsburgh v. Purdue	Sm. &t. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <b>6</b> 000000000000000000000000000000000000
136.	Municipality	New York	City of Schenectady	City of Schenectady v. Purdue	Sm. 2t. Suffolk Cnty. 460(25/2019
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <b>H</b> ( <b>A</b> 5/2019
137.	Municipality	New York	City of Troy	City of Troy v. Purdue Pharma	S K. Suffolk Cnty.
				L.P., et al.	4 2019
138.	Municipality	New York	City of Yonkers	City of Yonkers v. Purdue	St. t. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4 20/2019
139.	Municipality	New York	County of Broome	County of Broome v. Purdue	Straft. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <b>4 4 2</b> /2017
140.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cattaraugus	The County of Cattaraugus v.	Sm. Ot. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4 💬 😰 7/2019
141.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cayuga	The County of Cayuga v.	Son Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	40000000000000000000000000000000000000
142.	Municipality	New York	The County of Chautauqua	The County of Chautauqua v.	Sup. 🔀 t. Chautauqua Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	K 2 2 2 8-57
143.	Municipality	New York	The County of Chenango	The County of Chenango v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup.St. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4600651/2019
					У D
					22 <del>2</del> 7 <b>5</b>
			10		Support. Suffolk Cnty. 49061/2019 Of D N 25 Bain THE
			18		п
					<u>XCia</u>
					E.

	Tuno	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
144	<b>Type</b> Municipality	State           New York	× / /	The County of Clinton v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
144.	Municipality	New York	The County of Clinton	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400003/2018
145.	Municipality	New York	County of Columbia	County of Columbia v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
145.	winnerpainty	New IOIK	County of Columbia	Pharma L.P., et al.	400015/2018
146.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cortland	The County of Cortland v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
140.	winnerpairty	New York	The County of Cortaina	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400019/2018
147.	Municipality	New York	County of Dutchess	County of Dutchess v. Purdue	Sup. <b>£</b> t. Suffolk Cnty.
	J			Pharma L.P., et al.	4000 5/2017
148.	Municipality	New York	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue	Sup. 🙀 t. Suffolk Cnty.
	1 2		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Pharma L.P., et al.	400023/2017
149.	Municipality	New York	The County of Essex	The County of Essex v. Purdue	Sup. at. Suffolk Cnty
			-	Pharma L.P., et al.	400 9/2019
150.	Municipality	New York	The County of Franklin	The County of Franklin v.	Sp. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 4cc cb2/2018
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	40062/2018
151.	Municipality	New York	The County of Fulton	The County of Fulton v. Purdue	S Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400018/2018
152.	Municipality	New York	The County of Genesee	The County of Genesee v.	Sap. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
1.50				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400 ul /2018
153.	Municipality	New York	The County of Greene	The County of Greene v. Purdue	Step. Het. Suffolk Cnty.
1.5.4	N6 · · · 1'			Pharma L.P., et al.	4 m 0 8 8/2018
154.	Municipality	New York	The County of Hamilton	The County of Hamilton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Son 24t. Suffolk Cnty 4000 5/2018
155.	Municipality	New York	County of Herkimer	County of Herkimer v. Purdue	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
155.	winnerpairty	New TOIK	County of Herkinier	Pharma L.P., et al.	400(18)/2019
156.	Municipality	New York	The County of Lewis	The County of Lewis v. Purdue	Stat. Suffolk Cnty.
150.	winnerpairty	I WW I OIK	The County of Lewis	Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <b>40</b> /ma7/2019
157.	Municipality	New York	The County of Livingston	The County of Livingston v.	4907/2019 Str. & t. Suffolk Cnty. 49063/2018
1071	manipund		The county of 21 mgston	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4203/2018
158.	Municipality	New York	The County of Madison	The County of Madison v.	S
	1 2		Ĵ	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400.000
159.	Municipality	New York	The County of Monroe	The County of Monroe v.	S . Suffolk Cnty.
	_ •		-	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400007/2018
160.	Municipality	New York	County of Montgomery	County of Montgomery v.	Sap. t. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400(2019
161.	Municipality	New York	County of Nassau	County of Nassau v. Purdue	Sup. St. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4090008/2017

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
162.	Municipality	New York	County of Niagara	County of Niagara v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
102.	Wanterparity	New TOIR	County of Magara	Pharma L.P., et al.	400012/2017
163.	Municipality	New York	The County of Ontario	The County of Ontario v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400001/2019
164.	Municipality	New York	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2017
165.	Municipality	New York	County of Oswego	County of Oswego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. <b>£</b> t. Suffolk Cnty. 4000 <b>£</b> 7/2018
166.	Municipality	New York	The County of Otsego	The County of Otsego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. St. Suffolk Cnty. 400044/2019
167.	Municipality	New York	The County of Putnam	The County of Putnam v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. &t. Suffolk Cnty. 400 #4/2019
168.	Municipality	New York	County of Rensselaer	County of Rensselaer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sp. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 4cc Ct. 2017
169.	Municipality	New York	County of St. Lawrence	County of St. Lawrence v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S
170.	Municipality	New York	County of Saratoga	County of Saratoga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Step. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 4000009/2018
171.	Municipality	New York	County of Schenectady	County of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S 5. Het. Suffolk Cnty. 400009/2017
172.	Municipality	New York	County of Schoharie	County of Schoharie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Son. et. Suffolk Cnty. 400 0 2017
173.	Municipality	New York	The County of Schuyler	The County of Schuyler v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S. St. Suffolk Cnty. 400 44/2018
174.	Municipality	New York	County of Seneca	County of Seneca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S . Suffolk Cnty.
175.	Municipality	New York	The County of Steuben	The County of Steuben v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4 5 0 5 2017 St. 2t. Suffolk Cnty 4 20 6 4/2018
176.	Municipality	New York	County of Suffolk	County of Suffolk v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S
177.	Municipality	New York	County of Sullivan	County of Sullivan v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sap. St. Suffolk Cnty. 480 067/2017
178.	Municipality	New York	The County of Tioga	The County of Tioga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. St. Suffolk Cnty. 40002/2019
179.	Municipality	New York	County of Tompkins	County of Tompkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Net. Suffolk Cnty 409 Jul / 2018

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
180.	Municipality	New York	The County of Ulster	The County of Ulster v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400011/2019
181.	Municipality	New York	The County of Warren	The County of Warren v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
100				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400030/2019
182.	Municipality	New York	The County of Washington	The County of Washington v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
183.	Municipality	New York	County of Westchester	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. County of Westchester v.	400010/2019 Sup. <b>fg</b> t. Suffolk Cnty.
105.	winnerpairty	INCW LUIK	County of westeriester	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4000 <b>4</b> 0/2018
184.	Municipality	New York	The County of Wyoming	The County of Wyoming v.	Sup. gt. Suffolk Cnty
	1 2			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4000 3/2018
185.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Amherst	The Town of Amherst v. Purdue	Sup. t. Erie Cnty.
101				Pharma L.P., et al.	80387-2018
186.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Cheektowaga	The Town of Cheektowaga v.	Sp. Ct. Erie Cnty. 8年日1-2018
187.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Lancaster	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. The Town of Lancaster v.	$S \oplus G_1 - 2018$ S $\oplus G_1$ . Erie Cnty.
10/.	wunicipanty	INCW I OFK	The Town of Lancaster	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	800 160-2018
188.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Tonawanda	The Town of Tonawanda v.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty.
	r			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8 <u>10</u> 72β-2018
189.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Wappinger, New	The Town of Wappinger, New	Sup. t. Dutchess Cnty.
			York	York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et	20194549
100	A			al.	
190.	Municipality	New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York v. Purdue	Sup. L. Dutchess Cnty.
			INCW IOIK	Pharma L.P., et al.	20053838
191.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Medina, Ohio;	The County of Medina, Ohio;	Contractional Contraction Cont
	1 2		The State of Ohio ex rel.	The State of Ohio ex rel.	1 <b>2</b> 000/-0838
			Prosecuting Attorney of Medina	Prosecuting Attorney of Medina	) Mate
			County, S. Forrest Thompson	County, S. Forrest Thompson v.	Contenting Conty. 1000000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 2000000
102	Municipalita	Oklahoma	Doord of County Commission of	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
192.	Municipality	Okianoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland	DECECleveland Cnty.
			Cieveland County	County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	CF2289-592
				et al.	n n
193.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	D. Concer Cntv.
			Greer County	Commissioners of Greer County	CP2019-12
I				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
					12:5008:558ain Extraction
					)8: 2:
					75 <b>8</b>
			21		nik
					Ð

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
194.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Hughes Cnty. CJ-2019-36
195.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. McCurtain Cnty. CJ-2019-54
196.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Convolution D. Convolution Conty. CJ-200 9-05
197.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Burns Flat	City of Burns Flat v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D Ct. Washita Cnty. C $= 2$ 9-29
198.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bedford County	Bedford County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C₽
199.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Wampum Borough	Wampum Borough v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Get. Philadelphia Cnty. July Derm 2018 No. 01963
200.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Lock Haven	City of Lock Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C. Elinton Cnty. 12 2018
201.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Philadelphia	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C <b>ð</b> . <b>E</b> hiladelphia
202.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Pittsburgh	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Jan. Ferm 2018, No. 002718 C. Jllegheny Cnty. 1609 153
203.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Carlos Charles Carlos Charles Char
204.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CHERCHICH Cnty. 2007-0716 Cherchich Cherchich
					0.20 0.21
					21:9108:548ainEXoboliotion 50 of 275
			22		alin Ex

	T-ma	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Cogo Norro	Court /
205.	<b>Type</b>	<u>State</u>	(Last, First) Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,	Case Name Commonwealth of	Case Number C.P. Dauphin Cnty., 12th Jud. Dist.
203.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County	Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	2019-CV-7795-CV
206.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Prie Cnty., 6th Jud. Dist. 12839 19
207.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County v. AmerisourceBergen	CH. Delaware Cnty. CH. Delaware Cnty. CH. Dollars CH. Delaware Cnty. CH. Delaware Cnty. CH. Delaware Cnty. CH. Delaware Cnty. CH. Delaware Cnty.
208.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C3:Aerks Cnty. 1距12232 nction action action
209.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	CB-BBucks Cnty. 200000639
210.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Armstrong County, PA	Armstrong County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2017 570-CV
211.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Allegheny	County of Allegheny v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CA. Sillegheny Cnty. 18066155
212.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty. 1,D2, 2017
213.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Bradford	County of Bradford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
					1018:558ai
			23		Of D 2018 2018 Of D N 2018 2018 CV-0059 Of D 2018

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
214.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bucks County	Bucks County v. Purdue Pharma	C.P. Bucks Cnty.
	jj	,		L.P., et al.	No. 2018-03144
215.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	C.P. Cambria Cnty
	1 V			v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2017-4131
216.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Carbon	County of Carbon v. Purdue	C.P. Carbon Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 18-0990
217.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Clarion	County of Clarion v. Purdue	C.P. <b>G</b> larion Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	285-5D-2018
218.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clearfield County	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania	C.P. C.P. C.P. C.P. C.P. C.P. C.P. C.P.
210	Maniality	Descrite		v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 484-CD
219.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clinton County	Clinton County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty.
220.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Cumberland	County of Cumberland v.	752-58 Car. Cumberland Cnty.
220.	winnerpairty	1 chinsylvallia		Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2007. Cumberland City. 2007. 20147
221.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Dauphin County, PA	Dauphin County, PA v. Purdue	C = 0 auphin Cnty.
221.	winnerpairty	1 ennisyivanna	Dauphin County, 17	Pharma L.P., et al.	2008 CV-716-CV
222.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Delaware County	Delaware County, Pennsylvania	C.P. Delaware Cnty.
	J			v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 2017-008095
223.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue	C. Frie Cnty.
	1 V			Pharma L.P., et al.	1 <b><u><u><u></u></u></u></b> <u></u>
224.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Fayette	County of Fayette v. Purdue	Cor. Layette Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2012676
225.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Franklin County	Franklin County v. Purdue	CP. Franklin Cnty. 2009 445
				Pharma L.P., et al.	265923445
226.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Purdue	Cer. Greene Cnty.
227	NG 1 1 11			Pharma L.P., et al.	75 2017
227.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County,	Carl Lackawanna Cnty.
				Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
228.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania	$\Box$
220.	winnerpairty	1 chiisyivania	Lawrence County, I emisylvania	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
229.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mercer County	Mercer County v. Purdue	Carl dercer Cnty.
	J	J - · · ·····		Pharma L.P., et al.	
230.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	People of Northampton County and	People of Northampton County	C.P. Sorthampton Cnty.
			Northampton County, PA	and Northampton County, PA v.	20112 1557
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5 <b>2</b>
					2018 396 C.P. Dorthampton Cnty. 2017 1557 57 15 0 15 0 15 0 15 0 15 0 15 0 15 0 15 0
					-f 28
			24		
			24		Ē
					<u>Š</u>
					<b></b>

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
231.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Pike County, Pa.	Pike County, Pa. v. Purdue	C.P. Pike Cnty.
	jj			Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 602-2018
232.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pa. v.	C.P. Schuylkill Cnty.
	1 2	2		Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S-1241-18
233.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue	C.P. Monroe Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	397 <u>2-</u> CV-18
234.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue	C.P. <b>P</b> ioga Cnty. 563- <b>G</b> V-2018
				Pharma L.P., et al.	563- <b>55</b> V-2018
235.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Washington	County of Washington v.	C.P. Vashington Cnty.
<b></b>			~	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	20122268
236.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Westmoreland	County of Westmoreland v.	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty
227	N6 · · · 1'			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2017 975
237.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of York	County of York v. Purdue	C. P. York Cnty. 2年日 03372
238.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	The Municipality of Norristown	Pharma L.P., et al. The Municipality of Norristown	CP: Contgomery Cnty.
238.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	and The Township of West	and The Township of West	263 6 12178
			Norriton	Norriton v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
			Normon	et al.	- 175
239.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mahoning Township	Mahoning Township v. Purdue	$C \mathfrak{P}$ . Hiladelphia Cnty.
237.	manopunty	1 ennisy i vanna	Manoning rownship	Pharma L.P., et al.	180003466
240.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Newtown Township	Newtown Township v. Purdue	Co. gucks Cnty.
	1 5	5	1	Pharma L.P., et al.	201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201
241.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Warrington Township	Warrington Township v. Purdue	C. Aucks Cnty. 2009 204956
		-		Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>6</b> 9 <b>2</b> 4956
242.	Municipality	South Carolina	City of Charleston	City of Charleston v. Purdue	Contraction Conty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2019 9 CP-10-04294
243.	Municipality	South Carolina	City of North Charleston	City of North Charleston v.	C. C. Charleston Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>2</b> 9 <b>4</b> CP-10-03978
244.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Abbeville	County of Abbeville v. Rite Aid	C
<u> </u>				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	200 900 CP-01-00154
245.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Aiken	County of Aiken v. Rite Aid of	Car. Siken Cnty.
246	Maria in a liter	Carath Canalina	Country of Allow dolo	South Carolina Inc., et al. County of Allendale v. Purdue	2 ∰ 9€ P-02-01086 C. ₱. ♥ Ilendale Cnty.
246.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Allendale	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 CP-03-00125
247.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Anderson	County of Anderson v. Rite Aid	
247.	winnerpairty	South Carolina	County of Anderson	of South Carolina Inc., et al.	$2000 \times 10^{-10} \times 10$
I					
					2010 Anderson Chty. 2018 CP-04-01108 0 f 2018 : 75 Bain E Charles of Chty.
					218
					വ്ക്
			25		uin
					E Contraction of the second se

	Tuno	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
248.	TypeMunicipality	State South Carolina	County of Bamberg	County of Bamberg v. Purdue	C.P. Bamberg Cnty.
∠ <del>4</del> 0.	maneipanty	South Carolina	County of Bannoerg	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-05-00189
249.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Barnwell	County of Barnwell v. Purdue	C.P. Barnwell Cnty.
∠ <b>⊣</b> ፇ.	manicipality	South Carolilla		Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-06-00329
250.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Beaufort	County of Beaufort v. Purdue	C.P. Beaufort Cnty.
_20.		- Juni Curonnu		Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 <u>-</u> CP-07-01245
251.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Calhoun	County of Calhoun v. Rite Aid	C.P. <b>G</b> alhoun Cnty.
•	F7		,	of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019 CP-09-00065
252.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Cherokee	County of Cherokee v. Rite Aid	C.P. Cherokee Cnty.
				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018 CP-11-00503
253.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Chesterfield	County of Chesterfield v. Rite	C.P. Chesterfield Cnty.
	- *			Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018 CP-13-00410
				al.	
254.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Clarendon	County of Clarendon v. Rite Aid	CH.Glarendon Cnty.
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2 🖽 9 4 CP-14-00236
255.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Colleton	County of Colleton v. Purdue	Cap. Colleton Cnty.
<u> </u>				Pharma L.P., et al.	2618-CP-15-00438
256.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dillon	County of Dillon v. Rite Aid of	C∋.@illon Cnty.
0.7-				South Carolina Inc., et al.	2 <b>9;</b> CP-17-00213
257.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dorchester	County of Dorchester v. Purdue	Co. Dorchester Cnty.
250	Marris 11	Conth Con 1'	Courts of Eliz C 11	Pharma L.P., et al.	2003802CP-18-01122
258.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Edgefield	County of Edgefield v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	CTP. <b>E</b> dgefield Cnty. 2 <b>2</b> (CP-19-00120
259.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Fairfield	County of Fairfield v. Rite Aid	Co. Sairfield Cnty.
				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2 👰 🔂 CP-20-00272
260.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Florence	County of Florence v. Rite Aid	
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C <b>P</b> . <b>P</b> orence Cnty. 2 <b>0</b> 9 CP-21-01213
261.	Municipality	South Carolina	Greenville County	Greenville County v. Purdue	G. Freenville Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>4 8 CP-23-01294</b>
262.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Greenwood	County of Greenwood v. Rite	Car. Cherokee Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2 9 8 CP-24-00775
0.00	N · · · ·			al.	
263.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Hampton	County of Hampton v. Purdue	C.P. ampton Cnty.
264	Marris 11	Conth Con 1'	Courts of IL and	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 CP-25-00258
264.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Horry	County of Horry v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	Grade CP-26.02684
	<u> </u>			South Carolina Inc., et al.	
					2050 CP-26-02684 4 Of 275 5 Bain Exercised
					27.8
					ы С Б
			26		In
					Ø

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
265.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Jasper	County of Jasper v. Purdue	C.P. Jasper Cnty.
	j			Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-27-00332
266.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Kershaw	County of Kershaw v. Purdue	C.P. Kershaw Cnty.
	1 5		5	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-28-00553
267.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lancaster	County of Lancaster v. Rite Aid	C.P. Lancaster Cnty.
	1 1			of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019 <u>-</u> CP-29-00540
268.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Laurens	Coun-02ty of Laurens v. Rite	C.P. <b>£</b> aurens Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018 CP-30-00606
				al.	
269.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lee	County of Lee v. Rite Aid of	C.P. See Cnty.
				South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018 CP-31-00207
270.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lexington	County of Lexington v. Purdue	C.P. exington Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	26 8-CP-32-02207
271.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Rite Aid of	CH. Jarion Cnty.
				South Carolina Inc., et al.	2007-33-00299
272.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of McCormick	County of McCormick v. Rite	Cap. McCormick Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2619-CP-35-00031
273.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Oconee	County of Oconee v. Rite Aid of	C∰.@aconee Cnty.
				South Carolina, Inc., et al.	29 8 CP-37-00458
274.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Orangeburg	County of Orangeburg v. Rite	Car. Arangeburg Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	29.82P-38-00841
				al.	
275.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Pickens	County of Pickens v. Purdue	C. Lickens Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	18 39-00675
276.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Saluda	County of Saluda v. Rite Aid of	Contemporation Conty.
				South Carolina Inc., et al.	249 9 CP-41-00111 C
277.	Municipality	South Carolina	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg County v. Purdue	CF. Apartanburg Cnty.
270				Pharma L.P., et al.	200760
278.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Sumter	County of Sumter v. Rite Aid of	C. Sumter Cnty.
270	Municia - 1:4	South Compliant	County of Ini-	South Carolina Inc., et al. County of Union v. Rite Aid of	2007 CP-43-00891
279.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Union	South Carolina, Inc., et al.	25 8 CP-44-00288
280.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Williamsburg	County of Williamsburg v.	C, $R$ , $R$ illiamsburg Cnty.
∠o0.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of williamsburg	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <u>01</u> <b>G</b> CP-45-00276
281.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Vorls	County of York v. Rite Aid of	
201.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of York	South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2016 CILY.
					07
					e B
					N. 00
					2018 CP-46-02446 01 50 01 2018 75 50 50 275 50 50 275 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
			27		air
			21		Ē
					<del>.</del>

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
282.	Municipality	South Carolina	Town of Mount Pleasant	Town of Mount Pleasant v.	C.P. Charleston Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-CP-10-04302
283.	District Attorney	Tennessee	Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official	Bryant C. Dunaway, et al. v.	Cir. Ct. Cumberland Cnty.
	General /		capacity as the District Attorney	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CCI-2018-CV-6331
	Municipality		General for the Thirteenth Judicial		
			District, TN and on behalf of all		12
			political subdivisions therein,		ца ца
			Including Clay County, City of		l ĕ
			Celine, Cumberland County, City		
			of Crab Orchard, City of		
			Crossville, Town of Pleasant Hill,		l de
			Dekalb County, Town of		
			Alexandria, Town of Dowelltown,		Гес
			Town of Liberty, City of Smithville, Overton County, Town		
			of Livingston, Pickett County,		
			Town of Byrdstown, Putnam		ar 1
			County, City of Algood, Town of		
			Baxter, City of Cookeville, Town		<u>-</u> - <u>9</u>
			of Monterey, White County, Town		
			of Doyle, City of Sparta;		L CEI CH
			or Doyle, enty or Sparta,		
			Jenning H. Jones, in his official		
			capacity as the District Attorney		
			General for the Sixteenth Judicial		
			District, TN and on behalf of all		
			political subdivisions therein,		
			including Cannon County, Town of		l agite
			Auburntown, Town of Woodbury,		1 2 d
			Rutherford County, City of		
			Eaglevill, City of La Vergne, City		
			of Murfreesboro, Town of Smyrna;		
			Robert J. Carter, in his official		19-መመሪ መሰው በመሰው በመሰው በመሰው በመሰው በመሰው በመሰው በመሰው
			capacity as the District Attorney		Pg
			General for the Seventeenth		0.20
			Judicial District, TN and on behalf		<b>1</b> 2:
			of all political subdivisions therein,		⊥ ္ရမ္လ
					72:5-008:5-68ain Extended
					75 <b>6</b>
			28		NIK.
			_0		U
					Ч Ц

		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
		including Bedord County, Town of		
		Bell Buckle, Town of Normandy,		
		City of Shelbyville, Town of		
		Wartrace, Lincoln County, City of		
		Ardmore, City of Fayetteville,		
		Town of Petersburg, Marshall		<u>н</u>
		County, Town of Chapel Hill,		0 <del>0</del>
		Town of Cornersville, City of		
		Lewisburg, Moore County, City of		
		Lynchburg;		
		Brent A. Cooper, in his official		
		capacity as the District Attorney		Pa
		General for the Twenty-Second		
		Judicial District, TN and on behalf		
		of all political subdivisions therein,		
		including Giles County, City of		
		Elkton, Town of Lynnville, City of		<u> </u>
		Minor Hill, City of Pulaski,		
		Lawrence County, Town of		nce
		Ethridge, City of Iron City, City of		l ti z
		Lawrenceburg, City of Loretto,		
		City of St. Joseph, Maury County,		an 14
		City of Columbia, City of Mount		
		Pleasant, City of Spring Hill,		5 N 5 O
		Wayne County, City of Clifton,		
		City of Collinwood, City of		
		Waynesboro;		£9 VV FE
		Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in her official		19-99-822669-9etodd DDocod 115-75-11ed FUky/14-015020/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/
		capacity as the District Attorney		
		General for the Thirty-First Judicial		
		District, TN and on behalf of all		
		political subdivisions therein,		
		including Van Buren County,		Pg
		Town of Spencer, Warren County,		
		Town of Centertown, City of		
		McMinnville, Town of Morrison,		႞ႍ႖ႍႜ႘ၟ
				072:5008:568ainExolocio
		29		of Be
		29		Ē
				<u>ч</u>

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
		State	Town of Viola;	Cuse Hume	Cubertunider
			Baby Doe, by and through his		
			Mother.		
284.	District Attorney	Tennessee	Jared Effler, in his official capacity	Jared Effler, et al. v. Purdue	Eastern Section at Knoxville Court
	General / Municipality		as the District Attorney General for the Eigth Judicial District, TN;	Pharma L.P., et al.	of Appeals No. 2018-01994-COA-R3-CV
	Municipanty		the Eight Judicial District, 110,		
			Charme Allen, in her official		
			capacity as the District Attorney		
			General for the Sixth Judicial		Jeh
			District; Dave Clark, in his official		
			capacity as the District Attorney		
			General for the Seventh Judicial		
			District, TN;		
			Russell Johnson, in his official		LET VIL
			capacity as the District Attorney		
			General for the Ninth Judicial		
			District, TN;		ict edg
			Stankan Course in Line CC in L		)୫2%୫୫୫୫୯୦ ଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅଅ
			Stephen Crump, in his official capacity as the District Attorney		
			General for the Tenth Judicial		
			District, TN;		
					0/2
			Jimmy Dunn, in his official		
			capacity as the District Attorney		
			General for the Fourth Judicial		
			District, TN;		
			Mike Taylor, in his official		
			capacity as the District Attorney		
			General for the Twelfth Judicial		
			District, TN		
			Baby Doe #1;		50 <b>1</b>
			Baby Doe #2		
					171:1917 8:548a in Extraction in 275
			20		<u>о в</u>
			30		
					<u>ě</u>
					4

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
285.	District Attorney	Tennessee	Barry Staubus, in his official	Barry Staubus, et al. v. Purdue	Cir. Ct. Sullivan Cnty.
	General /		capacity as the District Attorney	Pharma L.P., et al.	No. C-41916
	Municipality		General for the Second Judicial		
			District and on behalf of all		
			political subdivisions therein;		
			Tony Clark, in his official capacity		192
			as the District Attorney General for		
			the First Judicial District and on		
			behalf of all political subdivisions		
			therein;		190
			Dan Armstrong, in his official		
			capacity as the District Attorney		l re c
			General for the Third Judicial		
			District and on behalf of all		
					ar
			political subdivisions therein;		19-9-2259-9-dadd DDccd 15-1lec Preliminary Injunc
			Baby Doe, by and through his		글 뛰
			Guadian Ad Litem		
286.	Municipality	Tennessee	Shelby County, by the Shelby	Shelby County, by the Shelby	CE: E. Shelby Cnty.
	1 1		Board of Commissioners	Board of Commissioners v.	N <del>ə</del> . <b>E</b> T-004500-17
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
287.	Municipality	Texas	City of Houston, Texas	City of Houston, Texas v.	Harts Cnty. Dist. Ct.
200				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019 3219
288.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bee	County of Bee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 29,8576897
289.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bexar	County of Bexar v. Purdue	Harne Cnty. Dist. Ct.
207.	manopunty	Tentus		Pharma L.P., et al.	2000/7066
290.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burnet	County of Burnet v. Purdue	Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2008 7090
291.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cameron	County of Cameron v. Purdue	Harry Cnty. Dist. Ct.
202		-		Pharma L.P., et al.	26 8 7093
292.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cass	County of Cass v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harre Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2008 6905
293.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cooke	County of Cooke v. Purdue	
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2008 6907
					Part Chty. Dist. Ct. 2005/6907 Of 200 256 0f 275 5 5
			31		, ain
					Ð
					i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
294.	Municipality	Texas	County of Coryell	County of Coryell v. Purdue	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77097
295.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dallas	County of Dallas v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77098
296.	Municipality	Texas	County of Delta	County of Delta v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp.,	2018-77104
<b>.</b>				et al.	Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct.
297.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dimmit	County of Dimmit v. Purdue	Harry Cnty. Dist. Ct.
200	Manifiantita		Construction (Endow	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 6933 Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
298.	Municipality	Texas	County of Ector	County of Ector v. Purdue	2018 6934
299.	Municipality	Texas	County of El Paso	Pharma L.P., et al. County of El Paso v. Purdue	Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct.
299.	Municipality	Texas	County of El Paso	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-76970
300.	Municipality	Texas	County of Falls	County of Falls v. Purdue	Harring Cnty. Dist. Ct.
500.	Municipanty	Texas	County of Fails	Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>9</b> .8 <b>9</b> 77106
301.	Municipality	Texas	County of Fannin	County of Fannin v. Purdue	Houris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
501.	Withherpatity	10,405	County of Familie	Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>6</b> 8-76974
302.	Municipality	Texas	County of Grayson	County of Grayson v. Purdue	Hartin Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	manopunty	1 Unub	county of Graybon	Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>9</b> 8 6994
303.	Municipality	Texas	County of Harrison	County of Harrison v. Purdue	Harn Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	1 5		5	Pharma L.P., et al.	2007108
304.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hidalgo	County of Hidalgo v. Purdue	Harra Cnty, Dist, Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 2 2 2 2 7109
305.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hopkins	County of Hopkins v. Purdue	2018 7109 Harry Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 7111
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 0 2 0 7111
306.	Municipality	Texas	County of Houston	County of Houston v. Purdue	Harn Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	267877021
307.	Municipality	Texas	Johnson County	Johnson County v. Purdue	Hern Crity. Dist. Ct. 2018 77021 Hern Crity. Dist. Ct. 2018 7346
				Pharma, L.P. et al.	2978287346
308.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kendall	County of Kendall v. Purdue	Harry Cnty. Dist. Ct.
200	NG			Pharma L.P., et al.	
309.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kerr	County of Kerr v. Purdue	Haint Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 27114
310.	Municipality	Texas	County of Liberty	Pharma L.P., et al. County of Liberty v. Purdue	2018 /114
510.	Municipality	Texas	County of Liberty	Pharma L.P., et al.	
311	Municipality	Texas	County of Limestone	County of Limestone v. Purdue	Horrisi Cnty Dist Ct
511.	Wulleipanty	Texas	County of Ennestone	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018077025
				Thuma E.i., et al.	
					2018 7114 Harring Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 7116 Harring Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 77025
					UT BO
			32		
					Đ.

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
312.	Municipality	Texas	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77026
313.	Municipality	Texas	County of McMullen	County of McMullen v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77067
314.	Municipality	Texas	County of Milam	County of Milam v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77141
315.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nacogdoches	County of Nacogdoches v.	Harring Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 7027
316.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nueces;	County of Nueces and Nueces	Harr <b>ig</b> Cnty. Dist. Ct.
			Nueces County Hospital District	County Hospital District v.	2018 7083
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	) de
317.	Municipality	Texas	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue	Harr Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>6</b> 8-77036
318.	Municipality	Texas	County of Panola	County of Panola v. Purdue	Harre Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77036 Harro Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77037 Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b><u>e</u>48<b>2</b>77037</b>
319.	Municipality	Texas	County of Parker	County of Parker v. Purdue	Horris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>6</b> 18 <del>-</del> 77143
320.	Municipality	Texas	County of Potter	County of Potter v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 9 39
321.	Municipality	Texas	County of Robertson	County of Robertson v. Purdue	Harna Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	200 80 7043
322.	Municipality	Texas	County of San Patricio	County of San Patricio v.	Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2 🛱 🕹 7075
323.	Municipality	Texas	County of Shelby	County of Shelby v. Purdue	Horn Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 2 2 2 7062
324.	Municipality	Texas	County of Travis	County of Travis v. Purdue	Haring Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2008-77144 Hang Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2008-27080
325.	Municipality	Texas	County of Trinity	County of Trinity v. Purdue	Hean Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 2 2 2 7080
326.	Municipality	Texas	County of Van Zandt	County of Van Zandt v.	Harin Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp.,	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
				et al.	E A
327.	Municipality	Texas	County of Waller	County of Waller v. Purdue	Harr Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <u>01</u> <b>2</b> 7153
328.	Municipality	Texas	County of Wood	County of Wood v. Purdue	Hang Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>65</b> 37081

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
329.	Municipality	Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Dist. Ct. Cache Cnty. 190100112
330.	Municipality	Utah	Davis County	Davis County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2nd Dist. Ct. Davis Cnty. 180700870
331.	Municipality	Utah	Grand County	Grand County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 1807 <b>£</b> 0040
32.	Municipality	Utah	Iron County	Iron County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5th
33.	Municipality	Utah	Millard County	Millard County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th 101. Dist. Ct. Millard Cnty. 1807-0044
34.	Municipality	Utah	Salt Lake County	Salt Lake County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd, <b>G</b> d. Dist. Ct. Salt Lake Cnty. 1809 <u>0</u> 2421
35.	Municipality	Utah	San Juan County	San Juan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7년 1월 1. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 1월 20040
336.	Municipality	Utah	Sanpete County	Sanpete County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6 <b>t</b> Jud. Dist. Ct. Sanpete Cnty.
337.	Municipality	Utah	Emery County; Juab County; Piute County Sevier County; Wayne County;	Sevier County, Utah; Juab County, Utah; Emery County, Utah; Wayne County, Utah; and Piute County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6 通
338.	Municipality	Utah	Summit County, Utah	Summit County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1977 Houst. Ct. Summit Cnty.
39.	Municipality	Utah	Tooele County, Utah	Tooele County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3 St. Ct. Tooele Cnty. 1 3 423
340.	Municipality	Utah	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; Tri-County Health Department	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; and Tri-County Health Department v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3 2 2 3 1 2 3 3 2 3 5 1 2 3 3 2 3 5 1 2 3 3 2 3 5 1 2 3 3 2 3 5 1 2 3 3 2 3 5 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2
641.	Municipality	Utah	Wasatch County, Utah	Wasatch County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4 5 Ast. Ct. Wasatch Cnty.
342.	Municipality	Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5th 195t. Ct. Washington Cnty. 1960 0179 62 01 2150 0125 0125 275
					08: <b>548</b> 8
			34		in Exercit

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
343.	Municipality	Utah	Weber County, Utah	Weber County, Utah v. Purdue	2nd Dist. Ct. Weber Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	180903087
344.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia v.	Cir. Ct. Martinsville Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CL18000240-00
345.	Municipality	Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia v.	Cir. Ct. Dinwiddie Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CL122000317-00
346.	Municipality	Virginia	The County Board of Arlington	The County Board of Arlington	Cir. 🙀. Arlington Cnty.
			County, Virginia	County, Virginia v. Purdue	CL1 201081-00
				Pharma L.P., et al.	
347.	Municipality	Virginia	Mecklenburg County	Mecklenburg County, Virginia	Cir. 🕰. Mecklenburg County
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CL1 200558-00
348.	Municipality	West Virginia	Brooke County Commission;	Brooke County Commission,	Cir et. Marshall Cnty. 176C-248H
			Hancock County Commission;	Hancock County Commission,	175C-248H
			Harrison County Commission;	Harrison County Commission,	1 <u></u>
			Lewis County Commission;	Lewis County Commission,	1 <b>₽0</b> 250H
			Marshall County Commission;	Marshall County Commission,	1ळ <b>्रि</b> 51H
			Ohio County Commission;	Ohio County Commission,	1 <b>₹0</b> 9
			Tyler County Commission;	Tyler County Commission, and	1 <u></u>
			Wetzel County Commission	Wetzel County Commission v.	1至G254H
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1760255H
					l og ∯
				Consolidated before MLP	Crit. Kanawha County
1				In re Opioid Litigation	1 <b>5</b> 02000

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)	<b>a</b>	Court /
240	Type	State West Vincinia	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
349.	Municipality	West Virginia	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; and Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall County 19-C-4H 19-C-5H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C5H 19-C-6H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C4H 19-C-6H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C4H 19-C-6H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C4H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C4H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C4H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C4H
				Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	1860-9000
350.	Municipality	West Virginia	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton; Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton, and Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Consolidated before MLP	Car. Car. Marshall Cnty. 195751 195752 1957
0.51		<b>XX</b> 7 . <b>X</b> 7 <sup>1</sup>		In re Opioid Litigation	Cle C. Kanawina County 1900 Cle C. Marshall Cnty. 1900 222H
351.	Municipality	West Virginia	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; and Upshur County Commission	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; and Upshur County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	120233H 1200234H 1200235H 1500236H CCC 236H CCC 236H CCC 236H CCC 236H
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	26	In re Opioid Litigation	0000 00120 71:5:008:568ain EXelocitonin 99 64 of 275
			36		n EXabridaniir

	T		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
252	Type	State           West Virginia	(Last, First) Roane County Commission;	Case Name	Case Number Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty.
352.	Municipality	west virginia	The City of Spencer;	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson	19-C-96H
			Jackson County Commission;	County Commission; The City	19-C-108H
			The City of Ripley;	of Ripley; The Town of	
			The Town of Ravenswood;	Ravenswood; Wood County	
			Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown;	Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County	10
			Wirt County Commission;	Commission; The Town of	l le
			The Town of Elizabeth;	Elizabeth; Pleasants County	
			Pleasants County Commission;	Commission; City of St. Mary's;	
			City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County	Ritchie County Commission;	
			Commission;	Town of Harrisville v. Mylan	
			Town of Harrisville	Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	
				Consolidated before MLP	Preline. Kanawha County
				In re Opioid Litigation	18,0-9000
Local	Government (MI	DL)			nju
353.	Municipality	MDL	Broward County, Florida	Broward County, Florida v.	Na Phio
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1 - 8 - 5332
0.5.4					Mas Case No. 17-md-2804
354.	Municipality	MDL	Cabell County Commission; City of Huntington, West Virginia	Cabell County Commission and City of Huntington, West	NBD Dhio. 1, P7 Sup-45053 (Cabell)
			City of Huntington, west virginia	Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen	1 <b>5</b> 7 <b>5</b> p-45055 (Cabell)
				Drug Corp., et al.	Maskar Case No. 17-md-2804
355.	Municipality	MDL	City of Chicago	City of Chicago v. Purdue	N <b>E</b> Sphio
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1975 p-45169
					Maser Case No. 17-md-2804
356.	Municipality	MDL	City of Cleveland	City of Cleveland v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp.,	N Shio
				et al.	1∄8∰p-45132 Masc Case No. 17-md-2804
357.	Municipality	MDL	City of Dothan, Alabama	City of Dothan, Alabama v.	ND 10 hio
	1 5		5	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19 <b>9</b> p-45886
					Mus Case No. 17-md-2804
					f 2
					072.5008:568ainExtendeduito 65 of 275
			37		un

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
358.	Municipality	MDL	City of Henderson, Kentucky	City of Henderson, Kentucky, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated home rule cities v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45062 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
359.	Municipality	MDL	City of Stuart, Florida	City of Stuart, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D_Qhio 1:20 <del>19</del> p-45124 Mas <b>X</b> Case No. 17-md-2804
360.	Municipality	MDL	Clinton County, Missouri	Clinton County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. 20 hio 1:20 20 p-45130 Master Case No. 17 md 2804
361.	Municipality	MDL	County of Alameda; City of Costa Mesa; City of Costa Mesa; City of Anaheim; City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Alameda County Counsel Donna Ziegler, Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow, and Anaheim City Attorney Robert Fabela, Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Leslie Devaney, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	County of Alameda, et al. v. Richard Sackler, et al.	ND0-op-45055 Mino 1:00-op-45055 Mino Case No. 17-md-2804 Mino Case No.
			38		12:5:108:548ainExtraction

	T	<u> </u>	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Cons N	Court /
262	TypeMunicipality	MDL State	(Last, First) County of Summit, Ohio;	Case Name County of Summit, Ohio, et al.	Case Number
362.	миністранту	MDL	Summit, Onio; Summit County Public Health; The City of Akron; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney for Summit County, Sherri Bevan Walsh; Director of Law for the City of Akron, Eve Belfance	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45090 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
363.	Municipality	MDL	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Whio 1:18 p-45158 Mas ar Case No. 17-md-2804
364.	Municipality	MDL	Hardin County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Hardin County; Breckinridge County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Breckinridge County; Green County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Green County; Meade County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Meade County; Ohio County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Ohio County, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated counties (Fiscal Courts)	Hardin County Fiscal Court, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.Del 1-20-op-45063 Master Case No. 17-md-2804 minol 75-11ed 19-00
365.	Municipality	MDL	Pike County, Missouri	Pike County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	New Whio 150 Sp-45131 Mes Er Case No. 17-md-2804
366.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N 5 5 hio 1 9 6 n-45934
367.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Wichita, Kansas	The City of Wichita, Kansas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Maser Case No. 17-md-2804 Case No. 17-md-2804 Case No. 17-md-2804 Maser Case No. 17-md-2804
368.	Municipality	MDL	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland v. Actavis PLC, et al.	ND Shio
			39		12000p-43032 Mas Cr Case No. 17-md-2804 Of DO N
					Xdonábolitr

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
369.	Municipality	MDL	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio;	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio,	N.D. Ohio
507.	winnerpairty	MDL	State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting	and State of Ohio ex rel.,	1:17-op-45004
			Attorney of Cuyahoga County,	Prosecuting Attorney of	Master Case No. 17-md-2804
			Michael C. O'Malley	Cuyahoga County, Michael C.	Musici Cuse 1(0, 17 ma 2001
			Whender C. O Wheney	O'Malley v. Purdue Pharma	
				L.P., et al.	
Local	Government (Fe	deral Court Pending	Transfer to MDL)		
370.	Municipality	Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama v.	M.D.Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19 <b>9</b> v-00630
371.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama v.	N.D ala.
	1 0		•	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19 <b>%</b> v-01812
372.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama v.	ND Ala.
	1 5		5	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7 <del>7</del> 9-cv-01565
373.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama v.	Ma Dala.
	1 2			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>∄9@</b> v-00861
374.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	NaD Ala.
	1 5			v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2.₹9 <sup>4</sup> €v-01812
375.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Chickasaw, Alabama	City of Chickasaw, Alabama v.	S∰. <b>G</b> la. 1 <b>⊈0</b> ⊈v-00117
	1 5		5	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1 <b>2</b> 0 <b>2</b> v-00117
376.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Eufaula, Alabama	City of Eufaula, Alabama v.	MDDAla.
	1 2			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2590-00862
377.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Fairfield, Alabama	City of Fairfield, Alabama v.	ND Ala.
	1 2			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2205v-00202 NDD2Ala. 1596v-00763
378.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Geneva, Alabama	City of Geneva, Alabama v.	NEDSAla.
	1 2			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1 <b>5</b> 9 <b>6</b> v-00763
379.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Headland, Alabama	City of Headland, Alabama v.	MEDRAla.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	MoDra Ala. 1792 v-00886 MoDra Ala. 3492 v-00885
380.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama v.	NED Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3₽9₽v-00885
381.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama v.	NED GA la.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>a</b> 0 <b>£</b> v-00201
382.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Level Plains, Alabama	City of Level Plains, Alabama	MEDEAla.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1.20 v-00137
383.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Linden, Alabama	City of Linden, Alabama v.	S.D. ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
384.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Luverne, Alabama	City of Luverne, Alabama v.	MoD Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	220 <b>co</b> v-00136
					22005v-00129 MDD Ala. 22005v-00136
					275
					СЛ <del>ро</del>
			40		in in it is a second seco
					₩.

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
385.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	1 2			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-01401
386.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:20-cv-00203
387.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Satsuma, Alabama	City of Satsuma, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
• • • •				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20 <sub>FGV</sub> -00127
388.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama v.	S.D. <b>A</b> la. 1:20 <b>A</b> v-00128
200	Maniatantita	A1.1		Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:2050-00128
389.	Municipality	Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D.Ala. 2:19 <b>4</b> v-00752
390.	Municipality	Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama v.	M.D.Ala.
590.	Withineipanty	Alabailla	Crensnaw County, Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19 v-00753
391.	Municipality	Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama v.	
571.	manopuny	Thuounnu	Listaniona County, Phasania	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sp.Ala. 1≩0gv-00134
392.	Municipality	Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama v.	MEDOAla.
	1 5			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	120 av-00135
393.	Municipality	Alabama	Perry County, Alabama	Perry County, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2, <b>⊒0⊈p</b> v-00113
394.	Municipality	Alabama	Russell County, Alabama	Russell County, Alabama v.	MD Ala. 309 Ev-00646
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3 <u>0</u> 9 <u>a</u> v-00646
395.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island,	So ala.
				Alabama v. Purdue Pharma	120 v-00135
207		411		L.P., et al.	So S
396.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama v.	501.42
397.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., etal. Town of Vance, Alabama v.	20000-00142
397.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	770 $770$
398.	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana and the People	City of Santa Ana and the	
570.	Withineipunty	Cumonnu	of the State of California, by and	People of the State of	3 <b>=</b> 9 <b>=v</b> -02324
			through Santa Ana City Attorney	California, by and through Santa	
			Sonia R. Carvalho	Ana City Attorney Sonia R.	
				Carvalho v. Purdue Pharma	tic <b>6</b>
				L.P., et al.	Pg 6
					D <sup>D</sup> C
					60 21
					) <b>9</b>
					f 28
					17:5-108:5-68ain Extension
			41		
			41		Ē
					<u>à</u>

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
399.	Municipality	California	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02321
400.	Municipality	California	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D.Cal. 3:19 6v-02323
401.	Municipality	California	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California, by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19 et D et D mina a
402.	Municipality	California	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	ND Fe al. 4 = 9 = 0 = -02320 U TE n ed cticitat
403.	Municipality	California	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N:Decal. 3:395 v-02325 000 v-020 v-02325 000 v-020 v-02325 000 v-020 v-0
404.	Municipality	California	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	NGD Stal. 3.900 v-02307 1000 million 1000 mi
405.	Municipality	California	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Pg
	_		42		70 of 275

	Twpo	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
406.	TypeMunicipality	Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal	City of Dover, a municipal	D. Del.
400.	Wunnerparity	Delaware	corporation of the State of	corporation of the State of	1:19-cv-00581
			Delaware;	Delaware; City of Seaford, a	1.17 00 00301
			City of Seaford, a municipal	municipal corporation of the	
			corporation of the State of	State of Delaware; and Kent	
			Delaware;	County, a political subdivision	
			Kent County, a political	of the State of Delaware v.	- <u>-</u>
			subdivision of the State of	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	9
			Delaware		H H M.D G Ia.
407.	Municipality	Florida	City of Ocala, Florida	City of Ocala, Florida v. Purdue	M.D. Ta.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19 <b>æ</b> v-00440
408.	Municipality	Hawaii	County of Hawai'i	County of Hawai'i v. Purdue	D. How.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1-99-cv-00581
409.	Municipality /	Hawaii	County of Kaua'i, a political	County of Kaua'i, a political	Dinary - 7
	Class Action		subdivision of the State of Hawaii,	subdivision of the State of	1 <b>⊒</b> 9 <b>@</b> v-00377
			for themselves individually, and on	Hawaii, for themselves	nar 12
			behalf of all similarly situated	individually, and on behalf of all	
			persons, and on behalf of the	similarly situated persons, and	7 <b>5F1</b> ledF0
			general public, as a class	on behalf of the general public,	un 🖻
				as a class v. CVS Health	CE ČF
410.	Municipality	Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	Corporation, et al. Town of Abita Springs,	
410.	wunnerpainty	Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	Louisiana v. Purdue Pharma	290 Sty 14521
				Inc., et al.	
411.	Municipality	Louisiana	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected	Warren Montgomery, Duly	FS Pa
	Wanterpuncy	Louisiana	22nd Judicial District Attorney for	Elected 22nd Judicial District	2 <b>89</b>
			the Parishes of St. Tammany and	Attorney for the Parishes of St.	
			Washington	Tammany and Washington v.	
				Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	
412.	Municipality	Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine	City of Rockland, State of	0 E-P)-Ca. 239050 E-C2-Ca. 260050 E-C2-Ca. 260050 E-C2-Ca. 260050 E-C2-Ca. 260050 E-C2-Ca. 260050 E-C2-Ca. 279050 E-C2-CA. 279
				Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et	2 <b>∋</b> 9 <b>€</b> v-00373
				al.	2==9==================================
413.	Municipality	Maine	Knox County, State of Maine	Knox County, State of Maine,	Dig Ma
				individually, and on behalf of all	2:19 8v-00371
				others similarly situated v.	
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
414.	Municipality	Maryland	Howard County	Howard County, Maryland v.	D-NG. 1996-02116
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1998 v-02116
					22 <del>25</del>
			<i>,</i> -		19702110 19702110 19702110 19702110 19702110
			43		n E
					× ×
					<u>ă</u>

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
415.	Municipality	Michigan	Charter Township of Harrison	Charter Township of Harrison v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11681
416.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Sterling Heights	City of Sterling Heights v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11685
417.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Warren	City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. <b>_M</b> ich. 2:19 <b>/</b> 2v-11687
418.	Municipality	Minnesota	City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota	City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Main. 0:1999-02379
419.	Municipality	Missouri	Camden County, Missouri	Camden County, Missouri v. Williams et al.	E.D. <b>&amp;1</b> 0. 4:19 <b>&amp;</b> v-02930
420.	Municipality	Missouri	St. Francois County	St. Francois County v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et al.	E- <b>D</b>
421.	Municipality	Nevada	Clark County	Clark County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	DENov. 2≩9©v-01616
422.	Municipality	New Hampshire	Carroll County	Carroll County v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et al.	DoN II. 1.⊀9€v-01097
423.	Municipality	New Hampshire	Coos County	Coos County v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et al.	DEN-11. 10980v-01095
424.	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Burlington, NJ	County of Burlington, NJ v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D.N.
425.	Municipality	New Jersey	Cumberland County	Cumberland County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1292v-13684 1292v-19134
426.	Municipality	New Jersey	Township of Brick	Township of Brick v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	D 3 9 v-17998 N 9 v-17998 N 9 v-17998 N 9 v-17998 N 9 v-17998 N 9 v-17998 N 9 v-17998 N 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
427.	Municipality	New York	City of Amsterdam	City of Amsterdam v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N
428.	Municipality	New York	City of Auburn	City of Auburn v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	VEDQU.Y. 67398x-06490
429.	Municipality	New York	City of Ogdensburg	The City of Ogdensburg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N
430.	Municipality / Class Action	New York	City of Poughkeepsie	The City of Poughkeepsie, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	7.29 BV-06800
			Λ Λ		172 of 275
			44		∩ EXabelia

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
431.	Municipality	New York	City of Rochester	City of Rochester v. Purdue	E.D.N.Y.
422	N6 · · · 14			Pharma L.P., et al.	2:18-cv-03800 N.D.N.Y.
432.	Municipality	New York	City of Saratoga Springs	The City of Saratoga Springs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D.N.Y. 1:19-cv-00789
433.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Fayette, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel.	The County of Fayette, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel.	S.D. Ohio 2:19=cv-04347
			Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette County, Jess Weade	Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette County, Jess Weade v. Purdue	E.D.Qkla.
434.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Pharma L.P., et al. Board of County	
434.	Wunterparity	Okianoina	Atoka County	Commissioners of Atoka County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	6:19 <b>%</b> v-00279
				et al.	
435.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	WED Kla.
			Caddo County	Commissioners of Caddo County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	5m9960-00710 nary 15
				et al.	
436.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	
			Cimarron County	Commissioners of Cimarron County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	5 <u>19</u> <u>E</u> v-00776
				et al.	l Šib
437.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	ED Okla.
			Coal County	Commissioners of Coal County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6559&v-00405
438.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	VEDUTORIA. 5#9#v-00776 CC C
			Grady County	Commissioners of Grady	50000000000000000000000000000000000000
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
439.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	
			Haskell County	Commissioners of Haskell	649 6 v-00280
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	The second secon
440.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	WEDE Dkla.
			Jackson County	Commissioners of Jackson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	5:19 <b>S</b> v-01108
				et al.	Pg
	•	•			
					1.5008:548ainEXolociduit '3 of 275
			45		ainfi
					4

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
441.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00721
442.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19 <u>FG</u> v-00884 19
443.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer	E.D. <b>G</b> kla. 6:19 <b>G</b> v-00282
144.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Bukla. 6-19-cv-00362 E.D. Bukla. 6-19-cv-00362 E.D. Bukla.
445.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	WaDDDkla. 5≹99€v-01109 ⊐.J.J. understand WaDBOkla.
446.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	WGD BOkla. 559 Ev-00984 and Colored and Co
447.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Love County	Board of County Commissioners of Love County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E
448.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Major County	Board of County Commissioners of Major County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
449.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	ED & kla. 679 & v-00300 D & v-00300
450.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	WDDCOkla. 5:19 <b>C</b> v-00926 4 P <b>5</b> 9 <b>f</b> D
			46		21:5-208:5-88ain EXectionin
					Dxtbridbility

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
451.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
			Pottawatomie County	Commissioners of Pottawatomie	5:19-cv-00880
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
450		0111		et al.	
452.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County Commissioners of Texas	W.D. Okla.
			Texas County	County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	5:19 <sub>F</sub> Gv-00987
				et al.	3 <b>@</b> -D
453.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	W.D <b>Q</b> Dkla.
	1 5		Woods County	Commissioners of Woods	5:19 v-00878
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
				et al.	
454.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	WD. Okla.
			Woodward County	Commissioners of Woodward	5 雪 🖉 v-01110
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	WD. Okla. 5990v-01110 m. 60 n 61 n 61 n 61 n 61 n 61 n 61 n 61 n 61
455.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Anadarko	City of Anadarko v. Purdue	WZDPOkla.
чуу.	Withineipanty	OKIdilollid	City of Alladarko	Pharma L.P., et al.	5 <b><del>7</del>9-6v-00815</b>
456.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Bethany	City of Bethany v. Purdue	5 <u>3</u> 9 <u>0</u> v-00815 V <u>C</u> D <u></u> Dkla.
	1 5		5	Pharma L.P., et al.	5798v-00804
457.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Fort Cobb	City of Fort Cobb v. Purdue	WGDEOkla.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	5792v-00816
458.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Jenks	City of Jenks v. Purdue Pharma	ND Okla. 4. 99 Sv-00380
450		0111		L.P., et al.	4 <b>898</b> v-00380
459.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Seminole	City of Seminole v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E <b>S</b> . &kla. 6 <b>29</b> W-00291
460.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Shawnee	City of Shawnee v. Purdue	W D Okla.
400.	Withineipanty	Okianoina	City of Shawhee	Pharma L.P., et al.	5.00 v-00711
461.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Adams County	Adams County v. Purdue	E a.
	1 2	5	~	Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <u>±</u> 9 <b>@</b> v-04438
462.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania	E 🔁 🦉 a.
				v. AmerisourceBergen Drug	500 av-03884
1.60				Corp., et al.	
463.	Municipality	Texas	County of Angelina	County of Angelina v. Allergan	S.D. Sex.
464.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burleson	PLC, et al.	429 Nov-03590
- <del>1</del> 0-1.	Municipality	10,405		County of Burleson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	499 my-03845
	1	<u>    l                                </u>			4:29 20 - 03590 S.D. 9 20 ex. 4:19 50 - 03845 Of D 2 2: 75 50 in Exemption
					27
					വ്ക്ക്
			47		

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
465.	Municipality	Texas	County of Duval	County of Duval v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02504
466.	Municipality	Texas	County of Freestone	County of Freestone v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-03983
467.	Municipality	Texas	Ellis County	Ellis County v. Purdue Pharma	S.D Tex.
460	NG			L.P., et al.	4:19 <sub>FGV</sub> -02256
468.	Municipality	Texas	County of Jim Hogg	County of Jim Hogg v. Purdue	S.D. <b>f</b> ex. 4:19 <b>Se</b> v-02816
460	Manai alia alitaa	Т	Country of Klob and	Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19 <b>-5</b>
469.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kleberg	County of Kleberg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. ex. 4:19 v-02815
470.	Municipality	Texas	Rockwall County	Rockwall County v. Purdue	S.D. dex.
470.	Wulleipanty	Texas	Rockwall County	Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19 = v-02181
471.	Municipality	Texas	County of Williamson	County of Williamson v. Purdue	STD Tex
<b>ч</b> /1.	winnerpairty	10,205	County of Williamson	Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Jex. 4 <b>±9</b> - <b>3</b> 00-03299
472.	Municipality	Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia v.	WEDOVa.
172.	Withineipunty	v irginita	Animerst County, Anginia	Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	6299 SV-00077
473.	Municipality	Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia v.	W.D.Va.
	J	8	,,	Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	7 <b>⊒</b> 9 <b>⊊</b> v-00759
474.	Municipality	Virginia	Charlotte County	Charlotte County, Virginia v.	W <b>⊆</b> D <b>⊑</b> √a.
	1 1	C C		Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	VED <sup>1</sup> /a. 409 <sup>2</sup> / <sub>2</sub> v-00029
475.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Emporia	City of Emporia, Virginia v.	EODATA
		_		Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3,79 <b>°</b> v-00513
476.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Fredericksburg	City of Fredericksburg, Virginia	E 20 27 a. 30 9 20 - 00457
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3598v-00457
477.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Portsmouth	City of Portsmouth v.	E 2 5 2 5 a. 2 5 9 5 v - 00331
				McKesson Corporation, et al.	2 <b>5</b> 9 <b>5</b> v-00331
478.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Radford	City of Radford v. Purdue	Va. 7.99 Bv-00525
				Pharma L.P., et al.	7.50 ev-00525
479.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Waynesboro	The City of Waynesboro,	
				Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	5 <u>−</u> 9 <b>0</b> v-00058
400	Maria 114	17'	Cal	et al.	ver de la companya de
480.	Municipality	Virginia	Culpeper County	Culpeper County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	$V_{0} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}$
481.	Municipality	Virginia	Cumberland County	Cumberland County, Virginia v.	3:1987-00037 W.DOVa. 609987-00054
401.	Winnerpairty	virginia	Cumberland County	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6+99-00054
482.	Municipality	Virginia	Greensville County	Greensville County, Virginia v.	<b>FD V</b> a
102.	Withineipunty	v irginita	Greensvine county	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19 <b>5</b> v-00459
					225
					000000000000000000000000000000000000
			48		in
					₩ 2

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
483.	Municipality	Virginia	Loudoun County	Loudoun County, Virginia v.	E.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00778
484.	Municipality	Virginia	Patrick County	Patrick County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00032
485.	Municipality	Virginia	Prince George County	Prince George County, Virginia	E.D. Va.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19 <u>م</u> -00458
486.	Municipality	Virginia	Shenandoah County	Shenandoah County, Virginia v.	W.DGVa.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19 <b>S</b> v-00056
487.	Municipality	Virginia	Wise County Board of Supervisors	Wise County Board of	W.DQVa.
		-		Supervisors v.	2:19 v-00039
				AmerisourceBergen Drug	90
				Corporation, et al.	Pr Pr
Triba	l (Federal Court l	Pending Transfer to M	(IDL)		<b>• •</b>
488.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Citizen Potawatomi Nation v.	WEDBOkla.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5 <u>₹</u> 9 <b>©</b> v-00955
489.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Delaware Nation	Delaware Nation v. Purdue	Void Da Okla.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	5,₹9 <b>-</b> ₹v-00956
490.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma v.	ND Q kla.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <u><u><u></u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>
491.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Sac & Fox Nation	Sac & Fox Nation v. Purdue	VODOkla.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	50090000000000000000000000000000000000
492.	Tribal	Oklahoma	The Osage Nation	The Osage Nation v. Purdue	N Skla.
			-	Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <b>₫</b> 9 <b>€</b> v-00485
493.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town v.	No Skla.
			-	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4∄9&v-00485 NGC Skla. 4&9&v-00557

如如tenEndtenetron。Pg 77 of 275

19-9828849etroid DDcc 12.75F11ed F014/14/25/20/2012 Teleaterot 24/14/25/20/2012:50:358ain Extra bit intent Preliminary Injunction an Elg/07/uot 27/34njunction Pg 78 of 275

## Appendix IV

**Chart of Related Party Actions** 

		St. t	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Con Contin	
elated Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
nons ne Purdue Frederick Company c.	AG	Alabama	The State of Alabama	The State of Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Montgomery Cnty 03-CV-2019-901174
e Purdue Frederick Company	AG	Alaska	State of Alaska	State of Alaska v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. AK, 3rd Jud. Dist. 3AN-17-09966
e Purdue Frederick Company c. (d/b/a The Purdue ederick Company); chard Sackler; neresa Sackler; athe Sackler; nathan Sackler; ortimer D.A. Sackler; everly Sackler; avid Sackler; me Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary	Super. Ct. Pima Cnty. C20072471
chard Sackler; eresa Sackler; nathan Sackler; nathan Sackler; ortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly ckler; avid Sackler; ene Sackler Lefcourt; ene Purdue Frederick Company c. (d/b/a The Purdue ederick Company); Purdue oldings L.P.; .P Associates Holdings L.P.; & Holdings Associates L.P.; osebay Medical Company P.; eacon Company	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex regiment Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P. cention and U2020/ED te icont 00/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	U.S. Supreme Court No. 22O151
e Purdue Frederick Company c.	AG	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge	Leslie Rutledge v. Purdu	Cir. Ct. Pulaski Cnty. 60CV-18-2018
nown document property name.				108: <b>5</b> 昭ain日刘 of 275	
c.				rel. Leslie Rutledge	Turdue Frederick Company     AG     Arkansas     State of Arkansas, ex     State of Arkansas, ex       rel. Leslie Rutledge     Leslie Rutledge     Pharma L.P., et al.     Image: Company       Of D     Image: Company     Image: Company     Image: Company       Image: Company     Image: Company     Image: Company     Imag

Dr. Richard S. Sackler The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. MNP Consulting Limited; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler;	AG AG	California Colorado	The People of the State of California	The People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma	Los Angeles Cnty. Super.
Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	AG	Colorada		L.P., et al.	Ct. 19STCV19045
Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Russell Gasdia; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; James David Haddox		Colorado	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Denver 2018CV33300
Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Frank Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judy Lewent; John Stewart; Mark Timney Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, L.P.;	AG	Connecticut	State of Connecticut	State of Connecticut vine Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma L.P.,	Hartford State Super. Ct. NO. X07 HHD-CV-19- 6105325-S
Richard S. Sackler, M.D.	AG	DC	District of Columbia	District of Columbia 🔆 Ö Purdue Pharma L.P., 😋 🕂	Super. Ct. District of Columbia 2019 CA 003680 B
			2	18: <b>5</b> 晚ain田破 275	
	Craig Landau; James David Haddox Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Frank Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judy Lewent; John Stewart; Mark Timney Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, L.P.; Beacon Company	Craig Landau; James David HaddoxAGRichard Sackler;AGTheresa Sackler;AGTheresa Sackler;Jonathan Sackler;Jonathan Sackler;Beverly Sackler;Beverly Sackler;David Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Frank Peter Boer;Paulo Costa;Cecil Pickett;Ralph Snyderman;Judy Lewent;John Stewart;Mark TimneyPurdue Holdings L.P.;PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;BR Holdings Associates L.P.;Rosebay Medical Company,L.P.;Beacon Company	Craig Landau; James David HaddoxAGConnecticutRichard Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Frank Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judy Lewent; John Stewart; Mark Timney Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, L.P.; Beacon CompanyAGConnecticut	Craig Landai; James David HaddoxAGConnecticutState of ConnecticutRichard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; David Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Frank Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judy Lewent; John Stewart; Mark Timmey Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, L.P.; Beacon CompanyAGDCDistrict of Columbia	Craig Landau; James David Haddox       AG       Connecticut       State of Connecticut       State of Connecticut       State of Connecticut       State of Connecticut       Purdue Pharma L.P., graduat         Richard Sackler;       Jonathan Sackler;       Purdue Pharma L.P., graduat       Purduat       Purduat

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
10.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler;	AG AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathleen Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathleen Jennings v. Richard	Super. Ct. of Delaware C.A. No. N19C-09-062
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler;				Sackler, et al.	MMJ (CCLD)
11.	David Sackler The Purdue Frederick Company	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex	State of Delaware, ex rel	Super. Ct. of Delaware
11.	Inc.	AU	Delaware	rel. Kathy Jennings	Kathy Jennings v. Purduck Pharma L.P., et al.	C.A. No. N18C-01-223 MMJ (CCLD)
12.	The Purdue Frederick Company	AG	Florida	State of Florida, Office	State of Florida, Office o	Cir. Ct. Pasco Cnty.
	Inc.			of the Attorney General,	Attorney General, Department	Case No. 2018-CA-001438
				Department of Legal Affairs	of Legal Affairs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
13.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Georgia	State of Georgia	Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Gwinnett Cnty. 19-A-00060-4
14.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Guam	Territory of Guam	Territory of Guam v. Portele Pharma, L.P., et al. <u></u>	Super. Ct. Guam, Hagatna CV1020-19
15.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	AG	Hawaii	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General	State of Hawaii, ex recommendation E. Connors, Attorney Georgial v. Purdue Pharma L.Poergia	1st Cir. Ct. of Hawaii 09-1-0862-06 JHA
	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				E. Connors, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P. C. Ho Donald 200 Content State of Idaho, Through Attorney General Lawer for G. Wagdan v. Purdue Pharma	
	Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler;				20/E	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler				ගිtel ගැනැ	
16.	Richard Sackler;	AG	Idaho	State of Idaho, Through	State of Idaho, Throug	Ada County District Court
	Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler;			Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden	G Wasden v. Purdue Phoene	CV01-19-10061
	Jonathan Sackler;			Lawrence O. Wasuen	LP et al	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;				P <sub>0</sub>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				G. Wasden v. Purdue Enama L.P., et al.	
					1:9:108:568 31 of 275	
					of 2	
				3	568aii 175	
					<b>1:9</b> 108: <b>5</b> 48a in EXectionin 31 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
17.	Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Beverly Sackler;	AG	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-CH-04406
10	Theresa Sackler; David Sackler		To 1's as			M
18.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	Pharma L.P., et al.	Marion Cir. / Super. Ct., Civ. Div. 10 49D10-1811-PL-045447
19.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Purduk Pharma L.P., et al. State of Indiana v. Richated Sackler, et. al. State of Indiana v. Richated Docd 75 Niler Attorney General	Marion Cir. / Super. Ct., Civ. Div. 13 49D13-1905-PL-020498
20.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler	AG	Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General of Iowa	Miller, Attorney General Iowa v. Purdue Pharma Lat., et al.	Polk Cnty. Dist. Ct. EQCE 084514
21.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Kansas	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek Schmidt, Attorney General	State of Kansas, ex represent Schmidt, Attorney Gears v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Shawnee Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-cv-000369
22.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Louisiana	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health	State of I A f/k/a I aui	19th Judicial District Court, Parish of East Baton Rouge Suit No. 661638
23.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler	AG	Maine	State of Maine	State of LA INVA Louis and Dept. of Health v. Purt Pharma L.P, et al.	Kennebec County Superior Court CV-19-112
				4	1/2:071:5:108:568ain EXeminit Pg 82 of 275	
					n EX Abrida lin	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
24.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	Maryland	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General (MD)	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Consumer Protection Division of the Office of the Attorney General (Md.) / Office of Administrative Hearings CPD Case No.: 311366 OAH Case No. 1923474
25.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Synderman; Judith Lewent; Craig Landau; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Russell J. Gasdia	AG	Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction anelow Martin 2007 State of Minnesota by Attorney General, Keiger Ellison v. Purdue Pharmini L.P., et al. State of Mississippi v. Surger State of Mississippi v. Surger State of Mississippi v. Surger Pharma L.P. et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. C.A. No. 1884-cv-01808 (BLS2)
26.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Minnesota	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison	State of Minnesota by State Attorney General, Kei Ellison v. Purdue Phar Difference L.P., et al. Pg	4th Jud. Dist. Ct., Hennepin Cnty. Court File No. 27-CV-18- 10788
27.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Mississippi	State of Mississippi	State of Mississippi v. Surfue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hinds Cnty. 25CH1:15-cv-001814
				5	Pharma L.P., et al. 000 2007 75 ABA	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
28.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Missouri	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	Cir. Ct. St. Louis City 1722-CC10626
29.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Montana	State of Montana	State of Montana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Lewis & Clark Cty. ADV-2017-949
30.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Nevada	State of Nevada	State of Nevada v. McKe Corp, et al. Preliminary Injunction ar	Dist. Ct. Clark County A-19-796755-B
31.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampsh <b>R S</b> Purdue Pharma L.P., <b>S</b>	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-2017-CV-00402
32.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampsher Richard S. Sackler, et Richard	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-2019-CV-00617
33.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	Gurbir S. Grewal, et a Purdue Pharma L.P., et a Gurbir S. Grewal, et a Purdue Pharma L.P., et a On SIZO PO O SIZO O ZO	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery. Div., Essex Cty. ESX-C-245-17
				6	<b>1071.9</b> 108: <b>5</b> 48a in EXendeduit g 84 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
34.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Kathe Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery. Div., Essex Cty. ESX-C-115-19
35.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General	Hector Balderas, Attorne	Santa Fe Dist. D-101-CV-201702541
36.	Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attome General v. Richard S. Badeler, et al.	Santa Fe Dist. D-101-CV-201902399
37.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York	The People of the State New York, by Letitia Janes, Attorney General of the State of New York v. Purduce Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 20	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400016-2018
				7	7 <b>1:9</b> 108: <b>5</b> 48a in EXendeduite 85 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
38.	Purdue Pharma Technologies Inc.; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 18-cv-6051
39.	Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Richard Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 19-cv-12596
40.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	North Dakota	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General	State of North Dakota ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Atterney General v. Purdue Phaene L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Burleigh Cnty. 08-2018-CV-01300
41.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Ohio	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General	State of Ohio, ex rel. Davie Yost, Ohio Attorney Genoral v. Purdue Pharma L.PÇet	C.P. Ross Cnty 17CI000261
42.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex re RE en F. Rosenblum, Attorn & General for the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 18CV40526
43.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex respective F. Rosenblum, Attorner Part General for the State of Part Oregon v. Purdue Phant L.P., et al. Pg 80	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV22185
				8	073/270/27072:19108:5548ain Etxendebiltr on Pg 86 of 275	
					Xabidalini	

Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
44. Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A Sackler Beverly Sackler;	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Cir Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV44161
Theresa Sackler           45.         The Purdue Frederick Comp Inc.	any AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorne V General Josh Shapiro v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	Commonwealth Ct. of PA 257-md-19
46. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro L. D Richard Sackler, et al. nary Inju	Commonwealth Ct. of PA 508-md-19
47. The Purdue Frederick Comp Inc.	any AG	Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	Rico v. Purdue Pharmati. et al.	Super. Ct. San Juan SJ2018CV01659
48. The Purdue Frederick Comp Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Richard S. Sackler	any AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, Syand through Peter F. Neroman Attorney General v. Pode Pharma L.P., et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. Ct. PC-18-4555
<ul> <li>49. Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler</li> </ul>	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General	Pharma L.P., et al. State of Rhode Island, Hysnd through Peter F. Neromac Attorney General v. Rand S. Sackler, et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. Ct. PC-19-9399
50. The Purdue Frederick Comp Inc.	any AG	South Carolina	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General	State of South Carolina	C.P. Richland Cnty. 2017CP4004872
			9	18:568ain田破 1275	
			9	General v. Purdue Phasm5 L.P., et al. 75 80. 75 80. 75 80. 75 80. 75 80. 75 80. 75 80. 75 80. 75 80. 75 80. 75 80. 75 80. 75 80. 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
51.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	South Dakota	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Hughes Cnty. 32CIV18-000065
52.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Texas	State of Texas	State of Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77003
53.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler, M.D.; Kathe Sackler, M.D.	AG	Utah	Utah Division of Consumer Protection	In the Matter of Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Division of Consumer Protection of the Department of Commerce of the State of Utah DCP Case No. 107102
54.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Purd	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 757-9-18-CRCV
55.	Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Rahad S. Sackler, et al. Y Injunction	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 469-5-19-CNCV
56.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler	AG	Virginia	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General	Commonwealth of Virging, ex rel. Mark R. Herring Attorney General v. Pool Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Tazewell Cnty. CL18-1076
57.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Washington	State of Washington	State of Washington v	Super. Ct. King Cty. 17-2-25505-0 SEA
58.	Richard Sackler, M.D.	AG	West Virginia	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General	State of West Virginia exerci. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharmey	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 19-C-62
59.	Richard S. Sackler	AG	Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin v. Runture Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dane Cnty. 2019CX000009
				10	<b>1:5</b> 108: <b>5</b> 48a in EXended in 188 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
60.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Wyoming	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Jud. Ct. Laramie Cnty. 190-576
Trih	al (MDL)				<u> </u>	
61.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	Puyallup Tribe of Indians	Puyallup Tribe of Indians. Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45660 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
62.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation v. AmerisourceBerger Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45749 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
63.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation	The Muscogee (Creek an ation v. Purdue Pharma L.P. Reful. J. J.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45459 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
Trib	al (State Court)	•	•		ncr	·
64.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of	Apache Tribe of Oklaton v.	D. Ct. Caddo Cnty.
τ	Inc.			Oklahoma	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	CJ-2019-69
<u>Loca</u> 65.	al Government (MDL) The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Broward County, Florida	Broward County, Flored &	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45332 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
66.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler, M.D.; Kathe A. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	MDL	Cabell County Commission; City of Huntington, West Virginia	Cabell County Commission and City of Huntington Virginia v. Purdue Phana et al. Ction Pg	N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45053 (Cabell) N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45054 (Huntington) Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				11	171:5-108:548a in EXchabilit 89 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
67.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Chicago	City of Chicago v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45169 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
68.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Cleveland	City of Cleveland v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45132 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
69.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Dothan, Alabama	City of Dothan, Alabama Purdue Pharma L.P., et alog	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45886 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
70.	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Henderson, Kentucky	City of Henderson, Kentucky, on behalf of themselver and all other similarly situated home rule cities v. Purgue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45062 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
71.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi	Mississippi v. Purdue Shama L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45934 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
72.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Stuart, Florida	City of Stuart, Florida Purdue Pharma L.P., e The City of Wichita, Kongas v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45124 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
73.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Wichita, Kansas		N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45781 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
74.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan	Municipality	MDL	Clinton County, Missouri	Clinton County, Missour Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45130 Master Case No. 17-md-
				12	8: <b>5</b> 48air 275	
					Allergan PLC, et al. 0 0 0 0 275 8 75 8 8 10 75	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Action Type	State		Cast Caputi	2804
75.	Jonathan Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of the Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	MDL	County of Alameda; City of Costa Mesa; City of Anaheim; City of Santa Ana; City of Sant Clemente; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Alameda County Counsel Donna Ziegler, Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow, and Anaheim City Attorney Robert Fabela, Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Leslie Devaney, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	County of Alameda, et al. v. Richard Sackler, et al. 19.98228999etatid DDcod 75 75F1ledH04/05/20/2075001.508:56ainE200tbit Preliminary Injunction an@g/90uofa?y4njunction Pg 91 of 275	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45055 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				13	0f 275	
					7 EXdocidamitr	

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	MDL	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland v. Actavis PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45052 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.			Cuyahoga, Ohio; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley	Ohio, and State of Ohio rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley v. Purdue	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45332 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	County of Monroe	Pharma L.P., et al. nary In 75	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45158 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	County of Summit, Ohio; Summit County Public Health; The City of Akron; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney for Summit County, Sherri Bevan Walsh and the Director of Law for the City of Akron, Eve Belfance	County of Summit, Olition al. v. Purdue Pharma Instition al. al. anelovoluofary4nju anelovoluofary4nju	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45090 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
			14	<b>44/14/12/12/0/12/07:15</b> 108:5548ain田地 unction Pg 92 of 275	
	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler LefcourtMunicipalityThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.Municipality	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler LefcourtMunicipalityMDLThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityMDLThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityMDLThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityMDLThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityMDLThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityMDL	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Ilene Sackler; Ilene Sackler; Ilene Sackler; MunicipalityMDLThe County Carroll County MarylandDavid Sackler; Ilene Sackler: Ilene Sackler LefcourtMunicipalityMDLThe County of Cuyahoga, Ohio; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'MalleyThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityMDLCounty of MonroeThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityMDLCounty of Summit, Ohio; Summit County Public Health; The City of Akron; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney for Summit County, Sherri Bevan Walsh and the Director of Law for the City of Akron, Eve Belfance	Itelated Parties         Action Type         State         Last, First)         Case Caption           Richard Sackler;         Municipality         MDL         The County         The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland v.           Mortimer D.A. Sackler;         Heverly Sackler;         The Sackler;         The Sackler;         The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland v.           Jonathan Sackler;         Jonathan Sackler;         The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.         Municipality         MDL         The County of Cuyahoga Ohio;         The County of Cuyahoga Ohio;         The County of Cuyahoga Ohio;         The County of Cuyahoga County, Michail C. O'Malley         Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michail C. O'Malley V. Purdue O Pharma L.P., et al.         The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.         MDL         County of Monroe         County of Monroe V. Earther Pharma L.P., et al.         The County of Summit, Ohio;           The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.         Municipality         MDL         County of Summit, Ohio;         County of Summit, Ohio;         Summit County of Summit, Ohio;         Summit County of Summit, Ohio;         Summit County, Sherri Beva Walls and Depart of Carona County of Akron;         The City of Akron;         State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of County of Summit, Ohio;         The County of Summit, Ohio;         Summit County of Summit, Ohio;         Summit County, Sherri Beva Walls and Depart of County of Akron;         State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorn;

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
80.	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Hardin County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Hardin County; Breckinridge County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Breckinridge County; Green County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Green County; Meade County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Meade County; Ohio County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Ohio County, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated counties (Fiscal Courts)	Hardin County Fiscal Court, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Instance Preliminary Instance Preliminary Instance Preliminary Instance Allergan PLC, et al. Alexander City, Alabatation. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45063 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
81.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	MDL	Pike County, Missouri	Pike County, Missour Allergan PLC, et al. Ction and QA QA QA	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45131 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
Loca	ll Government (Federal Court Pen	ding Transfer to	MDL)			•
82.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama	Alexander City, Alaba . Purdue Pharma L.P., Alaba	M.D. Ala. 3:19-cv-00630
83.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabangy. Purdue Pharma L.P., Ean	N.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-01812
84.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama	City of Brent, Alabamaver Purdue Pharma L.P., Han	N.D. Ala. 7:19-cv-01565
85.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama	City of Brundidge, Ala ana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., egal.	M.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-00861
86.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	City of Center Point, D	N.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-01812
				15	L.P., et al. Of 275 275 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
37.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Chickasaw,	City of Chickasaw, Alabama	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00117
88.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Eufaula,	City of Eufaula, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00862
<u>89.</u>	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Fairfield,	City of Fairfield, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	2:20-cv-00202
0.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Geneva,	City of Geneva, Alabama	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	1:19-cv-00763
1.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Headland,	City of Headland, Alabare v.	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	1:19-cv-00886
2.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama 📸	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	3:19-cv-00885
93.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., 🕰	2:20-cv-00201
4.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Level Plains,	City of Level Plains, A a a a	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.Poe	1:20-cv-00137
5.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Linden,	City of Linden, Alabara	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., epatr	2:20-cv-00129
6.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Luverne,	City of Luverne, Alabanty.	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., e@ab	2:20-cv-00136
7.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Oxford,	City of Oxford, Alabaaa	N.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	1:19-cv-01401
8.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Pell City,	City of Pell City, Alabametv.	N.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., 💏	4:20-cv-00203
9.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Satsuma,	Purdue Pharma L.P., Call Purdue Pharma L.P., Call Pharma L.P., Call Pharma Phar	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., 🗲 a 🔁	1:20-cv-00127
00.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Uniontown,	City of Uniontown, A the sena v. Purdue Pharma L.R. C. I.	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.R	1:20-cv-00128
01.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama	Coosa County, Alabarin 🛱	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	2:19-cv-00752
02.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Crenshaw County,	Crenshaw County, Alata	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P 🔂	2:19-cv-00753
03.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Escambia County,	Escambia County, Alabara	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.		-	Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., e	1:20-cv-00134
04.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Geneva County,	Geneva County, Alabama	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	1:20-cv-00135
					0 <sup>1</sup> 0	
					± 08	
					15-108:568a in Exabidabilit 4 of 275	
				16	<u>ප</u>	
					n	
					<u>ě</u>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
105.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Perry County, Alabama	Perry County, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
100	Inc.	NG 1 1 12	411	<b>D</b> 11 C	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00113
106.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Russell County,	Russell County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
107	Inc.	Maniairalita	Alabama	Alabama Town of Dauphin	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00646 S.D. Ala.
107.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Island, Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00135
	Inc.			Island, Alabama	L.P., et al.	1:20-00155
108.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Faunsdale,	Town of Faunsdale, Alabana	S.D. Ala.
00.	Inc.	Wunterpairty	Alabailla	Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., eta.	2:20-cv-00142
09.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Vance,	Town of Vance, Alabama	N.D. Ala.
.07.	Inc.	Wanterparty	7 Habama	Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	7:19-cv-01564
110.	Richard S. Sackler;	Municipality	California	The City and County of	The City and County of San	N.D. Cal.
. 10.	Jonathan D. Sackler;	Wanterparty	Camonna	San Francisco,	Francisco, California and the	3:18-cv-07591
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			California and the	People of the State of $\blacksquare$	5.10 07 07571
	Kathe A. Sackler;			People of the State of	California acting by and	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;			California, acting by	California, acting by and through San Francisco the	
	Beverly Sackler;			and through San	Attorney Dennis J. Herreta v.	
	Theresa Sackler;			Francisco City Attorney	Purdue Pharma I P = Tak	
	David A. Sackler;			Dennis J. Herrera		
	Trust for the Benefit of			Dennis J. Herrera		
	Members of the Raymond				L Stick	
	Sackler Family				Purdue Pharma L.P., etals	
11.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	California	City of Costa Mesa and	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of Costa Mesa California by and through	N.D. Cal.
. 1 1 .	Company, Inc.;	Municipanty	California	the People of the State	Paopla of the State of <b>O N</b>	4:19-cv-02320
	Richard Sackler;			of California by and	California by and throws	4.19-67-02320
	Trust for the Benefit of			through Costa Mesa	Costa Mesa City Atta	
	Members of the Raymond			City Attorney Kimberly	Kimberly Hall Barlow	
	Sackler Family;			Hall Barlow	Burdue Dharma I D	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;			Hall Ballow		
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				i de la companya de l	
	Theresa Sackler;				n 20	
	David A. Sackler				тĕ	
	David A. Sackier				Costa Mesa City Attonio Kimberly Hall Barlow Purdue Pharma L.P. 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	
					9.0	
					of 208	
				17	பத	
					in in in its second secon	
					072.9508:568ainExtraction 95 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
112.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02321
113.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching	Attorney Jeffrey Melcengev.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02323
				18	Purdue Pharma L.P. Injunction an Elg/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
114.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California, by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02326
115.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana and the People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho	California, by and through	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02324
				19	Santa Ana City Attorna Sonia R. Carvalho v. Prince Pharma L.P. Pharma L.P. Pharma L.P. Pg 97 of 275 BeainExample Pharma L.P. Pg 97 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
116.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02325
117.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler	County of Alameda and the People of the State of D. C California by and through County Counsel Donne Ziegler v. Purdue Pharman L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02307
118.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware	Delaware; City of Sea Trea municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; and Kat County, a political Subdivision of the State of Delaware v. Purdue Pharka L.P., et al.	D. Del. 1:19-cv-01749
				20	92:5:08:568ain Extended	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
119.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Florida	City of Ocala, Florida	City of Ocala, Florida v.	M.D. Fla.
120.	Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	Municipality	Hawaii	County of Hawai'i	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. County of Hawai'i v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00440 D. Haw. 1:19-cv-00581
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;				19-99-822889-9etatid DDccd 715175F1led Preliminary Injunc	
121.	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Hawaii	County of Kaua'i, a	County of Kaua'i, a pottial	D. Haw.
121.	Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	/Class Action		county of Kada I, a political subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the general public, as a class	county of Kada i, a position subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behatiof all similarly situated possors, and on behalf of the general public, as a class v. Count Health Corporation, et 10 Health Corporation, et 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1:19-cv-00377
				21	3: <b>5</b> 48ain 275	
					1 EXchridbuit	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
122.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs,	Town of Abita Springs,	E.D. La.
	Inc.			Louisiana	Louisiana v. Purdue Pharma	2:19-cv-14521
					Inc., et al.	
123.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Louisiana	Warren Montgomery,	Warren Montgomery, Duly	E.D. La.
_	Inc.	1 7		Duly Elected 22nd	Elected 22nd Judicial District	2:19-cv-14516
				Judicial District	Attorney for the Parishes of	
				Attorney for the	St. Tammany and Washington	
				Parishes of St.	v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et .	
				Tammany and		
				Washington	200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200	
124.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Maine	City of Rockland, State	City of Rockland, State o	D. Me.
	inc.			of Maine	Maine v. Purdue Pharma P.,	2:19-cv-00373
					et al.	
125.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Maine	Knox County, State of	Knox County, State of	D. Me.
	Inc.			Maine	individually, and on bear of	2:19-cv-00371
					all others similarly situated v.	
					Purdue Pharma L.P., Ka	
126.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Maryland	Howard County	Howard County, Margang v.	D. Md.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., 🗲 a	1:19-cv-02116
127.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Michigan	Charter Township of	Charter Township of In Bareson	E.D. Mich.
	Inc.			Harrison	v. The Pain Center USA,	2:19-cv-11681
					PLLC, et al.	
128.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Michigan	City of Sterling Heights	City of Sterling Heigh	E.D. Mich.
	Inc.				The Pain Center USA	2:19-cv-11685
					et al.	
129.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Michigan	City of Warren	City of Warren v. The	E.D. Mich.
	Inc.				Center USA, PLLC, e	2:19-cv-11687
130.	Richard S. Sackler	Municipality	Missouri	Camden County,	Camden County, Missauly.	E.D. Mo.
				Missouri	Williams et al.	4:19-cv-02930
131.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Missouri	St. Francois County	St. Francois County v D St.	E.D. Mo.
	Inc.;				E. Williams, M.D., et 🛃	4:19-cv-01722
1.0.5	Richard S. Sackler, M.D.				A O	
132.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Nevada	Clark County	Clark County v. Purdue	D. Nev.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al. $\mathbf{v} \mathbf{e}$	2:19-cv-01616
	Aida B. Maxsam					
					10	
					Ōġ	
					of 08	
					1:5/108:508ain Extended in 100 of 275	
				22	ဟိ <u>ဆ</u> ီ	
					n	
					li l	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
133.	Richard Sackler;	Action TypeMunicipality	New	Carroll County	Case Caption Carroll County v. Teva	D. N.H.
55.	Jonathan Sackler;	winnerpairty	Hampshire		Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et	D. N.H. 1:19-cv-01097
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;		Tampsinie		al.	1.17-67-0107/
	David Sackler;				a1.	
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				1:	
	Beverly Sackler;				B-C	
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Cecil Pickett;					
	Paulo Costa;				l Š	
	Ralph Snyderman;				99	
	Frank Boer;				π <u>ē</u>	
	Judy Lewent;				re d	
	John Stewart;					
	Craig Landau;					
	Mark Timney;				na A.	
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond				글뛰	
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.				l tic Ôr	
34.	Richard Sackler;	Municipality	New	Coos County	19-99-822889-9etadd DDcod 715 75-11edFl4904.05220/201207.91 Preliminary Injunction an Boy 5000 to 19/201207207207207.91 Pharmaceuticals USA Boy 5000 to 19/2012072072072072072072072072072072072072072	D. N.H.
54.		winnerpanty		Coos County	Dharmanaution LISA	D. N.п. 1:19-cv-01095
	Jonathan Sackler;		Hampshire			1:19-00-01095
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	David Sackler;				<b>B</b>	
	Kathe Sackler;				5 A	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				a g	
	Beverly Sackler;				R e	
	Theresa Sackler;				29	
	Cecil Pickett;					
	Paulo Costa;					
	Ralph Snyderman;					
	Frank Boer;					
	Judy Lewent;				Ĩ	
	John Stewart;				P	
	Craig Landau;				0 <b>2</b>	
	Mark Timney;					
	Russell Gasdia;				μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ	
		1	1	1	<u> </u>	
					2.5	
				23	2:9:108:548a in EXabiduiti 01 of 275	
					思いて、	
					Ô.	
					É.	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
135.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Burlington, NJ	County of Burlington, NJ v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction Cumberland County von Electron Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D.N.J. 1:19-cv-13684
136.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	New Jersey	Cumberland County	Cumberland County von E Purdue Pharma L.P., et al	D. N.J. 1:19-cv-19134
137.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New Jersey	Township of Brick	Township of Brick v. Butte Pharma Inc., et al.	D. N.J. 3:19-cv-17998
				24	Pharma Inc., et al. /b0/120/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	New York	City of Amsterdam	City of Amsterdam v. Purdue	N.D.N.Y.
	Inc.;	Wanterparty	New Tork	City of Amsterdam	Pharma L.P.	1:19-cv-00896
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Mortimer Sackler Family;				<u>ц</u>	
	Richard S. Sackler;				6 5 0	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				D2	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				) det	
	Beverly Sackler;				P E	
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				1999828899961mid DDccd 719.7 Preliminary H	
	Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the				n n g	
	Benefit of Members of the				ing d	
	Raymond Sackler Family				ary 15	
	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	City of Auburn	City of Auburn v. Purde	E.D.N.Y.
107.	Inc.;	manierpuncy	iten ion		Pharma L.P., et al. $\subseteq$	2:18-cv-03800
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Mortimer Sackler Family;				C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				jur 🛱	
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				nct <b>e</b>	
	Stuart D. Baker;				lior &	
	Trust for the Benefit of				ן היי אין	
	Members of the Raymond				ъĘ	
	Sackler Family				Ŭ 22 C	
	2				City of Auburn v. Pur Bunction and g/b020/20/2012 Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et	1
					3 C	
					508.	
				25	277	
				25	5 81	
					<b>1:5</b> :08:548ainEXababilit 03 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
140.	Related PartiesThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;Raymond Sackler Family;Mortimer Sackler Family;Mortimer Sackler Family;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Stuart D. Baker;Trust for the Benefit of	Action Type Municipality	State New York	(Last, First) City of Ogdensburg	Case Caption         The City of Ogdensburg v.         Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.         Preliminary         Preliminary         In City of Poughkeersity         The City of Poughkeersity         Individually, and on beat	Court/Case Number N.D.N.Y 8:19-cv-00782
141.	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality / Class Action	New York	City of Poughkeepsie	The City of Poughkee End of individually, and on beat of all others similarly sitted v. Purdue Pharma L.P. aneloy Councting 714 junction Pg 1	S.D.N.Y. 7:19-cv-06800
				26	2 <b>07:5</b> :08:588ainEXabidulit g 104 of 275	

			<b>G</b> (	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
142.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	State New York	(Last, First) City of Rochester	Case Caption City of Rochester v. Purdue	Court/Case Number W.D.N.Y.
142.	Inc.;	Municipanty	INCW I OFK	City of Kocnester	Pharma L.P., et al.	w.D.N.Y. 6:19-cv-06490
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Thanna L.I., et al.	0.19-00-90
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Mortimer Sackler Family;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				l ég	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				BB Contraction of the second se	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				T T T	
	Beverly Sackler;				d _	
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;				l ling	
	Stuart D. Baker;				19-99-82-899-96-mild DDcod 75-75-1 Preliminary Injum Preliminary I	
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond				그 25	
1.42	Sackler Family		NT TT 1			NENH
143.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	City of Saratoga Springs	The City of Saratoga Sartegs	N.D.N.Y.
	Inc.;				v. Purdue Pharma L.P.Setal.	1:19-cv-00789
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				න සිර	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family;				U NA	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<b>Example</b>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				l S B	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				un un	
	Theresa Sackler;				cti č	
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;				X	
	Trust for the Benefit of				P	
	Members of the Raymond				j 1 20	
	Sackler Family				The City of Saratoga Sprengs v. Purdue Pharma L.P. Por an Boy but Antai 3774 junction Pg 105 105	
					2:5:108:508ainEXedebilit 05 of 275	
				27		
				<i>∠1</i>		
					Ē	
					<u>Ś</u>	
					Ś.	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
144.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Fayette,	The County of Fayette, Ohio;	S.D. Ohio
	Inc.	manopunty		Ohio;	The County of Fayette, Onlo, The State of Ohio ex rel.	2:19-cv-04347
	me.			The State of Ohio ex rel.	Prosecuting Attorney of	2.19-01-04547
				Prosecuting Attorney of	Fayette County, Jess Weade	
				Fayette County, Jess Weade	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
145.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	E.D. Okla.
	Inc.	1 9		Commissioners of	Commissioners of Atoka	6:19-cv-00279
				Atoka County	County v. Purdue Pharma	
				Thoma County	LP et al	
146.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	County v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla.
140.	Inc.	manupanty	Chianonia	Commissioners of	Commissioners of Caddo	5:19-cv-00710
	1110.			Caddo County	County y Durdue Dharma	5.19-07-00/10
					County v. Purdue Pharma	
147	The Durders Englished Course	Manialization	Olalah	Deerd of Constant	L.P., et al.	W.D. Ol-1
147.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
				Commissioners of	Commissioners of Cinear on	5:19-cv-00776
				Cimarron County	County v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	
148.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	E.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of Coal	Commissioners of $Co \mathbf{R}$	6:19-cv-00405
				County	County v. Purdue Pha	
				5		
149.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	L.P., et al. Board of County	W.D. Okla.
1.,,	Inc.	in an in the second second	0.1114110.1114	Commissioners of	Commissioners of Grady	5:19-cv-00703
	ine.			Grady County	County y Purdue Pha	5.17 00 00705
				Grady County	L P et al	
150	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	County v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla.
150.		Municipality	Oktanoma	Commissioners of	Gammianian and La Da	
	Inc.					6:19-cv-00280
				Haskell County	County v. Purdue Pha	
					County v. Purdue Phane L.P., et al.	WER of t
151.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County C	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Jac Son	5:19-cv-01108
				Jackson County		
					L.P., et al.	
152.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of		5:19-cv-00721
				Jefferson County	County v. Purdue Pha	
					L.P., et al.	
		1	1		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	1
				20		
				28	o air	
					Ē	
					<u> </u>	
					Commissioners of Jerrerson County v. Purdue Phat L.P., et al. 275 578 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
53.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.	manopunty	Shanonia	Commissioners of Kay	Commissioners of Kay	5:19-cv-00884
	inc.			County	County v. Purdue Pharma	5.17 07 00001
				County	L.P., et al.	
154.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	E.D. Okla.
1.74.	Inc.	Wunterpairty	OKialioilla	Commissioners of	Commissioners of Latimer.	6:19-cv-00282
	Inc.			Latimer County	County v. Purdue Pharm	0.19-00282
				Latimer County	L.P., et al.	
155	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla.
155.	1 2	Municipality	Okianoma	Commissioners of	Commissioners of LeFlore	
	Inc.					6:19-cv-00362
				LeFlore County	County v. Purdue Pharm	
1.5.5			0111		L.P., et al.	W.D. Oll
156.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Lingel	5:19-cv-01109
				Lincoln County	County v. Purdue Phama	
					Commissioners of Lings County v. Purdue Phanna L.P., et al.	
157.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County $\leq \mu$	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Logan	5:19-cv-00984
				Logan County	County v. Purdue Pha	
					County v. Purdue Pha	
158.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	L.P., et al. Board of County Commissioners of Love County v. Purdue Phat L.P., et al. County v. Purdue Phat County v. Purdue Phat County v. Purdue Phat L.P., et al. Board of County Commissioners of Oktistice County v. Purdue Phat County v. Pu	E.D. Okla.
	Inc.	1 5		Commissioners of Love	Commissioners of Love	6:19-cv-00320
				County	County v. Purdue Pha	
					L.P., et al.	
159.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
107.	Inc.	manierpaney	Okialiolila	Commissioners of	Commissioners of Materia	5:19-cv-00879
	ine.			Major County	County y Purdue Pha	5.17 67 00077
				Wajor County	L P et al	
160	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Poord of County	E.D. Okla.
160.	1 1	winnerpanty	OKIAIIOIIIa	Commissioners of	Commissioners of Oktober	E.D. Okia. 6:19-cv-00300
	Inc.			Okfuskee County	County y Durdue Dhe	0.19-07-00500
				Okluskee County	L D at al	
1/1	The Decilie Free Let 1 - C	Manialari	0111		County v. Purdue Pharner L.P., et al.	W.D. Ol-1
161.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County		W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Oklahona	5:19-cv-00926
				Oklahoma County	County v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	
					)7 7	
					e b	
					N 14	
				29	38	
				<i>L</i> )		
					Ē	
					<b>1:5</b> :108:568ain田xolocium 07 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
162.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of	5:19-cv-00880
				Pottawatomie County	Pottawatomie County v.	
					Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
163.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Texas	5:19-cv-00987
				Texas County	County v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	
164.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Wood	5:19-cv-00878
				Woods County	County v. Purdue Pharm	
					L.P., et al.	
165.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{D}}$	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Wogd and	5:19-cv-01110
				Woodward County	County v. Purdue Pha	
					L.P., et al.	
166.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Anadarko	City of Anadarko v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al. $\Box$ .	5:19-cv-00815
167.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Bethany	City of Bethany v. Pursu	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al. $\underline{\Omega}$	5:19-cv-00804
168.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Fort Cobb	City of Fort Cobb v. Parde	W.D. Okla.
					Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00816
169.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Jenks	City of Jenks v. Purdu 🗟 占	N.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al. 🧔 💆	4:19-cv-00380
170.	The Purdue Fredick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Seminole	Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al. 🔄 🙀	6:19-cv-00291
171.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Shawnee	City of Shawnee v. Pu	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00711

Enote149/01/02/02/02/02:05:05:568ain 田xbrabulit 7月41 junction Pg 108 of 275

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
172.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Adams County	Adams County v. Purdue	E.D. Pa.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-04438
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;				<u>ц</u>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				9-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;				l Q	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				) Petro	
	Trust for the Benefit of				고 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문 문	
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;				mğ	
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				ina ina	
	Stuart D. Baker				City of Allentown, Injung Preliminary Injung City of Allentown, Injung Pennsylvania v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	
173.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Allentown,	City of Allentown.	E.D. Pa.
	Inc.	1 2	5	Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania v.	5:19-cv-03884
				5	AmerisourceBergen Drug	
					Corp., et al.	
174.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Angelina	County of Angelina v	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Allergan PLC, et al.	4:19-cv-03590
175.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Burleson	County of Burleson variation	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al. 🔁 🛛	4:19-xc-03845
176.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Duval	Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02504
177.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Freestone	County of Freestone v	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., e	4:19-cv-03983
178.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Jim Hogg	County of Jim Hogg v	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., e Rate	4:19-cv-02816
179.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Kleberg	County of Kleberg v. Fireue	S.D. Tex.
100	Inc.	<b>.</b>			Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02815
180.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Williamson	County of Williamson y. Purdue Pharma L.P., &	S.D. Tex.
101		Maria 14		Ell's Court	Furdue Pharma L.P., @a	4:19-cv-03299
181.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	Ellis County	Ellis County v. Purduce Ö Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D Tex. 4:19-cv-02256
	Inc.	I			Pharma L.P., et al. 09 05 275 8 10 275 5 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	4:19-cv-02236
					B08	
					27	
				31	5 8	
					<u> </u>	
					<u></u>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
82.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	Rockwall County	Rockwall County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02181
183.		Municipality	Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia v. Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	W.D. Va. 6:19-cv-00077
184.		Municipality	Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia	Botetourt County, Virging v. Mallinckrodt PLC, et a. 75 Injunction anel V. Charlotte County, Virging V. Purdue Pharma L. P. et alto	W.D. Va. 7:19-cv-00759
85.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Charlotte County	Charlotte County, Virgin V. Purdue Pharma L.P., Tab	W.D. Va. 4:19-cv-00029
86.		Municipality	Virginia	City of Emporia	City of Emporia, Virg	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00513
87.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Fredericksburg	City of Fredericksburg Virginia v. Purdue Phan L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00457
				32	20/2072:5008:568a in Extraction Pg 110 of 275	

			<b>G</b>	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
188.	Related PartiesThe Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	State Virginia	(Last, First) City of Portsmouth	Case Caption City of Portsmouth v.	Court/Case Number E.D. Va.
100.	Inc.;	winnerparity	virginia	City of Folismouth	McKesson Corporation, et al.	2:19-cv-00331
	Richard Sackler;					2.17 07 00551
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;				E E	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				B.G.	
	Beverly Sackler; David Sackler;				l	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				19-98288	
189.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	City of Radford	City of Radford v. Purdu	W.D. Va.
	Inc.			-	Pharma L.P., et al. – <b>A</b>	7:19-cv-00525
190.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	City of Waynesboro,	The City of Waynesbord,	W.D. Va.
	Inc.;			Virginia	Virginia v. Purdue Pharma	5:19-cv-00058
	Richard Sackler;				L.P., et al.	
	Beverly Sackler; David Sackler;				ary	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Jonathan Sackler;				드러	
	Kathe Sackler;				l lec	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				ਾਂ ਲ	
	Theresa Sackler;				l a	
	John Stewart;				ne Ag	
	Mark Timney; Craig Landau;					
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.				te te	
191.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Culpeper County	The City of Waynesbood Virginia v. Purdue Phaminary L.P., et al. Culpeper County, Virginia Culpeper County, Virginia Pg 111 Pg 111	W.D. Va.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., e∓a	3:19-cv-00037
					icti	
					on 20	
					D <sub>C</sub>	
					1 <b>D</b> 1 1	
					508: 51	
				33	<b>2:5:</b> 08: <b>5</b> 48a in EXendeduit 11 of 275	
				22	o ain	
					₽	
					, jih	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
92.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Cumberland County	Cumberland County, Virginia	W.D. Va.
	Inc.;	manierpunty	· inginina	cumo crund county	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-cv-00054
	Richard Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan					
	Sackler;				بو	
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa					
	Sackler;					
	John Stewart;				901	
	Mark Timney;					
	Craig Landau;				Pre	
	Russell Gasdia;				19-99-82-889-9etadd DDccd Prelimina	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				<u>⊐</u> .₽	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.				na C	
93.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Greensville County	Greensville County, V≰gunia	E.D. Va.
	Inc.	1 2			v. Purdue Pharma L.P. Jet al.	3:19-cv-00459
94.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Loudoun County	Loudoun County, Virginiav.	E.D. Va.
	Inc.	1 5	8	5	Purdue Pharma L.P., Da	1:19-cv-00778
95.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Patrick County		W.D. Va.
	Inc.;	J	8		Purdue Pharma L.P., et.a	4:19-cv-00032
	Richard Sackler;				jan 1	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;				1 XA	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan				Ĕ S	
	Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;				afiz a	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa				۲	
	Sackler;					
	John Stewart;					
	Mark Timney;				cti A	
	Craig Landau;					
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.				D P P	
96.		Municipality	Virginia	Prince George County	Patrick County, Virgina Patrick County, Virgina Patrick County, Virgina Patrick County, Parallel Patrick County, 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	E.D. Va.
- 0.	Inc.				Virginia v. Purdue Phartik	3:19-cv-00458
					L.P., et al.	
		1			Virginia v. Purdue Phama L.P., et al.	1
				<b>.</b> (		
				34	ுக	
					n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	
					le l	

Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
97. The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Shenandoah County	Shenandoah County, Virginia	W.D. Va.
Inc.;	winnerpainty	virginia	Shehandoan County	v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00056
Richard Sackler;				v. Fuldue Fliatilia, L.F., et al.	5.19-00-00050
Beverly Sackler;					
David Sackler;					
Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan					
Sackler;				19	
Kathe Sackler;					
Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa				8	
Sackler;				l ĝ	
John Stewart;				L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	
Mark Timney;					
Craig Landau;				Prid	
Russell Gasdia;					
Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				<u> </u>	
Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.				na	
198. The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Wise County Board of	Wise County Board of In Supervisors v	W.D. Va.
Inc.	in an in party	· · ··B·····	Supervisors	Supervisors v. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2:19-cv-00039
				AmerisourceBergen Drug	2.1.2 2.1 000002
				Supervisors v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al.	
Fribal (Federal Court Pending Transf	er to MDL)				
.99. The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi	Citizen Potawatomi Nați 🖉 v.	W.D. Okla.
Inc.			Nation	Purdue Pharma L.P., e	5:19-cv-00955
200. The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Delaware Nation	Delaware Nation v. Pur le	W.D. Okla.
Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al. 🖻 🙎	5:19-cv-00956
201. The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of	Pawnee Nation of Oklahova	N.D. Okla.
Inc.			Oklahoma	v. Purdue Pharma L.P 🔂	4:19-cv-00556
202. The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Sac & Fox Nation	Sac & Fox Nation v. Rer @e	W.D. Okla.
Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00957
203. The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	The Osage Nation	The Osage Nation v. The The Osage Nation v.	N.D. Okla.
Inc.			_	Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00485
204. The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Thlopthlocco Tribal	Pharma L.P., et al. Thlopthlocco Tribal Town v.	N.D. Okla.
Inc.			Town	Purdue Pharma L.P., era	4:19-cv-00557
Hospital, Individual & Third Party Pa					
205. The Purdue Frederick	Hospital	Alabama	The DCH Health Care	The DCH Health Car	S.D. Ala.
Company, Inc.;			Authority;	Authority; The Healthear	1:19-cv-00756
Richard Sackler;			The Healthcare	Authority for Baptist Heath,	
Beverly Sackler;			Authority for Baptist	an affiliate of UAB Halt	
				2.5	
			35	යි ඕ	
				an affiliate of UAB Hollin 275 275 275 275 275 275 275 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Related PartiesDavid Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Jonathan Sackler;Kathe Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Theresa Sackler;John Stewart;Mark Timney;Craig Landau;Russell Gasdia;Joe Coggins;Lyndsie Fowler;Mitchell "Chip" Fisher;Rebecca Sterling;Vanessa Weatherspoon;Chris Hargrave;Brandon Hassenfuss;Joe Read	Action Type	State	(Last, First)Health, an affiliate ofUAB Health System;Medical West HospitalAuthority, an affiliate ofUAB Health System;Evergreen MedicalCenter, LLC; GilliardHealth Services, Inc.;Crestwood Healthcare,L.P.;Triad of Alabama, LLC;QHG of Enterprise, Inc.;Affinity Hospital, LLC;Gadsden RegionalMedical Center, LLC;Foley HospitalCorporation;The Health CareAuthority of ClarkeCounty, Alabama;BBH PBMC, LLC;BBH, WBMC, LLC;BBH SBMC, LLC;BBH CBMC, LLC;BBH BMC, LLC;BBH BMC, LLC;	System; Medical West Hospital Authority, and affiliate of UAB Health System; Evergreen Medical Center, LLC; Gilliard Health Services, Inc.; Crestwood Healthcare, L.P.; Triad of Alabama, LLC; QHG of Enterprise, Inc.; Affinity Hospital, LLC; Gadsden Regional Medical Center LLC; Foley Hospital Corporation; The Health Care Authority of Clarke Correct, Alabama; BBH PBMC; IECC; BBH, WBMC, LLC; IBBF, SBMC, LLC; BBH CBMC, LLC; BBH BMC, LLC; V, Purdue Pharma, et al. Unction Authority v. Purdue Pharma Authority v. Purdue Pharma	Court/Case Number
206.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	Florida	West Volusia Hospital Authority	West Volusia Hospitation Authority v. Purdue Prersea L.P., et al.	M.D. Fla. 6:19-cv-01765
207.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	Hospital	Kentucky	Bowling Green-Warren County Community Hospital Corporation; The Medical Center at Clinton County, Inc.; The Medical Center at Franklin, Inc.; Arh Tug Valley Health Services, Inc. f/k/a Highlands Hospital	Bowling Green-Warre County Community Hespal Corporation; The Mederat Center at Clinton County, Inc.; The Medical Center at Franklin, Inc.; Arh Tup Valley Health Services	W.D. Ky. 1:19-cv-00148
				36	f/k/a Highlands Hospital Corporation; Baptist	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		a
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	John Stewart;			Corporation;	Healthcare System, Inc.;	
	Mark Timney;			Baptist Healthcare	Baptist Health Madisonville,	
	Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia;			System, Inc.; Baptist Health	Inc.; Baptist Health Richmond, Inc.; Grayson	
	Tessa Rios;			Madisonville, Inc.;	County Hospital Foundation,	
	Amy K. Thompson			Baptist Health	Inc.; The Harrison Memo <u>ri</u> al	
	Any K. Thompson			Richmond, Inc.;	Hospital, Inc.; Saint Elizapeth	
				Grayson County	Medical Center, Inc.; St.	
				Hospital Foundation,	Claire Medical Center, Inc.;	
				Inc.;	and Taylor County Hosp	
				The Harrison Memorial	District Health Facilities 😓	
				Hospital, Inc.;	Corporation v. Purdue	
				Saint Elizabeth Medical	Corporation v. Purdue	
				Center, Inc.;		
				St. Claire Medical	l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
				Center, Inc.;	Pharma, L.P., et al. reliminary Injur	
				Taylor County Hospital		
				District Health Facilities		
200	<b>D</b> ' 1 1 0 11	<b>T</b> 10 0 1 1		Corporation		D 14
208.	Richard Sackler;	Individual	Massachusetts	Hickey, Thomas	Thomas Hickey v. Purouge	D. Mass.
	Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al. $\Box$	1:19-cv-11806
	Jonathan Sackler;				a Ma	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				Thomas Hickey v. Puroug Pharma L.P., et al. On And OVDIA Gary Carr, individually port friend and on behavior	
209.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Wrongful	Mississippi	Carr, Gary	Gary Carr, individuall	N.D. Miss.
	Inc.	Death	11	, ,		3:19-cv-00246
					all wrongful death	
					beneficiaries of Luther Greer,	
					all wrongful death beneficiaries of Luther Ger, deceased v. Charles EHO	
ı					M.D., et al. $\underbrace{\overleftarrow{H}}_{\underline{H}}$	
					deceased v. Charles Effore M.D., et al. PQ 20 11	
					<b>0</b> 2	
					L5 15	
					of D	
					2.5	
				37	<b>12:5</b> :108: <b>5</b> &PainEX-obiotion 15 of 275	
					in i	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
210.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	I-Kare Treatment Center, LLC	I-Kare Treatment Center, LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:19-cv-03899
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of				19-9-822889-9etadd DDcod 75 Preliminary	
	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker				Ded <b>1</b> 9 minary	
211.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; David Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Public Service Insurance Company	Public Service Insurant Company v. Janssen Pharmaceuticals et al. Cline (Company v. Janssen Pharmaceuticals et al. Cline (Company v. Janssen Pharmaceuticals et al. Cline (Company v. Janssen (Company v. Jans	E.D. Pa. 2:19-cv-05904
212.	Richard Sackler; Mortimer David Alfons Sackler; The Sackler Family; Russell J. Gasdia; Craig Landau	Individual	Rhode Island	Heden, Keith D.	Keith D. Heden v. Pur Tur Pharmaceuticals LLC, at R. D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	D. R.I. 2:19-cv-00586
213.	Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler, M.D.; Kathe A. Sackler; Jonathan D.	Class Action	Tennessee	Rhodes, Roger; Silvers, Anthony; Spradlen, Lea Anne	Roger Rhodes, Anthony <b>O</b> Silvers, and Lea Anne <b>D</b> Spradlen, on behalf of <b>D</b>	M.D. Tenn. 3:19-cv-00885
				38	Silvers, and Lea Annel Spradlen, on behalf of 275 88: 275 88: 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler				themselves and all others similarly situated v. Rhodes Technologies, Inc., et al.	
214.		Hospital	Tennessee	Takoma RegionalHospital, Inc. f/k/aTakoma Hospital, Inc.;Amisub (SFH), Inc.;Baptist Womens HealthCenter, LLC;CampbellCounty Hma, LLC;Clarksville HealthSystem, G.P.;Cleveland TennesseeHospital Company,LLC;Dickenson CommunityHospital;Hawkins CountyMemorial Hospital f/k/aHawkins CountyMemorial Hospital;Jefferson County HMA,LLC;Johnston MemorialHospital, Inc.;Lebanon HMA, LLCf/k/a Lebanon HMA,Inc.;Lexington HospitalCorporation;Metro Knoxville HMA,LLC;Mountain States Health	Takoma Regional Hospital Inc. f/k/a/ Takoma Hospital Inc. et al., v. Purdue Phara Breliminary Injunction anelovation Pg 117 o Preliminary Injunction Pg 117 o	E.D. Tenn. 2:19-cv-00157
				39	8:508ain f275	
					7 <b>1:5</b> 208:568a in EXendeduiti 1.1.7 of 2.75	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
215.	The Purdue Frederick	Neonatal	West Virginia	Alliance f/k/a Johnson City Medical Center Hospital, Inc.; Northeast Tennessee Community Health Centers, Inc.; Norton Community Hospital, Saint Francis Hospital — Bartlett, Inc. f/k/a Tenet Health System Bartlett, Inc.; Shelbyville Hospital Company, LLC f/k/a Shelbyville Hospital Corporation; Smyth County Community Hospital; Tullahoma HMA, LLC f/k/a Tullahoma HMA, Inc.; Wellmont Health System F/K/A Brmc/Hvhmc, Inc. Tilley, Mary	Mary Tilley, as next filley, a minor of K.B. Tilley, a minor of the filley of the filley of the filley of the filley.	S.D. W. Va.
213.	Company, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Abstinence Syndrome ("NAS")			under the age of 18 v. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 1 Pg 1	2:19-cv-00566
				40	2:5:108:508ain EXabidovitr 18 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
216.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Individual	Wisconsin	Petty, Larry, Sr.;	Larry Petty, Sr., et al. v.	W.D. Wisc.
	Inc.;			Petty, Elizabeth	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:20-cv-00078
	Richard Sackler			5,		
Loca	l Government (State Court)	•		÷	·	·
217.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Arizona	Bullhead City	Bullhead City v. Allergan	Mohave Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Inc.;				PLC, et al.	S8015cv201900591
	Richard Sackler;				ື່ມ	
	Theresa Sackler;				8	
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				9et	
	Beverly Sackler;				고통	
	David Sackler;				rel	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
218.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Arizona	City of Glendale	City of Glendale v. Alteron	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Inc.;				PLC, et al.	Case No. CV2019-010792
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				ੂ ਦੇ ਸ਼ੁੱ	
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;				ctii	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				n no	
	Beverly Sackler;				ଥା ଅଧି	
	David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
210	The Purdue	Manui alia alitar	Arizona	City of Prescott		Yavapai Cnty. Super. Ct.
219.	Frederick Company, Inc.;	Municipality	Arizona	City of Prescou	DLC at al	P1300cv201900393
	Richard Sackler;					P1300cv201900393
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly				un 🕰	
	Sackler;				ct i	
	David Sackler;				or <b>D</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
	nene Suekier Dereburt	1			<del>ν</del> τέ	I
					Bullhead City v. Allergan PLC, et al. Preliminary Injunction aneloy 2007 City of Glendale v. Allow PLC, et al. City of Prescott v. Allow Pg 120 City of Prescott v. Allow Pg 120 PLC, et al. PLC, et al. PLC, et al.	
					11 <b>2</b> 1	
					С Ó Ó	
					of f	
					27	
				41	ර් <u>හි</u>	
					<b>7.5</b> .08: <b>5</b> 48ain EXendeduiti 19 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
220.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler;	Municipality	Arizona	City of Surprise	City of Surprise v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-003439
221.	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Arizona	County of Apache	County of Apache v. Allean PLC, et al. Preliminary Injur	Apache Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S0100cv201900101
222.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Arizona	County of La Paz	County of La Paz v. Aller PLC, et al. PLC, et al. Pinal County v. Aller PLC, et al. Pinal County v. Aller PLC, et al. PICC, et al. PICC	La Paz Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S1500cv201900053
223.		Municipality	Arizona	Pinal County	Pinal County v. Aller PLC, et al. PQ 20 PG 120 C 120 C	Sup. Ct. Pinal Cnty. S1100CV201901448
			·	42	2:9:098:568ain Extendedultr 20 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
224.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Prosecuting Attorney	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington; County of Arkansas; County of Ashley; County of Baxter; County of Benton; County of Benton; County of Bradley; County of Bradley; County of Clahoun; County of Clahoun; County of Clark; County of Clay; County of Cleburne; County of Cleburne; County of Cleburne; County of Conway; County of Conway; County of Craighead; County of Crawford; County of Crawford; County of Crawford; County of Fanlkin; County of Faulkner; County of Faulkner; County of Faulkner; County of Faulkner; County of Faulkne; County of Grant; County of Grant; County of Greene; County of Hempstead; County of Howard; County of Howard; County of Izard; County of Jackson; County of Jackson; County of Lafayette; County of Lawrence; County of Lee; County of Lincoln;	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. <b>19.9922839.9etdid DDood 7</b> :9.75F1ledH040405020/207:9:00 Preliminary Injunction an@gvb200cd19774junction Pg 121 of 275 f 275	Cir. Ct., Crittenden Cnty. CV-2018-268
				43	275	
				13	E E	

Related Parties       Action Type       State       Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)       Case Caption       Court/Case N         County of Little River; County of Logan; County of Lonoke; County of Madison; County of Miller;       County of Miller;       County of Miller;	Number
County of Logan; County of Lonoke; County of Madison; County of Miller;	
County of Lonoke; County of Madison; County of Miller;	
County of Madison; County of Miller;	
County of Miller;	
County of Mississippi;	
County of Monroe;	
County of Montgomery; County of Ouachita;	
County of Perry;	
County of Phillips;	
County of Pike;	
County of Poinsett;	
County of Polk;	
County of Monisoraçi, County of Monisoraçi, County of Monisoraçi, County of Monisoraçi, County of Monisoraçi, County of Monisoraçi, County of Poluchita; County of Phillips; County of Philips; County of Polk; County of Polk; County of Polk; County of Polk; County of Paraici; County of Randolph; County of Randolph; County of Satine; County of Caveli, County of Carroli; County of Cleveland; City of Fort Smith; City of Fort Smith; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Cleveland; City of Fort Smith; County of Satine; County of Cleveland; City of Fort Smith; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Cleveland; County of Satine; County of Cleveland; County of Satine; County of Cleveland; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Satine; County of Sa	
County of Prairie;	
County of Randolph;	
County of St. Francis; $\exists d$	
County of Saline; 득 빌	
County of Scott;	
County of Searcy;	
County of Sebastian;	
County of Sevier;	
County of Sharp;	
County of Stone;	
County of Union;	
County of Van Buren; County of Washington;	
County of White;	
County of Wondryff;	
County of Yell;	
County of Terr,	
County of Newton;	
County of Cleveland;	
City of Little Rock;	
City of Fort Smith;	
City of Springdale;	
City of Jonesboro;	
City of Springdale;     215       City of Jonesboro;     0       275     275       44     44	
$\overline{\mathbf{U}}$	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State		Case Caption	Court/Case Number
225.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Memebers of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Dent of the Court;	Action Type Municipality	State	(Last, First) City of North Little Rock; City of Conway; City of Rogers; City of Pine Bluff; City of Bentonville; City of Hot Springs; City of Hot Springs; City of Benton; City of Texarkana; City of Sherwood; City of Sherwood; City of Sherwood; City of Jacksonville; City of Monticello City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler			45	75F-1ledH04403/220/200115-02:502:568ainExolution Injunction an唱g/近起和tdf/穿74ajunction Pg 123 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
226.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; P.F. Laboratories; PLP Associates Holdings; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Glenn Sabine, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	City of Santa Ana, et al. v. Actavis Pharma, Inc., et al. Preliminary Injunction an	Super. Ct. Orange Cnty. 30-2019-01101802
227.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Memebers of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison	County of Kern, and Best People of the State of County County of Kern County Counsel Hard of Kern County Counsel Hard of Kern County Co	Kern Cnty. Super. Ct. BCV-19-100861
				46	72:59:008:5588a in EXemplain 12:24 of 275	

	Deleted Dention	A otion Tom	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Cose Contion	Court/Cose Norther
228.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Action Type Municipality	State California	(Last, First) The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker	Case Caption The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Coursel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker v. Purdue Phara L.P., et al.	Court/Case Number Orange County Super. Ct. 30-2014-00725287-CU- BT-CXC (Short version: 14-725287)
229.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Ansonia; The City of Danbury; The City of Derby; The City of Norwalk	The City of Ansonia, <b>Hico</b> City of Danbury, The <b>Eite</b> of Derby, and The City of Norwalk v. Purdue Pharna L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6098036-S
230.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Bridgeport; The Borough of Naugatuck; The Town of Southbury; The Town of Woodbury; The Town of Fairfield; The Town of Beacon Falls; The City of Milford; The City of Milford; The City of West Haven; The Town of North Haven; The Town of North Haven; The Town of Thomaston; The City of Bristol; The City of Bristol; The Town of East	The City of Bridgeport Predition and U.P. Cion Pg 1	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6088462-S
				47	2:5:008:508ain EXendetain 25 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Hartford; The Town of Southington; The Town of Newtown; The City of Shelton; The Town of Tolland		
231.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Britain	City of New Britain v. Pureue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6087132-S
232.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Haven	City of New Haven v. Public Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-17-6086134-S
233.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New London	The City of New London . Purdue Pharma L.P., etg	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6094421-S
234.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Waterbury	City of Waterbury v. Hirdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-17-6088121-S
235.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Stratford; The Town of Berlin; The Town of Middlebury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Prospect; The Town of Wolcott; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of New Milford; The Town of Roxbury; The Town of Coventry	The Town of Stratford, The Town of Berlin; The Town of Middlebury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Prospect; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of New Milford; The Town of Roxbury; and The Town Coventry v. Purdue Phone L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6099290-S
236.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Wallingford	Town of Wallingford Purdue Pharma L.P., e	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6094422-S
237.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Burbank	The City of Burbank 🔁 👼 Purdue Pharma L.P., 🛃	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018L012659
238.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Countryside	The City of Countrysite L Purdue Pharma L.P., et a V	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018L012640
239.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois	City of Granite City, Illings v. AmerisourceBerger Vog Corp., et al.	Cir. Ct. Madison Cnty. 2018-L-00587 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-010351
				48	2.5008:508ainEXabidolin	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
240.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	City of Sesser	City of Sesser v. Purdue	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
240.	Inc.	winnerpanty	minois	City of Bessel	Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-L-008147
241.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	County of Lake; Michael Nerheim, Lake County State's	County of Lake, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003728
				Attorney; Mark C. Curran, Jr., Lake County Sheriff; Dr. Howard Cooper, Lake County Coroner; The County of Lake in the Name of the People of the State of Illinois	19-90-822889-9etadd Pre	
242.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois	The People of the State Illinois and Boone Count Illinois v. Purdue Phar L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004539
243.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Bureau County, Illinois	Illinois and Bureau Conng, Illinois v. Purdue Phar	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
244.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois	The People of the State Illinois and Champaign St County, Illinois v. Purticity Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Champaign Cnty 2018-L-000006 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-005935
245.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Cook County, Illinois	The People of the State P Illinois and Cook Court Illinois v. Purdue Pharman	Cir. Ct. Cook County 2017-L-013180
246.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois	L.P., et al. The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb Country, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. DeKalb Cnty. 2018-L-000072 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013655
				49	<b>7:5</b> :08: <b>5:6</b> ain <b>EXediolit</b> 27 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
247.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and DuPage County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
248.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Henry Cnty. 2018-L-000016 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012690
249.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Jersey County, Illinois	The People of the State of The People of the State of The Illinois, and Jersey Counds, Illinois v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003908
250.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois	The People of the States of Illinois and Kane Courty Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
251.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kankakee County	The People of the States Illinois, and Kankakec County, Illinois v. Pure Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
252.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois		Cir. Ct. Kendall Cnty. 2018-L-000078 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012741
					Illinois, and Kendall (1997) Illinois v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.	
				50	20/22072:5:108:5:88ain Extraction Pg 128 of 275	
				50	75 75	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
253.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and LaSalle County	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. LaSalle Cnty. 2019-L-000052 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008722
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Sutart D. Baker				19-මානැ2889-9etmid DDccd 75 Preliminary	
254.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macon County, Illinois	The People of the States Illinois, and Macon Comby, Illinois v. Purdue Phanga L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002916
255.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois	The People of the State of County, Illinois v. Pure of the People of the State of County, Illinois v. Pure of the People of the State of County, Illinois v. Pure of County, Ill	Cir. Ct. Macoupin Cnty. 2018-L-000030 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013247
256.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois	The People of the State Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois v. Pur Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002948

490149岁272072072:5028:568ain田xoloidolini Action Pg 129 of 275

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
257.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler;	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McLean County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and McLean County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Cir. Ct. McLean Cnty. 2019-L-0000108
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Sutart D. Baker				19-99-82-889-9etadd DDccd Prelimina	
258.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Piatt Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012689
259.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois	The People of the State of the Illinois, and Will County Illinois v. Purdue Phate and and	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004546
260.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bedford Park	L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008819
261.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bridgeview	Village of Bridgeview . O Purdue Pharma L.P., e	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-009526
262.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Evergreen Park	Village of Evergreen Faire.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012652
263.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Hodgkins	Village of Hodgkins v	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-009848
264.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Lyons	Village of Lyons v. Purder Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008746
265.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Summit	Village of Summit v. Purte	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008803
				52	Pharma L.P., et al. 30 of 275 0f 275	
					n EXxbridbuiltr	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
266.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Richard S. Sackler; David Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler	Municipality	Maryland	Anne Arundel County	Anne Arundel County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Anne Arundel Cnty. C-02-CV-18-000021
267.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Maryland	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore	Dood 713 75FiledF minary Injunctio	Cir. Ct. Baltimore City 25C1800515
268.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Boston; The Boston Public Health Commission; The Boston Housing Authority	City of Boston, The Boston Public Health Commission The Boston Housing Authority v. Purdue Phorma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 1884CV02860B
269.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Cambridge	2714junction Pg 1	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 19-1044
				53	<b>7:5</b> 08:548a in EXendabilit .31 of 275	

	Deleted Deuting	A officer There	S4-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Case Cartian	
270.	Related PartiesThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.Richard Sackler;Theresa Sackler;Kathe Sackler;Jonathan Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	Action Type Municipality	State Massachusetts	(Last, First) City of Chicopee	Case Caption City of Chicopee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Court/Case Number Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty. 1979CV00074
271.	Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Framingham	City of Framingham v.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
	Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				Purdue Pharma L.P., of reliminary Injunct	18-3483
272.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Gloucester	City of Framingham v. Purdue Pharma L.P., epreliminary Injunction Pg 132 of City of Gloucester v. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1877CV01773
					1 <b>49(140)30/20/2071:9</b> 08: <b>1</b> nction Pg 132 of 2	
				54	<b>1:5</b> 108: <b>5</b> 68a in EXecution 32 of 275	

		A	64 - 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Chara Charathan	
273.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	State Massachusetts	(Last, First) City of Haverhill	Case Caption City of Haverhill v. Purdue	Court/Case Number Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
215.	Inc.	wunneipanty	Wassachuseus	City of Havenini	Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01762A
	Richard Sackler;					1077 0 1 017 0211
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;				4	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<b>P</b> D	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
274.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Salem	City of Salem v. Purdue Preliminary Injunct	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
277.	Inc.	winneipanty	Wassachusetts	City of Salein	Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01767A
	Richard Sackler;					10,5,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;				nar	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;				l nji	
	David Sackler;				un 🕹	
075	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	A	N 1	C' CIV		
275.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Worcester	City of Worcester v. Porces	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.; Richard Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al. a	No. 1984CV000543
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;				l fig	
	David Sackler;				1 <u>5</u> 6	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
					tion <b>14</b>	
					City of Worcester v. Point and 4020/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	
					9 <u>2</u> 0	
					of 2:	
				55	<b>江5</b> 近8:548ain臣xohdolin 33 of 275	
				33		
					Ē	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
276	Related Parties	Action Type	State Magaaabugatta	(Last, First) Town of Canton	Case CaptionTown of Canton v. Purdue	Court/Case Number
276.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Canton	Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 18-1582
	Richard Sackler;				Thanna L.I., et al.	18-1382
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				l le	
	Beverly Sackler;				l Barris and Salaria and Sa	
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				Town of Lynnfield v. Purfue	
277.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Lynnfield	Town of Lynnfield v. Puralle	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01769D
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				III III III III III III III III III II	
	Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler;				in: D	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;				글의	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				nc lle	
278.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Natick	Town of Lynnfield v. Purchad Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction Pg 134 Town of Natick v. Puron and your pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., e	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 5			Pharma L.P., et al.	19-646
	Richard Sackler;				an da	
	Theresa Sackler;				e o e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;				74	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
					nct <b>eva</b>	
					ior Ag	
					P	
					0 23 0	
					ÚC 4 ÚC 4	
					f 28	
				56		
				56		
					Ð	
					<b>2:5</b> :08:548a in EXabido in 34 of 275	
					<u> </u>	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
279.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler;	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Randolph	Town of Randolph v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 1982CV00400
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				Town of Springfield v.	
280.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Springfield	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunct	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty. 18-938
281.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Wakefield	Town of Wakefield v. du Pharma L.P., et al. and 03020/2001 Bunder 20020/2001 Polk County, Missouriction Pg 132 Allergan PLC, et al. Pg 132	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 18-3458
282.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	Missouri	Polk County, Missouri	Polk County, Missourry, Allergan PLC, et al. Pg 20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,2	Cir. Ct. Polk Cnty. 1922-CC11660
				57	2:9:108:548a in EXabiduiti 35 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
283.		Municipality	Missouri	Jefferson County; Butler County; Cape Girardeau County; Christian County; City of Independence; City of Joplin; Crawford County; Dent County; Dunklin County; Franklin County; Franklin County; Greene County; Iron County; Jasper County; Madison County; Perry County; Ste. Genevieve County; Stone County; Taney County; Taney County; Texas County; Washington County	Jefferson County, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction Preliminary Injunction Pharma L.P., et al. City of Henderson v. In anel (2007) Pharma L.P., et al. 9 136 c	22nd Judicial Circuit Court, St. Louis City 1922-CC00203
284.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Henderson	City of Henderson v. Idn Pharma L.P., et al. an Elgy Data but of the tend of t	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800695-B Dept. 11
				58	2072:50108:5568ainEXendedultr 1 136 of 275	

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State		Case Caption	Court/Case Number
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Las Vegas	City of Las Vegas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800697-B Dept. 27
Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company;	Municipality	Nevada	City of North Las Vegas	City of North Las Vegge Purdue Pharma L.P., Caliminary Injunction an By Doc 13, 75-11ed Hiller (140) 2020/	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800699-B Dept. 11
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Reno	City of Reno v. Purduce P Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Washoe Cnty. CV18-01895
			59	<b>iter4e/0140/空の/2007:5</b> 008:5588ain田xolocion Ajunction Pg 137 of 275	
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; MortimerMunicipalityD.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. MaxsamMunicipalityThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler; Rosebay Medical Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. MaxsamMunicipalityThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;I.P.; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beacon Company; Aida B. MaxsamMunicipality Municipality Municipality Municipality Inc.;	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. 	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Pure Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Interesa Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Interesa Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Interesa Sackler; Interesackle; Interesackle; Interesackle; In	Itelated PartiesAction TypeState(Last, First)Case CaptionThe Purdue Frederick Company Ion; Richard S. Sackler; Hornsa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Heresa Sackler; PricesNevadaCity of Las VegasCity of Las VegasCity of Las VegasPharma L.P., et al.The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Heresa Sackler; PricesMunicipalityNevadaCity of North Las VegasCity of North Las VegasFigure 2000 Purdue Frederick Company Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Richard S. Sackler; Rosebay Medical Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Richard S. Sackler; Richard S. Sackler; Richard S. Sackler; Rosebay Medical Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Richard S. Sackler; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Bescon Company; Aida B. MaxsamMunicipalityNevadaCity of North Las Vegas Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.Purdue Frederick Company L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Rosecon Company; Aida B. MaxsamMunicipalityNevadaCity of RenoCity of Reno v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.Purdue Frederick Company L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Rosecon Company; Aida B. MaxsamMunicipalityNevadaCity of RenoCity of Reno v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.The Purdue Frederick Company L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Rosecon Company; Aida B. MaxsamMunicipalityNevadaCity of RenoCity of Reno v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.The Purdue Frederick Company L.P.; Aida B. MaxsamMunicipalityNevada

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
288.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler;	Municipality	New Jersey	City of Trenton	City of Trenton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ, Mercer Cnty. MER-L001167-19
289.	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Techologies, Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Ocean, New Jersey	Purdue Pharma L.P Injunction anel g/b@/net.get@/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	Super. Ct. NJ, Ocean Cnty. OCN-L-001474-19
				60	北644/14/12/12/07/12:00 72:00 20:5588a in EtX widdo in Ng 138 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
290.	The Purdue Frederick Company; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	City of Albany	City of Albany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. 1998288996add DDood 75 Preliminary	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2019
291.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	City of Alma, GA; Village of Amityville, NY; Town of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Bacon County, GA; City of Bayonne, NJ; Village of Bellport, NY; City of Blackshear, GA; Town of Brookhaven, NY; City of Brunswick, GA; Chatham County, GA; Town of Clarkstown, NY; City of Clifton, NJ; Town of Clinton, NJ; Dade County, GA; City of Demorest, GA; East Hampton Village,	19-99-822889-9etadd DDood 715 75E1ledFl/40/20/20/20/207:51 Preliminary Hijufaction an@g/b08ntarg714junction Pg 139 c City of Alma, GA, et alugnation Pg 139 c	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400031/2019
				61	21:5:108:548ain EXababilit 39 of 275	
					EXdonidaulitr	

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			NY; Village of East		
			Rockaway, NY;		
			City of Elizabeth, NJ;		
			Village of Farmingdale,		
			NY;		
			Village of Floral Park,	19	
			NY;	l ég	
			Village of Garden City,		
			NY;		
			Village of Greenport,	l ég	
			NY;	ן ד <u>פ</u>	
			Town of Haverstraw,	rel	
			NY;		
			Town of Hempstead, NY;		
			Town of Huntington,	l ary	
			NY;	117 117	
			Village of Island Park,	글핏	
			NY;	nc	
			Village of Islandia, NY;	tic A	
			Town of Islip, NY;		
			Village of Lake Grove,	anl	
			NY;	l de la companya de la	
			Village of Lawrence,		
			NY;		
			Village of Lindenhurst,		
			NY;		
			Village of Lloyd Harbor, NY;		
			City of Long Beach,	ur 🛱	
			NY;		
			Village of Lynbrook,		
			NY;	19-මාන්288996hold Dobcod 715,75F1ledF0149/04 40 කිරී20/200 Preliminary Injunction an මර්ථාරය හා ජාණා 2744 junction Pg 1	
			Village of Massapequa	P	
			Park, NY;		
			Village of Mill Neck,		
			NY;		
				<b>12:5:10</b> 8: <b>5:48</b> ain EXabido itr 40 of 275	
			62	75	
			~ <i>2</i>	lin	
				E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	

			<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Village of Millerton, NY; Village of New Hyde Park, NY; Village of Nissequoge, NY; Town of North Hempstead, NY; Village of Northport, NY; Village of Old Westbury, NY; Town of Orangetown, NY; Town of Orangetown, NY; Borough of Paramus, NJ; Village of Patchogue, NY; Pierce County, GA; City of Pooler, GA; Village of Poquott, NY; Village of Poquott, NY; Village of Port Washington North, NY; City of Richmond Hill, GA; Town of Riverhead, NY; Village of Saltaire, NY; Town of Southampton, NY; Town of Southold, NY; Village of Stewart Manor, NY; Village of Suffern, NY;	19-99-822839-9etadd DDocod 715,75-11ed F0149/04/92/20/2072:072:0-12:0-108:548ain Extended 40 20/2072:072:0-12:0-108:548ain Extended to an Eloy 2040 notal 2974 Ajunction Pg 141 of 275	
			63	275	
			05		

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
292.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	Village of Valley Stream, NY; Village of the Branch, NY; Town of Wappinger, NY; Village of Wappinger Falls, NY; Village of West Hampton Dunes, NY; Village of West Haverstraw, NY; Village of Westbury, NY; Village of Hempstead, NY The City of Buffalo	19-99-822889-9 et al Prelimina Prelimina The City of Buffalo v:9 ug Pharma L P. et al	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 811359-2019
	Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Stuart D. Baker				Pharma L.P., et al. Injunction anelo/120/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	811359-2019
				64	Endt 创始组 创 短 亿 0 / 2 0 7 2 5 1 0 8 : 5 8 a in Extended in 7 A junction Pg 142 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
293.		Municipality	New York	City of Ithaca	City of Ithaca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction and 2000 The City of Mount Version V. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400002/2018
294.	1974 The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	City of Mount Vernon	The City of Mount Versor V.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400016/2019
				65	The City of Mount Version Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. The End One of Avenue Aven	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
295.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of New York	City of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400006/2018
296.	Sackler FamilyThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit ofMembers of the RaymondSackler Family;Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	City of Plattsburgh	City of Plattsburgh v. Alunction an Elgy DAB to Elever development of 275 Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P.,	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400003/2019
				66	0/2:072:0:008:5568ain EXchabilin Pg 144 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
297.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;	Municipality	New York	City of Schenectady	City of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400005/2019
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				19-90-8228894-9etadd DDccd 715 Preliminary	
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of				Prelin	
	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker				ninary I	
98.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	City of Troy	DDocd 71375F1ledHite/04/03/20/20715008:548ainExtrained/ eliminary ligunction and g/04/04/03/20/20715008:548ainExtrained/ Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 145 of 275	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400006/2019
				67	<b>207:5</b> 008: <b>56</b> 8ain EXebeida g 145 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	Stata	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
299.	Related PartiesThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;The P.F. Laboratories;PRA Holdings, Inc.;Pharmaceutical ResearchAssociates, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Coventry Technologies L.P.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler; Stuart D.Baker; Beverly Sackler, RichardS. Sackler, and Jonathan D.	Action Type Municipality	State New York	(Last, First) City of Yonkers	Case Caption City of Yonkers v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injur Preliminary Injur	Court/Case Number Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400020/2019
300.	S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974 The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Municipality	New York	County of Broome	19-99-822889-9etadd DDccd 715 75-11edF04602020/2071-91 Preliminary Injunction addred 2020/2072072072072072072072072072072072072072	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400002/2017
				68	2:5:108:548ain EXabidabilit 46 of 275	

			C · · ·	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
301.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Cattaraugus	The County of Cattaraugus v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400027/2019
302.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Cayuga	The County of Cayuga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2019
303.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Chautauqua	The County of Chautauqua v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al	Sup. Ct. Chautauqua Cnty. KI-2018-57
304.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Chenango	The County of Chenango. Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400021/2019
305.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Clinton	The County of Clinton v.999 Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction an Elgy 20/20/20 Pg 1 Pg 1	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400003/2018
				69	20/2071:9:08:548ain EXabidouitr Pg 147 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;	Municipality	New York	County of Columbia	County of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400015/2018
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;				19.982	
	llene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;				Pr	
	David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family oratories Inc.				19-90-822-889-9etwid DDcod 715 7 Preliminary M	
307.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Cortland	County of Cortland v. Hutter Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 148 of 275 Pg 148 of 275	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400019/2018
				70	/22 <b>07:5</b> 108:548ain田幼幼 9g 148 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
308.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Dutchess	County of Dutchess v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400005/2017
309.	Sackler FailingThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;The P.F. Laboratories;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Stuart D. Baker;Trust for the Benefit ofMembers of the RaymondSackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Erie	L.P., et al. County of Essex v. Pure County County c. Pure County County c. Pure County c. Pure County	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400003/2017
310.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Essex	County of Essex v. Purto	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400019/2019
				71	<b>71:5</b> 008: <b>5</b> 48a in Etxobabilit .49 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
311.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P. Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5,	Municipality	New York	County of Franklin	County of Franklin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction and Preliminary Injunction Pg 1 County of Fulton v. Potenti 20120 County of Fulton v. Potenti 20120 Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400012/2018
312.	1974 The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Municipality	New York	County of Fulton	County of Fulton v. Porter Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 150 pg 15	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400018/2018
				72	<b>1:9:1</b> 08: <b>5</b> 48a in EXecutionin 50 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
313.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Genesee	County of Genesee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction analytotion analytotic analyto	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011/2018
314.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Parma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 151 of 275 Pg 151 of 275	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400008/2018
				73	98:548ain臣xbidi of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
315.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Hamilton	County of Hamilton v. Pul99238996 ddl DDcod 715 75F11ed F040405/20/20/20 Preliminary Injunction an Elgy Documentary 74 junction Pg 1	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400005/2018
				74	<b>46/140/32/200/2:07:5:10</b> :8: <b>56</b> 8a in <b>Extended</b> nction Pg 152 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
316.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Herkimer	County of Herkimer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400008/2019
317.	Sackler FamilyThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit ofMembers of the RaymondSackler Family;Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	County of Lewis	County of Lewis v. Pulliunction Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2019
318.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Livingston	County of Livingston	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2018
319.		Municipality	New York	County of Madison	County of Madison v. Turue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400028/2019
				75	County of Madison v. Subu Pharma L.P., et al.	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
320.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. 19.98288996101 DDood 15 Preliminary	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400017/2018
	Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				DDccd Z5 eliminary	
321.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Montgomery	The County of Montgelled File v. Purdue Pharma L.Punction aneloy beantairy 74 junction Pg 154 Pg 154	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400009/2019
				76	7 <b>2071:5</b> :08: <b>5:6</b> ain Exhibitin 9g 154 of 275	

	Polotod Portios	Action Tyme	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
322.	Related PartiesThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;The P.F. Laboratories;PRA Holdings, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;Pharmaceutical ResearchAssociates, Inc.;Coventry Technologies L.P.;PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Stuart D. Baker;Bayerly Sackler Pichard S	Action Type Municipality	State New York	(Last, First) County of Nassau	Case Caption County of Nassau v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunc Preliminary Injunc	Court/Case Number Sup. Ct. Suffolk County 400008/2017
323.	Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974 The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of Niagara	19-99-822-89-9 etadl DDccd 715 75F11ed FD14/04/02/260/2007 Preliminary Injunction an Eloy 4564 ntdirg714 junction Pg 11 County of Niagara v. Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400012/2017
	Kathe A. Sackler;			77	<b>1:9:1</b> 08: <b>5.6</b> 8ain EXabidaviin 55 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5,					
324.	1974 The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Ontario	19-99-82-869-9 End D0cod 75 75-11ed F014/0 40/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400001-2019
				78	ten <b>Endt的地位和短位的2007207:5</b> 008:558aintExtended	

	Deleted Dention	Action Trues	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Case Contion	Count/Cose Number
25.	Related PartiesThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;The P.F. Laboratories;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Stuart D. Baker;Trust for the Benefit of	Action Type Municipality	State New York	County of Orange	Case Caption County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. 19.92200996000 TE Preliminary	Court/Case Number Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2017
326.	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Municipality	New York	County of Oswego	County of Oswego v. Lindre Pharma L.P., et al. County of Oswego v. Lindre Pharma L.P., et al. County of Otsego v. Put de County of Otsego v. Put de	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2018
327.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Otsego	County of Otsego v. Purche Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400023/2019
28.		Municipality	New York	County of Putnam	County of Putnam v. Ry He Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.           400014/2019
				79	County of Putnam v. W the Pharma L.P., et al. 75 80 75 80 75	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
329.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Rensselaer	County of Rensselaer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011/2017
				80	19-99-8236849-etadd DDccd 715,75F11ed时间检/04/03/20/2072-00/2072-00/2072-00-25-568aintExtended	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
330.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust	Municipality	New York	County of Saratoga	County of Saratoga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction anelogy 74 Preliminary Injunction anelogy 74 Purdue Pharma L.P., out 37 Purdue Pharma P	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400009/2018
331.	Agreement dated November 5, 1974 The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Municipality	New York	County of Schenectady	County of Schenectad <b>D</b> Purdue Pharma L.P., <b>D</b> <b>150</b> <b>150</b> <b>150</b> <b>150</b> <b>150</b> <b>150</b> <b>150</b>	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400009/2017
				81	2:5:108:548a in EXabidolin 59 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
332.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Schoharie	County of Schoharie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction analytic Bood 75 Schuyler v. Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2017
333.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of Schuyler	iention Pg 1	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400014/2018
				82	71:50:08:508ainEXababiti	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
334.	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974 The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Seneca	19-99-822-889-9-etadlo Preliminary Injunction anBlg/b600ntal/3/74-junction Pg 161	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400006/2017
				83	140/52/2201/22017:50:08:50% ain Excluding ion Pg 161 of 275	

Deleted Desting	A officer Trees	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Case Cartian	Count/Cose Number
Related Parties           335.         The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	State New York	(Last, First) County of St. Lawrence	Case Caption County of St. Lawrence v.	Court/Case Number Sup. Ct. Suffolk County
Inc.;	winnerparity	THEW FOR	County of St. Edwience	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400002/2019
The P.F. Laboratories;					
Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
Richard S. Sackler;				1	
Jonathan D. Sackler;				B-C	
Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
Beverly Sackler;				6 20	
Theresa Sackler;					
David A. Sackler;				Pro	
Stuart D. Baker;					
Trust for the Benefit of				19-9)22569-9etadd DDccd 75 Preliminary	
Members of the Raymond					
Sackler Family					
336. The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Steuben	County of Steuben v. Junction aneloy boundary 74 Junction aneloy boundary 74 junction Pg 162 162	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400004/2018
The P.F. Laboratories;				cti	
PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research				n on E	
Associates, Inc.;				a bu	
Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
Richard S. Sackler;				fite	
Jonathan D. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	
Kathe A. Sackler;				Inc 1	
Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
Beverly Sackler;				n Maria	
Theresa Sackler;					
David A. Sackler;				DC DC	
Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S.				16 <b>0</b> 7	
Sackler, and Jonathan D.				52 E	
Sackier, and Joliathan D.	1	1			
				27 27	
			84	2:5:108:5:48a in EXendedulit 62 of 275	
				n Æ	
				<u> </u>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974			(		
337.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Suffolk	County of Suffolk v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunct	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County 400001/2017
338.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Sullivan	nction and/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2017
				85	9108: <b>548</b> ain EXtricitioni 3 of 275	

			Ct. t	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
339.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	State New York	(Last, First) County of Tioga	Case Caption County of Tioga v. Purdue	Court/Case Number Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
559.	1 4	Wanepanty	New TOIK	County of Hoga	Pharma L.P., et al.	400022/2019
340.	Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust	Municipality	New York	County of Tompkins	Pharma L.P., et al. County of Tompkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. 19.9982889961add DDccd 715 75FiledHted 02020/200 Preliminary Injunction anlegy 0637061704 02020/201 Pg 1	400022/2019 Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400001/2018
	Agreement dated November 5, 1974			86	220/短的tenEndten4e/0140/23/02/02/072072:50:08:568ain EtXtotabilit /2603ntoting》744junction Pg 164 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
341.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of Ulster	County of Ulster v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011-2019
	David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				dd DDcod 715 Preliminary I	
342.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Warren	County of Warren v. Parche Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400030/2019
343.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Washington	County of Warren v. En ree Pharma L.P., et al. Un County of Washington C	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2019
				87	<b>7.9</b> 208: <b>5</b> 48ain田Xohodouitr 65 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
344.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Westchester	County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction anelogy of Preliminary Injunction Pg 10 County of Wyoming Wooma L.P., or all 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2018
345.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Municipality	New York	County of Wyoming	County of Wyoming Vielen Purdue Pharma L.P., Control (20)207:51 Purdue Pharma L.P., Pg 166 c	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2018
	= - =,			88	2:5:108:548a in EXendedulit 66 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
346.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Amherst	Town of Amherst v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 803887-2018
347.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Cheektowaga	Town of Cheektowaga v.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 806151-2018
348.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Lancaster	Town of Lancaster v. Purale Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 809160-2018
349.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Tonawanda	Town of Tonawanda v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 810783-2018
350.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York	The Town of Wappinger,	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-54549
351.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York	The Village of Wapping et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-53838
352.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson	The County of Medine Onio; The State of Ohio ex res. Prosecuting Attorney & C Medina County, S. Fore Thompson v. Purdue Paagaa L.P., et al.	C.P. Medina Cnty. 19-CIV-0838
353.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County	Board of County	D. Ct. Cleveland Cnty. CJ-2019-592
354.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County	County v. Purdue Pha L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Greer Cnty. CJ-2019-12
355.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County	L.P., et al. Board of County Commissioners of Hugher County v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Hughes Cnty. CJ-2019-36
				89	07.9.08:5.6ain Extended	
					Skolada	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
356.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. McCurtain Cnty. CJ-2019-54
357.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County	Board of County Commissioners of Noble	D. Ct. Noble Cnty. CJ-2019-05
358.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Burns Flat	L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Washita Cnty. CJ-2019-29
359.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Armstrong County	Armstrong County, PA v	C.P. Armstrong Cty. 2017-1570-CV
360.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Penns	C.P. Beaver Cnty 11326-2017
361.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bedford County	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Bedford County v. Purduo Pharma L.P., et al. No. 1975 Bucks County v. Purduo Bucks County v. Purduo Pharma L.P., et al. Cambria County, Pennsylvania v. Purduo	C.P. Bedford Cnty. 180-2020
362.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bucks County	Bucks County v. Purd 🛱 🛓 Pharma L.P., et al. ⊐ 🖸	C.P. Bucks Cnty. No. 2018-03144
363.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cambria Cnty 2017-4131
				90	<b>1:9</b> :108: <b>5:0</b> 8ain Etxolocioli 68 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
364.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler;	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Lock Haven	City of Lock Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 1126-2018
	Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Craig Landau; John Stewart; Mark Timney				19-90-82-8894-9 et did Pre	
365.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Philadelphia	City of Philadelphia v	C.P. Philadelphia January Term, 2018 No. 02718
366.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Pittsburgh	City of Ditteburgh y Derdush	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-006153
367.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania	Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Clinton County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2018-1484-CD
368.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clinton County	Clinton County v. Purdue	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 752-2018
369.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner	Commonwealth of PAcatong by and through Philad bra District Attorney Lawerner S. Krasner v. Purdue Phanner L.P. et al	C.P. Delaware Cnty. CV-2017-008095 Phila. Ct. Com. Pl., January Term 2018, No. 05594
370.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berk County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Berks Cnty. 19-18232
				91	<b>07:5</b> 108: <b>5</b> 48ain Extended in 169 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
371.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of	Commonwealth of	C.P. Dauphin Cnty., 12th
	Inc.	manopunty	1 childy i vania	Pennsylvania, acting by	Pennsylvania, acting by and	Jud. Dist.
	ine.			and through Francis T.	through Francis T. Chardo,	2019-CV-7795-CV
				Chardo, the District	the District Attorney of	2019-0 1-1795-0 1
					5	
				Attorney of Dauphin	Dauphin County v.	
				County	AmerisourceBergen Drug	
272		Mariantita	D		Corp., et al.	
372.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and	C.P. Erie Cnty., 6th Jud.
	Inc.			Pennsylvania, acting by	Pennsylvania, acting by abui	Dist.
				and through Jack	through Jack Daneri, the	12837-19
				Daneri, the District	District Attorney of Erie	
				Attorney of Erie County	County v. AmerisourceBergen Daug Corp., et al.	
					AmerisourceBergen D	
373.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA,	Commonwealth of PA	C.P. Lehigh Cnty.
	Inc.			acting by James Martin;	by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lekigh	2018-C-0716
				People of Lehigh	Lehigh County and Lehigh	
				County and Lehigh	County, PA v. Purdue Dharma	
				County, PA	L.P., et al.	
374.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of	L.P., et al.	C.P. Delaware Cnty.
	Inc.	1 5	5	Pennsylvania, Acting by	Pennsylvania, Acting 🗒 🖬 d	CV-2020-002026
				and through Jack	through Jack Stollsteimer the	
				Stollsteimer, the District	District Attorney of Delaware	
				Attorney of Delaware	County y	
				County	District Attorney of Data ware County v. AmerisourceBergen	
375.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of	Commonwealth of	C.P. Bucks Cnty.
575.	Inc.	Municipanty	1 chinsylvallia		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting	2020-00639
	Inc.			Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D.	through Matthew D.	2020-00039
				Weintraub, the District	Weintraub, the Distric	
				Attorney of Bucks	Attorney of Bucks Country.	
				County	AmerisourceBergen Dau	
256			<b>D</b> 1 ·		Corp., et al.	
376.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Allegheny	County of Allegheny ⊽ ឆ្	C.P. Allegheny Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	18-006155
377.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Bradford	County of Bradford v Jue	C.P. Bradford Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CV-0059
					71.	
					o Đ	
					f 8	
				02		
				92	<b>1:9:1</b> 08: <b>5</b> 48a in EXendabilit 70 of 275	
					ーー・一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一	
					<u>ě</u>	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
378.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Carbon	County of Carbon v. Purdue	C.P. Carbon Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 18-0990
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;				10	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				B. T	
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;				l	
	David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				1 <u>6</u>	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				) eta	
	Trust for the Benefit of				Peter Peter	
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family,				<u> </u>	
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.,				n De	
	Stuart D. Baker				19-9-8238999ehdid DDccd 715 Preliminary	
379.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Clarion	County of Clarion v. Ende Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clarion Cnty.
	Inc.		-		Pharma L.P., et al.	285-CD-2018
380.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Cumberland	County of Cumberlan $\Phi_{Y}$	C.P. Cumberland
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., 👁 a д	2018-02147
381.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purche Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	11577-18
382.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Fayette	County of Fayette v. The determined	C.P. Fayette County
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2017-2676
383.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Perce	C.P. Greene Cnty.
	Inc.			-	Pharma L.P., et al.	791-2017
384.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Monroe		C.P. Monroe Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	3972-CV-18
385.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purclus	C.P. Tioga Cnty.
201	Inc.	36 1 1			Pharma L.P., et al.	563-CV-2018
386.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Washington	County of Washington	C.P. Washington Cnty.
207	Inc.	N	D 1 '		Purdue Pharma L.P., eFa	2017-6268
387.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of	County of Westmoreland	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty.
200	Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company	Manial 114	Denneration	Westmoreland	Purdue Pharma L.P., @a	2017-5975
388.	Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of York	County of York v. Punder	C.P. York Cnty. 2017-SU-003372
	ше.		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>n nanna L.i., et al.</u> <b>n H</b>	2017-50-003372
					)16: المراجع	
				93	17 <b>58</b>	
				75	Pharma L.P., et al. 715 0f 275 275 75	
					Ð	

Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Dauphin County, PA	Dauphin County, PA v.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty. 2018-CV-716
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Delaware County	Delaware County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Delaware Cnty. No. 2017-008095
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Franklin County	Franklin County v. Puelu	C.P. Franklin Cnty. 2019-2445
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, a Pennsylvania v. Purduz A Pharma L.P., et al. Q N	C.P. Lackawanna Cnty. 17-cv-5156
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, <b>D</b> Pennsylvania v. Purdu Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty. 11180-2017
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mahoning Township	Mahoning Township 💀 🖸 Purdue Pharma L.P., 🛱	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180603466
The Purdue Frederick Company inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mercer County	Mercer County v. Purce	C.P. Mercer Cnty. 2018-1596
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	The Municipality of Norristown and The Township of West Norriton	Township of West Norritor v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	C.P. Montgomery Cnty. 2019-12178
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Newtown Township	N	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2019-03043
	_	_	94	008:54路in旺xt of 275	
	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityInc.MunicipalityInc.;MunicipalityRichard S. Sackler;MunicipalityJonathan D. Sackler;Inc.;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Inc.;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Inc.;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Inc.;Beverly Sackler;Inc.;Beverly Sackler;Inc.;Theresa Sackler;Inc.;David A. Sackler;Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Inc.;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;Inc.;Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family;MunicipalityThe P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;MunicipalityStuart D. BakerMunicipalityThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.Municipality <td>The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;MunicipalityPennsylvaniaRichard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. BakerMunicipality PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvania</td> <td>Related PartiesAction TypeState(Last, First)The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDauphin County, PAInc.The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDelaware CountyRichard S. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Heresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDelaware CountyThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDelaware CountyThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaFranklin CountyThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaLackawanna County, PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaLackawanna County, PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaLackawanna County, PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaLackawanna County, PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaMahoning Township Norristown and The Norristown and The Norristo</td> <td>Related PartiesAction TypeState(Last, First)Case CaptionThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDauphin County, PA Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.Dauphin County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDelaware County PennsylvaniaDelaware County Pennsylvania Pharma L.P., et al.Mortimer D. A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Thereas Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Thereas Sackler; Ince Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; The Sackler; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaPennsylvania PennsylvaniaPennsylvania PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvania PennsylvaniaFranklin County PennsylvaniaFranklin County PennsylvaniaFranklin County, PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaFranklin County PennsylvaniaFranklin County, PennsylvaniaPennsylvania PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaFranklin County, PennsylvaniaPennsylvania PennsylvaniaPennsylvania PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaLackawama County, PennsylvaniaPennsylvania Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaLawrence County, PennsylvaniaPennsylvania Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaMahoning Township</br></br></br></br></br></td>	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;MunicipalityPennsylvaniaRichard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. BakerMunicipality PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvania	Related PartiesAction TypeState(Last, First)The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDauphin County, PAInc.The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDelaware CountyRichard S. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Heresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDelaware CountyThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDelaware CountyThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaFranklin CountyThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaLackawanna County, PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaLackawanna County, PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaLackawanna County, PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaLackawanna County, PennsylvaniaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaMahoning Township Norristown and The Norristown and The Norristo	Related PartiesAction TypeState(Last, First)Case CaptionThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDauphin County, PA Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.Dauphin County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityPennsylvaniaDelaware County PennsylvaniaDelaware County Pennsylvania Pharma L.P., et al.Mortimer D. A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Thereas Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Thereas Sackler; 

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
398.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	People of Northampton County and Northampton County, PA	People of Northampton County and Northampton County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Northampton County C48-cv-2017-11557
399.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Pike County, Pa.	Pike County, Pa. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Pike Cnty. No. 602-2018
400.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pa.	Schuylkill County, Pa. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	C.P. Schuylkill Cnty. S-1241-18
401.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Wampum Borough	Wampum Borough v. Publue	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. July Term 2018 No. 01963
402.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Warrington Township	Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2019-04956
403.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Leavis Sullivan; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Beth Taylor; Mark Waldrop; Michael Madden; Wendy Kay; Jeffrey Ward; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;	Municipality	South Carolina	City of Charleston	Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-04294
				95	8: <b>54</b> 8air f 275	
					2:5:098:568a in EXecutionin 73 of 275	

			<u><u> </u></u>	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Com Continu	
	Related Parties Beverly Sackler;	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker				19-	
404.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	City of North	City of North Charleston	C.P. Charleston Cnty.
	Inc.;			Charleston	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	2019-CP-10-03978
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				90	
	P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				re re	
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;				l Ing	
	Beth Taylor;				ar ar	
	Mark Waldrop;					
	Michael Madden;				ੂ ਤੋਂ ਤੋਂ	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				ctio	
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler;				n de	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				a Ka	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	
	Beverly Sackler;				20/	
	Theresa Sackler;				20	
	David A. Sackler;				afir a	
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;				un un	
	Stuart D. Baker				cti-	
				L	City of North Charleston Purdue Pharma L.P., et a Preliminary Injunction aneloy/bitantal g74 junction Pg 1. Preliminary Injunction Pg 1.	
					D <sub>c</sub>	
					17 17	
					4 5	
					of D	
					27	
				96	G B	
					In	
					<b>12:5</b> :108: <b>5</b> &BainEXwoldbilt 74 of 275	
					Ē	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
405.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Abbeville	County of Abbeville v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Abbeville Cnty. 2019-CP-01-00154
	S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				19-98288	
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members				Prelim	
	of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor;				inary Inju	
	Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;				nction and	
	Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop				U.VÖE	
406.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceutical Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Aiken	19.99.822889.9etadd DDccd 715 75-11ed F0140(40/5/2020) Preliminary Injunction an BigVD Repute Feature 40(4.0/5/20/207.6) County of Aiken v. Right Big 714 junction Pg 175 ( County of Aiken v. Right Big 714 junction Pg 175 (	C.P. Aiken Cnty. 2019-CP-02-01086
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				V <b>≥0</b> 7:51 >g 175 q	
				97	2:5-108:548ain EXendedulit 75 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties Trust for the Benefit Members	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				្រុ	
	Paul Kitchin;				l Ba	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				9ef	
407	Mark Waldrop	Manufat 114	Quart C 1	Quere train (1.11)		
407.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Allendale	Aid of South Constinue of State	C.P. Allendale Cnty. 2018-CP-03-00125
	Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					2010-CF-03-00123
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				na na	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				LI IIII	
	Richard S. Sackler;				In Z	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				nct lec	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				B	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				SB 2	
	David A. Sackler;				20.	
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler				En En	
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				<u> </u>	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				ctio	
	Paul Kitchin;				n n n	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop	l	<u> </u>		19-99-82-869-96tall PRATE Product 75-11edF04/0/2020/2011-16 Aid of South Carolinary Injunction an Boy/D/15-1041-9714-junction Pg 176 of	
					2:9:108:508ain EXabidolitr 76 of 275	
				98	75 BB	
					in	
					E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	
					<u>No.</u>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
408.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	State South Carolina	County of Anderson	County of Anderson v. Rite	C.P. Anderson Cnty.
	Inc.;	Panty	_ call Suronitu		Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-04-01108
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				ម្ម	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				90	
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;				l re	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler				l III	
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				그: 몇	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				cti	
	Paul Kitchin; Wondy Kayy				n de la companya de l	
	Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;				නු පිර	
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop				U SA SA	
409.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Bamberg	County of Bamberg v Rite	C.P. Bamberg Cnty.
+09.	Inc.;	Wunterpairty	South Caronna	County of Damoerg	Aid of South Carolina et a	2018-CP-05-00189
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					2010 CI 05 00105
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				ct i	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				on 🗛	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				19-99-822-869-9etadd DDcod 715 75-11ed F01404-052200200720720720720720720720720720720720	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				P	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
					)8: 12	
				99	2:5-108:548ain EXendedulit 77 of 275	
				77	o' ain	
					Ð	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
410.	Refaced FaritiesTrust for the Benefit Membersof the Raymond SacklerFamily;Stuart D. Baker;Leavis Sullivan;Beth Taylor;Leigh Varnadore;Paul Kitchin;Wendy Kay;Michael Madden;Jeffrey Ward;Mark WaldropThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit Membersof the Raymond SacklerFamily;Stuart D. Baker;Leavis Sullivan;Beth Taylor;Leigh Varnadore;Paul Kitchin;Wendy Kay;Michael Madden;Jeffrey Ward;Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Barnwell	19.99.22889.9etdlet Docd 79.75Filed Filed AVS/20/20/20 Preliminary Injunction an Boy Drintal & 74 junction Pg 1 County of Barnwell v Feliminary Injunction an Boy Drintal & 74 junction Pg 1	C.P. Barnwell Cnty. 2018-CP-06-00329
				100	1:9:108:548a in EXabidavitr 78 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
411.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Beaufort	County of Beaufort v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al. <b>19.9)223899etdd</b> DDccd 719,75-11edHile/14/02/20/200 Preliminary Injunction anelg/12/18/164/02/20/200 Render 97.44junction Pg 1	C.P. Beaufort Cnty. 2018-CP-07-01245
				101	ጀመtelÆndt ወላቂ/ቢ 40/3//200//2017:5028:508ain Extedition Broding/744junction Pg 179 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
412.	Ketated FaltiesThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc;P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;RichardS. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit Membersof the Raymond SacklerFamily;Stuart D. Baker;Leavis Sullivan;Beth Taylor;Leigh Varnadore;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Calhoun	County of Calhoun v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Calhoun Cnty. 2019-CP-09-00065
413.	Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Cherokee	19-99-822-869-9etadd DDccd 7/5-7JedF04e/04-052/20/207:57 Preliminary Injunction an Blovb framework of the feature of the featu	C.P. Cherokee Cnty. 2018-CP-11-00503
	David A. Sackler;			102	<b>2:5</b> -108:5-68ain EXtended in 80 of 275	

			<b>G</b> (	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties Trust for the Benefit Members	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore;				County of Chesterfieldy. Rite	
	Paul Kitchin;				91	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				Je	
41.4	Mark Waldrop					
414.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Chesterfield	County of Chesterfield V. Rite	C.P. Chesterfield Cnty. 2018-CP-13-00410
	Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al Ald of South Carolina ane, et	2018-CP-13-00410
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				na	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				l	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				n on the second se	
	Beverly Sackler;				an an	
	Theresa Sackler;				S C B	
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay;				J⊠r ∩	
	Michael Madden;				P	
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop				County of Chesterfield V. Tie Aid of South Carolina Tie 75-Iled Hold 40/2020/2019 Injunction and gy 2020/2019 181 Pg 181	
					2:9:108:508ain EXabidatin 81 of 275	
					N 08	
				103	යි. සි	
					n H	
					<u>द</u>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
415.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Clarendon	County of Clarendon v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Clarendon Cnty. 2019-CP-14-00236
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler;				19	
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker;				etadd DDcod 713 Preliminary	
	Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;				.75F1Jed17014004	
41.6	Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
416.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Colleton	19.99.82.889.9etadd DDccd 75.75F1ledRille/0.4050200.207.9D78 Preliminary Injunction an@0/18200200.207.9D78 County of Colleton v.an@1.2744junction Pg 182 of of South Carolina, Inc. 10.2011	C.P. Colleton Cnty. 2018-CP-15-00438
				104	8: <b>548</b> ain f 275	
					<b>2:5</b> -108: <b>5</b> -8ain Extended in 82 of 275	

			<b>a</b> .	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	<i>a a i</i>	
	Related Parties Trust for the Benefit Members	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				l la la	
	Paul Kitchin;				B B B	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				9ef	
417	Mark Waldrop	Maniairalia	Quarth Quarth	Construction (D'II)		
417.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dillon	County of Dillon v. Ræ Aid of South Carolina, Inc≂e □1.	C.P. Dillon Cnty. 2019-CP-17-00213
	Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					2019-CP-17-00213
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				ina na	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				EZ 19	
	Richard S. Sackler;				In 75	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				nct	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				E I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				ne 140	
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				DE DE	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				B60,03	
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;				<b>Definition</b>	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				L De la constante de la consta	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				tic <b>A</b>	
	Paul Kitchin;				n n	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				Pg	
	Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop				County of Dillon v. REPLIE of South Carolina, Inclininary Injunction and gy D& 20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	
		ļ	Į	<u> </u>	1:9:108:508ain EXabido itr 8:3 of 275	<u>ı                                    </u>
					of D8	
					27	
				105	ර් <u>හි</u>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
418.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	State South Carolina	County of Dorchester	County of Dorchester v. Rite	C.P. Dorchester Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-18-01122
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				l de la constante de la consta	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;				Pre	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler				ning	
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;				2 S	
	Leigh Varnadore;				nc	
	Paul Kitchin;				[ 등원	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				1140	
	Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
419.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Edgefield	County of Edgefield very field	C.P. Edgefield Cnty.
	Inc.;			county of Lugenera	Aid of South Carolina , et	2019-CP-19-00120
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				jur <b>e</b> g	
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler;				nct <b>e</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				ior	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				19.99.822669.9etadd DDccd 7/5-7jedHite/0.40/5/20/207.97 Preliminary Injunction aneloyu679714junction Pg 184 ( County of Edgefield variation Pg 184 ( Aid of South Carolina al.	
	Daviu A. Sackier;		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
					27 27	
				106	UT BE	
					Ē	
					2:5-108:508ain EXerciteite 84 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				្រុ	
	Paul Kitchin;				l Ba	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				9ef	
420	Mark Waldrop	Manial 114	Sauth Caralia	Country of Edit C 11	County of Fairfield v. File	
420.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Fairfield	Aid of South Constinue III	C.P. Fairfield Cnty. 2018-CP-20-00272
	Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al South Carolina and, et	2010-CF-20-00272
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				na na	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				EZ V1	
	Richard S. Sackler;				In .75	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				l	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				i di El	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				h a	
	Beverly Sackler;				ne 40	
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				QZ DZ	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;				1 distance	
	Stuart D. Baker;				Į Į	
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;				n Ng	
	Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				DC DC	
	Mark Waldrop				County of Fairfield v. Rein Doc 19 Aid of South Carolina TI 75-Iled (H)(A) 2020/2019 Injunction and 0/2020/2019 185 Pg 185	
		1	1	<u>l</u>	1:9:108:508ainEXabidolitr 8:5 of 275	<u> </u>
				107	27: <b>5</b>	
				107	5 Bir	
					4	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
421.	Related FaitlesThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit Membersof the Raymond SacklerFamily;Stuart D. Baker;Leavis Sullivan;Beth Taylor;Leigh Varnadore;Paul Kitchin;Wendy Kay;Michael Madden;Jeffrey Ward;Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Florence	County of Florence v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al. 19.99822899961dd DDcod 79.75FiledRite/A02020/EmterEndt@avid_02220/2017 Preliminary Injunction an@g/185704jg/74junction Pg 18	C.P. Florence Cnty. 2019-CP-21-01213
				108	昭和teiEndter4e/1140宮/220/22072:55-108:568ain 旧入めdouin Intelr2y7144junction Pg 186 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
422.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	State South Carolina	County of Greenwood	County of Greenwood v. Rite	C.P. Cherokee Cnty.
722.	Inc.;	wanterparity	South Carolina	county of Greenwood	Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-24-00775
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	2010 01 21 00775
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				٩	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				j j	
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;				re re	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler				ling	
	Family;				lar	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				그 것	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				cti	
	Paul Kitchin;				의 문	
	Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;				a <b>6</b>	
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop				Q. Z.	
423.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Hampton	County of Hampton v	C.P. Hampton Cnty.
723.	Inc.;	winnerpairty	South Caronna	County of Hampton	Aid of South Carolina area et	2018-CP-25-00258
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					2010-01-23-00230
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<u><u></u></u>	
	Richard S. Sackler;				un un	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				on 🗛	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				19.99.822889.96tadd DDccd 7/5 75-11ed F040(40/5/20/2070) Preliminary Injunction an BigVotton Pg 187 of County of Hampton verticity 714 junction Pg 187 of Aid of South Carolina al.	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				8.81	
	David A. Sackler;				7 <b>6</b> 1	
					08:	
				100	27.56	
				109	o air	
					2:5-108:548a in EXercitie 87 of 275	

			<b>a</b>	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		a
	Related Parties Trust for the Benefit Members	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;				4	
	Leigh Varnadore;				B.D.	
	Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				€£	
	Mark Waldrop					
424.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Horry	County of Horry v. Rige And	C.P. Horry Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Incarell.	2019-CP-26-02684
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				l ling	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				ar)	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				글뛰	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				nc	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				tio E	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				n a	
	Beverly Sackler;				140.	
	Theresa Sackler;				NG VOI	
	David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members				120	
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;				Definition of the second secon	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;				Inc	
	Leigh Varnadore;				dio di di	
	Paul Kitchin; Wondy Kayy				j ⊃ ĝ	
	Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				)Z	
	Mark Waldrop				County of Horry v. Right Att of South Carolina, Incliminary Injunction and gybernetics Pg 188 75-11ed Hold 40/2020/2012 188 74 junction Pg 188	
	•				1.9.08:548a in EXabidaviti 88 of 275	·
					-f 08 2∷	
				110	· 75	
				110		
					E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	

	Poloted Douting	Action True	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Case Contion	Count/Cose Number
425.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	State South Carolina	(Last, First) County of Jasper	Case Caption County of Jasper v. Rite Aid	Court/Case Number C.P. Jasper Cnty.
ч∠у.	Inc.;	winnerpainty	South Carolilla	County of Jasper	of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-27-00332
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				of South Carolina, file., et al.	2010-01-27-00352
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<u>با</u>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				пğ	
	David A. Sackler;				d re	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler				l ≓ĕ	
	Family;				l ar	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				175	
	Beth Taylor;				드 드 글 드 글 드 글 드 글 드 글 드 글 드 드 글 드 드 드 드	
	Leigh Varnadore;				<u>cti</u> ed	
	Paul Kitchin;				S S	
	Wendy Kay;				a a a	
	Michael Madden;				ne 40	
	Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrage				<u>S</u>	
426.	Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Kershaw	County of Korshow y	C.P. Kershaw Cnty.
+20.	Inc.;	winnerparity	South Carolina	County of Kershaw	Aid of South Caroling	2018-CP-28-00553
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Ald of South Carolina at si.	2018-CF-28-00555
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<u>A</u>	
	Richard S. Sackler;				un 🕰	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				cti Sta	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;				19-99-822889-9ehdid DDcod 715 75-11ed F0140/0-2020 0020 0020 0020 0020 0020 0020 0	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				PR	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
					)8: of 2	
				111	2:5-108:548a in EXabiduiti 89 of 275	
				111		
					Ð	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore;				10	
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				l ĝ	
	Jeffrey Ward;				6. K	
	Mark Waldrop					
427.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lancaster	County of Lancaster v	C.P. Lancaster Cnty.
	Inc.;	manopunty	2000 Suronnu	county of Eurousion	Aid of South Carolina Det	2019-CP-29-00540
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceutical Inc.;				na na	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				Inj 75	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				l	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				E g	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family; Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;				L D	
	Leigh Varnadore;				nct:	
	Paul Kitchin;				ior 🕭	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				νe	
	Jeffrey Ward;				9 . ZC	
	Mark Waldrop				County of Lancaster verification aneloy Destruction Pg 190 c Aid of South Carolinary Injunction aneloy Destructive 744 junction Pg 190 c	
·	•		•		2:9:108:548a in EXabido in 90 of 275	·
					508:	
				110	277	
				112	5 81	
					一	
					<u>Š</u>	
					<u>국</u>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
428.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Laurens	County of Laurens v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al. 19.9922899961dld DDccd 79.75-11edH90409200/2001teiEedt@4012020/20 Preliminary Injunction anElg/1900164/97/4junction Pg 1	
				113	空动tenEndten和404和空风之072:19:008:5548ain Extended in Contair穿74Ajunction Pg 191 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
429.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lee	County of Lee v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Lee Cnty.
727.	Inc.;	wanterparity	South Carolina	County of Lee	South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-31-00207
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					2010 01 01 00207
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				ٻ	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				l e	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				49 0	
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;				re re	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler				l ling	
	Family;				lar	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;				L I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
	Leigh Varnadore;				cti	
	Paul Kitchin;				P P	
	Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;				a	
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop				Q 20	
430.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lexington	County of Levington y	C.P. Lexington Cnty.
+30.	Inc.;	winnerparity	South Caronna	County of Lexington	Aid of South Carolina Trop et	2018-CP-32-02207
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					2010-01-52-02207
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<u>₽</u>	
	Richard S. Sackler;				un un	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				on $\Delta_{\rm ext}$	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				19-99-822889-9etadd DDcod 75 75-11edF04040/8/2007 Pg 192 0 Preliminary Injunction aneloy of the Foot even aneloy of Lexington Pg 192 of Aid of South Carolina aneloy of Aid of South Carolina	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
					08:	
				114	277	
				114		
					田	
					2:5:108:548a in EXendedulin 92 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family; Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				19	
	Paul Kitchin;				County of Marion v. Rife Aid	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				l ĝ	
	Jeffrey Ward;				<b>1</b>	
	Mark Waldrop					
431.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Rate Aid	C.P. Marion Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 2		2	of South Carolina, Incare	2019-CP-33-00299
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				l far	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				l nji	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				cti ed	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				a end	
	Beverly Sackler;				ne	
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				l Q.Z.	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;				<b>D</b>	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;				D n n	
	Wendy Kay;				Ň.	
	Michael Madden;				Pg	
	Jeffrey Ward;				L O	
	Mark Waldrop	l	<u> </u>		County of Marion v. Replaced To Aid of South Carolina, Inclined To To The Induction And A 2020/2019 Injunction and gy to And the Add A 2020/2019 193	<u> </u>
					2:9:108:508ain EXabido itr 93 of 275	
					N: 19	
				115	75 BB	
				-	in	
					Ð	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
432.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of McCormick	County of McCormick v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. McCormick Cnty. 2019-CP-35-00031
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				19-98289	
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family;				Prelimina	
	Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin;				.7571edR ary Injunctic	
433.	Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Oconee	on anelovid	C.P. Oconee Cnty.
433.	Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Oconee	19.99822699etudid DDccd 715 75-11edHavd 405020790720720720720720720720720720720720720720	2018-CP-37-00458
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				₩ <b>20</b> 7: <del>5</del> 1 Pg 194 c	
				116	2:5-008:506ain EXection 94 of 275	
					Exbridipiitr	

	Delated Dauties	Action True	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Case Contian	Count/Cose Normalise
	Related Parties Trust for the Benefit Members	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				l le	
	Paul Kitchin;				l Barris and Salaria and Sa	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				9et	
12.4	Mark Waldrop	NG 1 11.				
434.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Orangeburg	County of Orangeburgy. Rite	C.P. Orangeburg Cnty.
	Inc.; D.F. Laboratorios, Inc.;				Ald of South Carolina me, et	2018-CP-38-00841
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				ary It	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				nc lec	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				l Six	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				n 🖉	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				l de la	
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;				ur e	
	Leigh Varnadore;				l lot	
	Paul Kitchin;				ior Ag	
	Wendy Kay;				- <sup>-</sup> 20	
	Michael Madden;				P Ž	
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop				County of Orangeburger. Rite Aid of South Carolina Topoco 19, 75-11ed FUeld 40 20 20 7:5 Injunction anelov 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
					1:9:108:508ain EXabidolin 95 of 275	
					-f 28	
				117		
				11/	S. ali	
					Ð	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
435.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Pickens	County of Pickens v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al. 19.9922899961dd DDccd 79.75-11edH90409200/2001 Preliminary Injunction anelo/19200/2001 Pg 1	C.P. Pickens Cnty. 18-CP-39-00675
				118	/Σ0hteiEndteAre/df40/32/02/0/2072:5008:568a.inEXeducitin 近って超rg/744junction Pg196 of275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
436.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Saluda	County of Saluda v. Rite Aid	C.P. Clarendon Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2019-CP-41-00111
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				 بو	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				9ef	
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				Pres	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				e ⊂	
	of the Raymond Sackler				<u>∃</u> .ĝ	
	Family;				na	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				lŋj75	
	Beth Taylor;				L S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	
	Leigh Varnadore;				cti	
	Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay;				on E	
	Michael Madden;				ar 🛍	
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
437.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Sumter	19-9-822899-9etadd DDccd 715 75-11ed F014/0/5/20/20720/2000/2000/2000/200000/20000/200000000	C.P. Sumter Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Ince 1	2019-CP-43-00891
	Rhodes Techonologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				un 🕰	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				cti	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				on Ag	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				NZ	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				97. 70	
	David A. Sackier;	I	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
					27 27	
				119	2:5:108:548a in EXabido in 97 of 275	
					Ē	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family; Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore;				10	
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;				Ŕ	
	Michael Madden;				l ĝ	
	Jeffrey Ward;				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Mark Waldrop					
438.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Union	County of Union v. Ric Aid	C.P. Union Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Incare 1.	2018-CP-44-00288
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				าลเ คี.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				lnj	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				cti ed	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				의 문	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				a a	
	Beverly Sackler;				ne S	
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				Q. Za	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				cti 20	
	Paul Kitchin;				n n n	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				) <u>1</u>	
	Mark Waldrop				County of Union v. Repland South Carolina, Incliminary Injunction and 0/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20	
					2:5:108:548ainEXababitin 98 of 275	
					f 2	
				120	75	
				140	lin	
					₽ E	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
439.	Related PartiesThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit Members	Action Type Municipality	South Carolina	(Last, First) County of Williamsburg	Case Caption County of Williamsburg v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	Court/Case Number C.P. Williamsburg Cnty. 2018-CP-45-00276
440.	of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of York	Decd 715 75Filed Filed Filed 405/200 ninary Injunction and g/1200	C.P. York Cnty.
440.	Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of York	19-9-822889-9etadd DDcod 715 75-11ed FDI&04-022 0720 1:51 Preliminary Injunction aneloy by 74-1 unction Pg 199 c County of York v. Riteriou Carolina, Inc., #19774-1 unction Pg 199 c	2018-CP-46-02446
				121	<b>1:9:10</b> 8: <b>5:6</b> ain Exbolionit 99 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family; Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				19	
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				පිළ	
	Mark Waldrop			a 111 a		
441.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	Greenville County	Greenville County v. Kte Aid	C.P. Greenville Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Incae	2018-CP-23-01294
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				ina ina	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				J. ZE	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				들었	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				nct	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				E 55	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				Ng Vo	
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members				EX CONTRACTOR	
	of the Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;				JIN DA	
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;				D n C	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				P <sub>0</sub>	
	Jeffrey Ward;				Greenville County v. EAid of South Carolina, Incline TS-Iled Hold 40/3020/2019 Injunction anel g/19974 junction Pg 200 200	
	Mark Waldrop				2:9:108:548a in EXabiduiti 00 of 275	<u> </u>
					of Ď	
				122	75 BB	
					in	
					E Contraction of the second seco	
					<u>n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n </u>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
442.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg County v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al. 19.9822899etdd DDccd 75.75FiledHtvd 402020/2001tetEdt@avd 4020200/2001tetEdt@avd 4020200/2001tetEdt@avd 4020200/2001tetEdt@avd 402020200700 Pg 20	C.P. Spartanburg Cnty. 2018-CP-42-00760
				123	空的teiEndt@raydl40岁起位2012:05:08:508ain EXabidavitr Ontodirŷ774ajunction Pg 201 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
443.	Related PartiesThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;P.F. Laboratories Inc.;Leavis Sullivan;Leigh Varnadore;Paul Kitchin;Beth Taylor;Mark Waldrop;Michael Madden;Wendy Kay;Jeffrey Ward;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit of	Municipality	South Carolina	Town of Mount Pleasant	Town of Mount Pleasant v.         Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.         19-99-82889-961dld         DDmod 75 75FiledFile0000075070000         Preliminary Injunction aneloy200/Penterenterenterenterenterenterenterente	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-04302
444.	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; <u>Stuart D. Baker</u> The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Barry Staubus, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Second Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein; Tony Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the First Judicial District and on	Barry Staubus, et al. vuntur Pharma L.P., vuntur Pharma L.P., vuntur Pha	Cir. Ct. Sullivan Cnty. No. C-41916
				124	2:5:108:548ain Exabidatin 02 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				<ul> <li>behalf of all political subdivisions therein;</li> <li>Dan Armstrong, in his official capacity as the District Attorney</li> <li>General for the Third</li> <li>Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein;</li> <li>Baby Doe, by and through his Guadian Ad</li> </ul>	Bryant C. Dunaway, et alo	
445.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Litem Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirteenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, Including Clay County, City of Celine, Cumberland County, City of Crab Orchard, City of Crossville, Town of Pleasant Hill, Dekalb County, Town of Alexandria, Town of Dowelltown, Town of Liberty, City of Smithville, Overton County, Town of Livingston, Pickett County, Town of Byrdstown, Putnam County, City of Algood,	75.75年11ed积40/30/20/20加速129744junction 名利260/20/2020/202020/2020年11月1日	Cir. Ct. Cumberland Cnty. CCI-2018-CV-6331
				125	21:59:1018:5548a in EXeminiar 03 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Town of Baxter, City of		
				Cookeville, Town of Montaroy, White		
				Monterey, White County, Town of Doyle,		
				County, Town of Doyle, City of Sparta;		
				Jenning H. Jones, in his		
				official capacity as the	19	
				District Attorney		
				General for the		
				Sixteenth Judicial	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	
				District, TN and on	<u> </u>	
				behalf of all political	пğ.	
				subdivisions therein,	d l	
				including Cannon		
				County, Town of	l ling	
				Auburntown, Town of		
				Woodbury, Rutherford	2 2 2	
				County, City of Eaglevill, City of La		
				Vergne, City of		
				Murfreesboro, Town of	tic A	
				Smyrna;	n u	
				~,	an 🍎	
				Robert J. Carter, in his		
				official capacity as the	<u>کې کې</u>	
				District Attorney		
				General for the		
				Seventeenth Judicial	lig tel	
				District, TN and on		
				behalf of all political	ji to	
				subdivisions therein,	nc:	
				including Bedord	tio 14	
				County, Town of Bell Buckle, Town of	19-මාන්2883496hdid Dobcod 715,75F1ledF0149/04 40 කිරී20/200 Preliminary Injunction an මිරුවරයා භෝගී 744 junction Pg 2	
				Normandy, City of		
				Shelbyville, Town of	D. D.	
				Wartrace, Lincoln	2021	
				County, City of	14.5	
·	•			, <u>,</u> , ,	7 <b>1:5</b> :008:5548a in EXendeduitr 04 of 275	
				107	27	
				126	5 Bi	
					し 一 一 一	
					<u>Š</u>	
					<u>ц</u>	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Ardmore, City of		
				Fayetteville, Town of		
				Petersburg, Marshall		
				County, Town of		
				Chapel Hill, Town of		
				Cornersville, City of		
				Lewisburg, Moore	je je	
				County, City of		
				Lynchburg;		
				Brent A. Cooper, in his	6 D	
				official capacity as the		
				District Attorney		
				General for the Twenty-		
				Second Judicial District,		
				TN and on behalf of all	na na	
				political subdivisions		
				therein, including Giles	In 73	
				County, City of Elkton,		
				Town of Lynnville, City		
				of Minor Hill, City of	l Six	
				Pulaski, Lawrence		
				County, Town of		
				Ethridge, City of Iron	ප්රිවි	
				City, City of	S R	
				Lawrenceburg, City of		
				Loretto, City of St.		
				Joseph, Maury County,	l fig	
				City of Columbia, City	が喝	
				of Mount Pleasant, City		
				of Spring Hill, Wayne		
				County, City of Clifton,	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
				City of Collinwood,	n n	
				City of Waynesboro;		
				Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in	19-මාන්288996tmld Dobcod 715 75F1ledf014p/04 40 කිරී20/200 Preliminary Injunction an මරු 20 යි කරන්න වන 2 වාස් කරන්න වන 2	
				her official capacity as	2C	
				the District Attorney	<u>ا ت ت ق</u>	
L	1			and District Attorney		
				107	72.5008:5548ain EXababilit 05 of 275	
				127	ឹង	
					Ē	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
446.		District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	(Last, First)General for the Thirty- First Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Van Buren County, Town of Spencer, Warren County, Town of Centertown, City of McMinnville, Town of 	Lase Capiton 19-99-822889-9ehdid DDooffe Preliminary Injunction an@g/200/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20	Eastern Section at Knoxville Court of Appeals No. E2018-01994-COA- R3-CV
				District Attorney General for the Sixth Judicial District; Dave Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventh Judicial District, TN; Russell Johnson, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Ninth Judicial District, TN; 128	4)宽/20/2201/22012:50108:548a in Extended 40/3/220/22071:50108:548a in Extended and a construction Pg 206 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Stephen Crump, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District, TN; Jimmy Dunn, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Fourth Judicial District, TN; Mike Taylor, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twelfth Judicial District, TN Baby Doe #1;	19.92383.96세d Dood 개표 75F1led면 Preliminary Injunctio	
				Baby Doe #2	tion E	
447.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Tennessee	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners	Shelby County, by the Sheby Board of Commission Purdue Pharma L.P., Basi	Cir. Ct. Shelby Cnty. No. CT-004500-17
448.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	City of Houston, Texas	City of Houston Teva	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-43219
449.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bee	Purdue Pharma L.P., County of Bee v. Purdee	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76897
450.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Bexar	County of Bexar v. Purture Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77066
451.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burnet	County of Burnet v. Predec	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77090
452.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cameron	County of Cameron v. Putatue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
453.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cass	County of Cass v. Pure Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76905
454.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cooke	County of Cooke v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76907
				129	Pharma L.P., et al. <u>o D</u> 275 275	
					bidbiitr	

Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Coryell	County of Coryell v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77097
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Dallas	County of Dallas v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77098
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Delta	County of Delta v. AmerisourceBergen Drug	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Dimmit	County of Dimmit v. Pure	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76905
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Ector	County of Ector v. Purduce	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76907
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of El Paso	County of El Paso v. Pur	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77097
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Falls	County of Falls v. Purtie	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77098
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Fannin		Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Grayson	County of Grayson v. Puterue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76994
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Harrison	County of Harrison v. Hudue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77108
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Hidalgo	County of Hidalgo v. Bur	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77109
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Hopkins	County of Hopkins v. Public Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77111
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Houston	County of Houston v. Europe	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77021
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Kendall	County of Kendall v. Partue Pharma L.P., et al	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77023
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kerr	County of Kerr v. Purter	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77114
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Liberty	County of Liberty v. Porte	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77116
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Limestone	County of Limestone v	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77025
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Pardue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77026
			130	108:5588ain田破 of 275	
	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.Municipality IncThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.Municipality TexasTexasThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc. <td>Related PartiesAction TypeState(Last, First)The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of CoryellThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of DallasThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of DeltaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of DeltaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of EctorThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of El PasoThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of FallsThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of FallsThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of GraysonThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of HarrisonThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of HarrisonThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of HopkinsThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of Ken</td> <td>Related Parties         Action Type         State         (Last, First)         Case Caption           The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.         Municipality         Texas         County of Coryell         Pharma L.P., et al.           The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.         Municipality         Texas         County of Dallas         County of Delta         AmerisourceBergen Drug           The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.         Municipality         Texas         County of Dimmit         County of Dimmit         Pharma L.P., et al.         County of Elor         County of Dimmit         Pharma L.P., et al.         County of Elor         County of Elor         Pharma L.P., et al.         &lt;</td>	Related PartiesAction TypeState(Last, First)The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of CoryellThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of DallasThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of DeltaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of DeltaThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of EctorThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of El PasoThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of FallsThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of FallsThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of GraysonThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of HarrisonThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of HarrisonThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of HopkinsThe Purdue Frederick Company Inc.MunicipalityTexasCounty of Ken	Related Parties         Action Type         State         (Last, First)         Case Caption           The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.         Municipality         Texas         County of Coryell         Pharma L.P., et al.           The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.         Municipality         Texas         County of Dallas         County of Delta         AmerisourceBergen Drug           The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.         Municipality         Texas         County of Dimmit         County of Dimmit         Pharma L.P., et al.         County of Elor         County of Dimmit         Pharma L.P., et al.         County of Elor         County of Elor         Pharma L.P., et al.         <

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
473.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of McMullen	County of McMullen v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77067
174.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Milam	County of Milam v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77141
75.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nacogdoches	County of Nacogdoches v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77027
76.	The Purdue Frederick Company; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nueces; Nueces County Hospital District	County of Nueces and Nucces County Hospital District	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77083
77.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purde Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77036
78.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Panola	County of Panola v. Produe	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77037
79.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Parker	County of Parker v. Put dec Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77143
80.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Potter	County of Potter v. Purdue,	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77039
81.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Robertson	Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77043
82.	The Purdue Frederick Company; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of San Patricio	County of San Patricio Purdue Pharma L.P., and County of Shelby v. Pada	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77075
83.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Shelby	County of Shelby v. Pader Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77062
84.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Travis	Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77144
85.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Trinity	County of Trinity v. P	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77080
186.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Van Zandt	County of Van Zandt 🛱 🖌 AmerisourceBergen D	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77150
187.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Waller	County of Waller v. Purder	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77153
88.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Wood	County of Wood v. Public Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77081
				131	Pharma L.P., et al. C N County of Wood v. Public Pharma L.P., et al. 95 275 81. 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
489.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	Johnson County	Johnson County v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-87346
490.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;	Municipality	Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary In Davis County v. Purdun Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Dist. Ct. Cache Cnty. 190100112
491.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Davis County	Davis County v. Purdur L Pharma L.P., et al. Q	2nd Dist. Ct. Davis Cnty. 180700870
492.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Grand County	Grand County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 180700040
493.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Iron County	Iron County v. Purduco	5th Jud. Dist. Ct. Iron Cnty. 180500149
494.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Millard County	A san Juan County v. Purder San Juan County v. Purder San Juan County v. Purder Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Dist. Ct. Millard Cnty. 180700044
495.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Salt Lake County	Salt Lake County v. P	3rd Jud. Dist. Ct. Salk Lake Cnty. 180902421
496.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	San Juan County	San Juan County v. Purtur Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 180700040
497.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Sanpete County	Sanpete County v. Pureu Pharma L.P., et al.	6th Jud. Dist. Ct. Sanpete Cnty. 180600095
				132	1.9008:508ainExtrainit	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
498.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	Municipality	Utah	Sevier County; Juab County; Emery County; Wayne County; Piute County	Sevier County, Utah, Juab County, Utah, Emery County, Utah, Wayne County, Utah, and Piute County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6th Jud. Dis. Ct. Sevier Cnty. 190600050
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.				19-90-822-8894-9etadd DDaod Prelimina	
499.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Summit County, Utah	Summit County, Utah Purdue Pharma L.P., Hiunction and 03/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	3rd Dist. Ct. Summit Cnty. 180500119
				133	<b>13/20/207:9</b> 08: <b>5</b> /Bain EX-obduin n Pg 211 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
500.		Municipality	Utah	Tooele County, Utah	Uintah County, Utah;	3rd Dist. Ct. Tooele Cnty. 180300423
501.	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; Tri-County Health Department	Uintah County, Utah; Y La 75 Duscesne County, Utah; To Daggett County, Utah; Tri-County Health Department v. Purdue the Department v. Purdue the D	8th Dist. Ct. Uintah Cnty. 180800056
				134	7 <b>3/20/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 8ain EXedulin n Pg 212 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
502.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	Municipality	Utah	Wasatch County, Utah	Wasatch County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Dist. Ct. Wasatch Cnty. 180500079
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				19-9982889	
	Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				19-9/82689-9etaid DDccd.	
503.	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Bai Hieron County, Utah; Garfiel County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5th Dist. Ct. Washington Cnty. 190500179
				135	<b>寇/210//2012:5</b> -108:548ain田Xohidolin 7 Pg 213 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
504	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
504.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Weber County, Utah	Weber County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2nd Dist. Ct. Weber Cnty. 180903087
	Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	180903087
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				30	
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;				90	
	Trust for the Benefit of the				고 문	
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				in M	
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.				ine Ine	
505.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	City of Martinsville,	City of Martinsville, Virgunia	Cir. Ct. Martinsville Cnty.
0001	Inc.		· · · B	Virginia	v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	CL18000240-00
506.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	The County Board of	The County Board of S	Cir. Ct. Arlington Cnty.
	Inc.	1 2	C	Arlington County,	Arlington County, Virgin R v.	CL19001081-00
				Virginia	Purdue Pharma L.P., eoa	
507.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Dinwiddie County,	Dinwiddie County, Virgina	Cir. Ct. Dinwiddie Cnty.
	Inc.			Virginia	v. Purdue Pharma L.P	CL19-317
508.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Mecklenburg County	Mecklenburg County	Cir. Ct. Mecklenburg
	Inc.				Virginia v. Purdue Pha	County
					L.P., et al.	CL19000558-00
					v. Purdue Pharma L.P. Peral. Mecklenburg County of 20 Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Difference Market Market Pg 21 21	
					<u>e</u>	
					ctic	
					n nc	
					Pg	
					11 <b>:</b> 5	
					e Ď	
					2.5	
				136	<b>2:5</b> :008:568ainEXabidouiti 14 of 275	
					in	
					<u>NG</u>	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
509.	Related Parties         The Purdue Frederick Company         Inc.         Mark Radcliffe;         Mark Ross;         Patty Carnes	Municipality	West Virginia	Clast, First)Brooke CountyCommission;Hancock CountyCommission;Harrison CountyCommission;Lewis CountyCommission;Marshall CountyCommission;Ohio CountyCommission;Tyler CountyCommission;Wetzel County	Case Capiton         Brooke County Commission,         Hancock County         Commission, Harrison         County Commission, Lewis         County Commission,         Marshall County         Commission, Ohio County         Commission, Tyler County         Commission, Tyler County         Commission, and Wetzelly         County Commission v.         Purdue Pharma L.P., et a         Predimined         The County Commission	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 17-C-248H 17-C-249H 17-C-250H 17-C-251H 17-C-252H 17-C-253H 17-C-253H 17-C-255H Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation , Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
510.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Patty Carnes; Carol Debord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook; Mark Ross	Municipality	West Virginia	Commission The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs	The County Commission of Barboury Commission of Barboury County; Mayor Chris Cathin on behalf of The Village Barboursville; The County County; The County County; The County County; The County County; The County County; and Mayor Dur McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/2010 Purdue Pharma L.P., East Purdue Pharma L.P., East Of 2010 Pg 2017	Cir. Ct. Marshall County 19-C-4H 19-C-5H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C-8H 19-C-9H Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
				137	72:5008:5548a in EXendeduit 115 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
511.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of the Mortimer Sackler Family; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Carol DeBord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook	Municipality	West Virginia	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton; Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton, and Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-151 19-C-152 Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
512.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes	Municipality	West Virginia	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; Upshur County Commission	Monongalia County C. Commission; Marion County County Commission; Doddridge C. County Commission; C. Randolph County	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 18-C-222H 18-C-233H 18-C-234H 18-C-235H 18-C-236H Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
				138	Commission; and Ups County Commission VIII Purdue Pharma L.P., Stain Purdue Pharma L.P., Stain Unction Pg 216 of 275 Pg 216 of 275	

	Delated Darties	A ation Trues	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Case Contian	Count/Cogo Number
513.	Related PartiesThe Purdue Frederick CompanyInc.;Mark Radcliffe;Mark Ross;Patty Carnes;Jeff Waugh;	Action Type Municipality	State West Virginia	(Last, First) Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley;	Case CaptionRoane County Commission;The City of Spencer; JacksonCounty Commission; TheCity of Ripley; The Town ofRavenswood; Wood CountyCommission; The City of	Court/Case Number Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-96-108H
	Carol Debord; Amanda Bias Hayes; Doug Powers			The City of Kipley, The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville	Commission, The City of 1 Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town S Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. So Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville v. Mylan C Pharmaceuticals Inc., minary Pharmaceuticals Inc., The Danville Regional Method	
<u>Hos</u> 514.	<u>pital (MDL)</u> The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	MDL	Danville Regional Medical Center, LLC	Danville Regional Methods Center, LLC v. Purduce St Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45788 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
515.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.	Hospital	MDL	Triad Health Systems, Inc.		N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45780 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				139	Federally Qualified Healthcare Systems and Clinics v. Purdue Pharmatic L.P., et al. Pg 217 of 275 BaninEXbdbin	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
516.		Hospital	MDL	West Boca Medical Center, Inc.	West Boca Medical Center, Inc. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45530 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
log	nied Individual Third Davis Davis	- University (St	to Count)		19.9828899	
<u>Hos</u> 517.	pital, Individual, Third Party Payo Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Joe Coggins; Lyndsie Fowler; Mitchell "Chip" Fisher; Rebecca Sterling; Vanessa Weatherspoon; Chris Hargrave; Brandon Hasenfuss; Joe Read; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	<u>r, University (Sta</u> Hospital	Alabama	Mobile County Board of Health; Family Oriented Primary Health Care Clinic	Mobile County Board of dd Health and Family Oriented Primary Health Care Throng v. Richard Sackler, et al. 11755 Injunction anegy 20/200 Different energy 74 junction anegy 20/200 Kingman Hospital, Inco Arizona Spine and Joint 20	Cir. Ct. Mobile Cnty. 02-CV-2019-902806
518.	<u> </u>	Hospital	Arizona	Kingman Hospital, Inc.; Arizona Spine and Joint Hospital LLC; Bullhead City Hospital Corporation; Carondelet St. Joseph's Hospital;	Hospital LLC; Bullhear Sty	Mohave Cnty. Sup. Ct. S8015cv201900563
				140	Carondelet St. Joseph' 89 Hospital; Holy Cross of 275 275 275	

		A	64 - 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Com Continu	
	Related Parties Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	Action Type	State	(Last, First) Holy Cross Hospital,	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Theresa Sackler;			Inc.;	Hospital, Inc.; Hospital Development of West	
	John Stewart;			Hospital Development	Phoenix, Inc.; Northwest	
	Mark Timney;			of West Phoenix, Inc.;	Hospital, LLC; Oro Valley	
	Craig Landau;			Northwest Hospital,	Hospital, LLC; Oasis	
	Russell Gasdia			LLC;	Hospital; Orthopedic and	
	Russen Gasula			Oro Valley Hospital,	Medical Specialty Comp	
				LLC;	LLC; St. Mary's Hospital	
				Oasis Hospital;	Tucson; VHS Acquisition	
				Orthopedic and Surgical	Subsidiary Number 1, In	
				Specialty Company,	and VIIS Amouth and Inde	
				LLC;	Purdue Pharma I P et a	
				St. Mary's Hospital of	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
				Tucson;	Tucson Medical Center v	
				VHS Acquisition	<u> </u>	
				Subsidiary Number 1,	ina	
				Inc.;		
				VHS Arrowhead, Inc.		
519.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Hospital	Arizona	Tucson Medical Center	Tucson Medical Center vI	Super. Ct. Pima County
517.	Inc.	Hospital	AllZolla	r deson wiediear Center	Purdue Pharma L.P., @aP	C20184991
520.	Richard Sackler; Beverly	Hospital	Florida	Florida Health Sciences		Cir. Ct. Broward Cnty.
520.	Sackler; David Sackler;	Hospital	Tionda	Center, Inc.; North	Center Inc. et al y Richard	19-018882
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan			Broward Hospital	Sackler et a	19-010002
	Sackler; Kathe Sackler;			District; Halifax		
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			Hospital Medical		
	Theresa Sackler;			Center, Bayfront HMA		
	John Stewart;			Medical Center, LLC;		
	Mark Timney;			CGH Hospital, Ltd.;	afr	
	Craig Landau;			Citrus HMA, LLC;		
	Russell Gasdia; Barbara C.			Central Florida Health;		
	Miller; Briann Parson-Barnes;			Crestview Hospital	un un	
	Becca Beck Harville; Lindsey			Corporation; Delray		
	Bonifacio; Tammy Heyward;			Medical Center, Inc.;	୍ର <b>କ୍ର</b>	
	James Speed, Damon Storhoff;			Flagler Hospital, Inc.;	Florida Health Science On Electric for the formation of	
	Diana C. Muller;			Good Samaritan	ΡÊ	
	Draupadi Daley			Medical Center, Inc.;	g g	
	F 2 are j			Haines City HMA,	21	
				LLC; Hernando HMA,	90	
I			1	-, -,	2:5-11)8:508ain EXabidatin 19 of 275	1
					27 27	
				141	<u>ර සි</u>	
					nB	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Kelateu I al ties	Action Type	State	LLC; Hialeah Hospital,		
				Inc.; HMA Santa Rosa		
				Medical Center, LLC;		
				Key West HMA, LLC;		
				Lake Shore HMA, LLC;		
				Lake Wales Hospital	Ľ.	
				Corporation; Larkin	Ĵ Ĝ	
				Community Hospital		
				Palm Springs Campus,		
				LLC; Larkin		
				Community Hospital,	9e	
				Inc; Larkin Community		
				Hospital Behavioral	d re	
				Service, Inc.; Leesburg	19-මනි288996mdd DDcod 755-11edF0140/02/20/200/2001tenEndt@r490. Preliminary Injunction anමල්/නියහාභයේ ඉ74ajuncti	
				Regional Medical	<u> </u>	
				Center, Inc.; Lifemark	ਜ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ ਸ	
				Hospitals of Florida,		
				Inc.; Live Oak HMA,	<u>,</u> <u>,</u> <u>,</u>	
				LLC; Naples HMA,	들었	
				LLC; North Shore	nc lle	
				Medical Center, Inc.;	tic A	
				Osceolasc LLC; Palm		
				Beach Gardens	ର ଅଧି	
				Community Hospital,	te de la companya de	
				Inc.; Port Charlotte	l Q Za	
				HMA, LLC; Punta	20 <u>1</u>	
					E E E	
				Gorda HMA, LLC; St.	E E	
				Mary's Medical Center,		
				Inc.; Starke HMA, LLC;	74	
				The Villages Tri-County	ji <b>te</b>	
				Medical Center, Inc.;		
-				and Venice HMA, LLC		
521.	The Purdue Frederick Company	University	Louisiana	The Board of	The Board of Supervisors	19th Jud. Dist. Ct. East
	Inc.;			Supervisors for	Louisiana State Universit	Baton Rouge Parish
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;			Louisiana State	and Agricultural and DR Mechanical College v	C-694318 24
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;			University and	Mechanical College v	
	Beverly Sackler;			Agricultural and	AmerisourceBergen Drug	
	David A. Sackler;			Mechanical College	Corp., et al.	
					AmerisourceBergen Dig Corp., et al. 75 88: 75 75 75 75	
				142	ර <u>සි</u>	
					nÆ	
					¥	
					<u>iii</u>	

			<u> </u>	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
522.	Related PartiesIlene Sackler Lefcourt;Jonathan D. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Richard S. Sackler;Theresa Sackler;Stuart Baker;Raymond Sackler TrustNathan C. Grace;	Action Type Hospital	<u>State</u> Mississippi	(Last, First) Singing River Health	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
322.	Nathan C. Grace; Jaclyn P. Gatling; Leslie Roberson			Singing River Health System; Anderson Regional Health System; Biloxi HMA, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Biloxi; Clarksdale HMA, LLC d/b/a Northwest Mississippi Medical Center; Field Memorial Community Hospital d/b/a Field Health System; Jackson HMA, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Central; Magnolia Regional Health Center; Madison HMA, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Madison; Brandon HMA, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Madison; Brandon HMA, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Rankin; Wesley Health System, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Wesley; Natchez Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a	Anderson Regional Health System; Biloxi HMA, LLC d/b/ Merit Health Biloxi; Clarksdale HMA, LLC d/b/ Merit Health Biloxi; Medical Center; Field Memorial Community Hospital d/b/a Field Health System; Jackson HMA, LLC df/ Merit Health Central; Magnolia Regional Health Center; Madison HMA, LLC df/ Merit Health Central; Of Health Center; Madison HMA, LLC df/ Merit Health Madison Brandon HMA, LLC df/ Merit Health Rankin; Wesley Health System Wesley Health System Natchez; North Sunflower Medica Center; River Oaks Hospital, ff Oaks; Vicksburg Health Care	Ch. Cf. Jackson Chty. 30-CH-1:19-cv-01879
				143	f 275	
					(bidbiitr	

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State		Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Related Parties         Image: Construction of the second state of th	Action Type	State	(Last, First)Merit Health Natchez; North SunflowerMedical Center; River Oaks Hospital, LLC d/b/a Merit Health River Oaks; Vicksburg Healthcare, LLC d/b/a Merit Health River Region and Merit Health River Region West; Roh, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Women's Hospital; Tippah County Hospital; Alliance Healthcare System; Memorial Hospital at Gulfport; Delta Regional Medical Center; Progressive Medical Management of Batesville d/b/a Panola Medical Center; Boa Vida Hospital of	Case Caption d/b/a Merit Health River Region and Merit Health River Region West; Roh, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Women's Hospital; Tippah County Hospital; Tippah County Hospital; Alliance Healthcare System; Memorial Hospital at Gulfport; Delta Regional Medical Center; Progressive Medical Management of Bates Boa Vida Hospital of mice Abderdeen, MS, LLC and Nathan C. Grace, et al. Nathan C. Grace, et al. Nathan C. Grace, et al.	Court/Case Number
			Abderdeen, MS, LLC	75F-11ed积440%20/短的tel居otter4e/140%2/20/2072:9:08:548ain 旺xbotobition an 居负/2841/ntetiry?744junction Pg 222 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
523.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.;	Individual	New Jersey	Hill, Fredrick	Fredrick Hill v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. NJ Camden Cnty. L-003693-19
	Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler;				19.9828	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler;				19-9-8226349etadd DDccd 715-1 Preliminary Injur	
	Theresa Sackler; Estate of Dr. Richard Sackler; Estate of Mortimer Sackler; Estate of Raymond Sackler				od 715 75F1 nary Injun	
524.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Individual	New Jersey	Perez, Francisco	Francisco Perez, individually, as administrator and prosequendum for the of Tanny Robles-Perez Fransheka Robles Gor Sarai Perez Robles, a by Francisco Perez, high guardian ad litem v. Fight Revordero, M.D., et a	Super. Ct. NJ Passaic Cnty. PAS-L-003289-18
					guardian ad litem v. Field Revordero, M.D., et al Heid unction Pg 22 22	
				145	122071:15:108:568ain Etxabiduitr 1g 223 of 275	
					Extractionity	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
525.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Estate	New York	The Estate of Justine Maria Aliotta; Aliotta, Joanne individually and as administratrix of the Estate of Justine Marie Aliotta, deceased; Torres Julissa Cecelia, an infant by her guardian Joanne Aliotta, on behalf of themselves and Plaintiff Class Consisting of all other persons in New York so situated	Patty Carol Leysen, etall.	Sup. Ct. Richmond Cnty. 152057-2019
526.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Leysen, Patty Carol, Administrator of the Estate of Brian Keith Johnston	Patty Carol Leysen, etal Q AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Sup. Ct. Davidson Cnty. 20-cvs-112
527.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Stevens, Susan K., Administratrix of the Estate of Toria Capri Stevens	AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Sup. Ct. Forsyth Cnty. 20-cvs-352
528.	Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Williams, Sonji B., Administratrix of the Estate of Tyler Michael Wain Williams	Sonji B. Williams, Administratrix of the Etae of Tyler Michael Wain Williams v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Super. Ct. Gaston Cnty. 19-cvs-5192
				146	72:5008:5588a in Etxebidibilit 12:24 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
529.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	AFSCME District Council 33 Health & Welfare Fund	AFSCME District Council 33 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302269
530.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	AFSCME District Council 47 Health & Welfare Fund	AFSCME District Council 47 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302255
531.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local Union No. 1 of PA/DE Health and Welfare Fund	Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local Union No. 1 of PADE Health and Welfare Funder. Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	C.P. Philadelphia 180302256
532.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Carpenters Health & Welfare Fund of Philadelphia & Vicinity	Carpenters Health & Weihare of Philadelphia & Vicinite Purdue Pharma L.P., ethan Y Injunction and 03020/2014 Injunction and 03020/2014 Karen Davidson, et al tricted Ignacio Badiola, M.D. Ction Pg 225	C.P. Philadelphia 180302264
533.		Estate	Pennsylvania	Davidson, Karen A., Individually, and Administratrix of the Estate of John C. Davidson, deceased	Karen Davidson, et al Tribul Ignacio Badiola, M.D. Chill In Constant In Consta	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 200100381
				147	<b>1/207:5</b> :008:568ain Extended in Pg 225 of 275	
					n EXxbridbuiltr	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
534.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 728 Family Healthcare Plan	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 728 Family Healthcare Plan v. Allergan, PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia No. 003872
535.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 98 Health & Welfare Fund and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 89 Sound and Communication Health & Welfare Fund	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 88 Health & Welfare Fund and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Sound and Communication Health & Welfare Fund val Endo Pharmaceuticals for et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 002063
536.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Union of Painters and Allied Trades, District Council No. 21 Welfare Fund	International Union of Painters and Allied Trange District Council No. 25 Welfare Fund v. Alleron PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 001983
537.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Iron Workers District Council of Philadelphia and Vicinity, Benefit Fund	Iron Workers District Comparison of Philadelphia and Vigingy, Benefit Fund v. Abbood, SU	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180502442
538.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund	Laboratories, Inc. Philadelphia Federation Teachers Health and Vellare Fund v. Endo	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180403891
539.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority	Southeastern Pennsylvan Transportation Author Endo Pharmaceuticals	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. March Term 2018 No. 02923
540.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Steamfitters Local 449 Medical & Benefit Fund	Steamfitters Local 449 Medical & Benefit Fue Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 19091412
				148	<b>1:5</b> 108: <b>5</b> 48a in EXendabilit 26 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
541.		Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	The Trustees of the Unite Here Local 634 Health & Welfare Fund	The Trustees of the Unite Here Local 634 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180401123
542.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	UFCW Local 23 and Employers Health Fund	UFCW Local 23 and Employers Health Fund Y	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180403485
543.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Western Pennsylvania Electrical Employees Insurance Trust Fund	Western Pennsylvania Electrical Employees Insurance Trust Fund v. Kodo Pharmaceuticals Inc., et a	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 181002038
544.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia	Hospital	Texas	Dallas County Hospital District d/b/a Parkland Health & Hospital System; Palo Pinto County Hospital District a/k/a Palo Pinto General Hospital; Guadalupe Valley Hospital a/k/a Guadalupe Regional Medical Center; VHS San Antonio Partners, LLC d/b/a Baptist Medical Center, Mission Trail Baptist Hospital, North Central Baptist Hospital, Northeast Baptist Hospital, and St. Luke's Baptist Hospital; Nacogdoches Medical Center; Resolute Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Resolute Health; The Hospitals of	Dallas County Hospital District d/b/a Parkland Health & Hospital System; Paro Pinto County Hospital District ak/a Palo Pinto General Hospital; Guadalupe Valley Hospital a/k/a Guadalupe Regional Medical Center; VHS Case Antonio Partners, LLCilina Baptist Medical Center, Mission Trail Baptist Hospital, North Centre Baptist Hospital, North Baptist Hospital, North Baptist Hospital, and Luke's Baptist Hospital, North Baptist Hospital, and Luke's Baptist Hospital Content Nacogdoches Medical Center; Resolute Hospital Content Nacogdoches Medical Center; Resolute Hospital Content The Hospitals of Providence East Campus; The Hospital Content Providence Memorial Campus; The Hospital Content Providence Memorial Campus; The Hospital Content Providence Memorial Campus; The Hospital Content Sierra Campus; The Hospital Content	Dallas Cnty. Dist. Ct. DC-19-13794
				149	3: <b>5</b> 8ain 275	
					f 275	

Related Parties         Action Type         State         (Last, First)         Cases Caption         Court/Case Number           Providence East         Campus; The Hospitals of Providence Memorial         Campus; VIIS Brownsvile; VIIS         Campus; VIIS         Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a           In Hospitals of Providence         Free Hospitals of Providence         Caster Caster Company, LLC d/b/a         Caster Caster Company, LLC d/b/a           In Hospital Sof         Providence         State         Hespitals of Providence         Caster Caster Company, LLC d/b/a           VIIS Brownsvile         VIIS Brownsvile         Caster Caster Company, LLC d/b/a         Caster Caster Company, LLC d/b/a           VIIS Brownsvile         VIIS Brownsvile         Campus; Caster Caster Company, LLC d/b/a         Caster Caster Company, LLC d/b/a           VIIS Brownsvile         VIIS Brownsvile         Caster Caster Caster Company, LLC d/b/a         Caster Cas				<b>Underlying Plaintiff</b> (s)		
Campus:       Hospital Company, LLC d/bá         The Hospitals of       Providence Memorial         Campus:       Harlingen Hospital Company,         The Hospitals of       Providence         Providence       Medical Center;         Sierra Campus;       Arme, L.P. d/b/a Nalley Baptists         The Hospitals of       Providence         Providence       Regional Medical Center;         Transmountain Campus;       Arme, L.P. d/b/a Nalley Baptist         Transmountain Campus;       Granbury Medical Center;         VHS Brownsville       Corporation d/b/a Lake;         Valley Baptist Medical       Center;         Center;       Hospital Company, LLC         d/b/a       Navarro Regional         Valley Baptist Medical       Center;         Center;       Hospital         Center;       Texas, L.P. d/b/a         Arme; L.P. d/b/a       Hospital         Medical Center; Victofisa Medical       Center;         Center;       Company, L.P. d/b/a         Arme; L.P. d/b/a       Navarro Regional         Medical Center; Victofisa Medical       Center;         Center; Navarro       Center; San Area         Granbury Medical Center; Victofisa Medical       Center;         Granbury	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)		Court/Case Number
X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First) Providence East Campus; The Hospitals of Providence Memorial Campus; The Hospitals of Providence Sierra Campus; The Hospitals of Providence Transmountain Campus; VHS Brownsville Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Valley Baptist Medical Center - Brownsville; VHS Harlingen Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Valley Baptist Medical Center; Armc, L.P. d/b/a Abilene Regional Medical Center; College Station Hospital, LP; Granbury Hospital Corporation d/b/a Lake Granbury Medical Center; Navarro Hospital, L.P. d/b/a Navarro Regional Hospital; Brownwood Hospital, L.P. d/b/a Brownwood Regional Medical Center; Victoria of Texas, L.P. d/b/a Detar Hospital Navarro and Detar Hospital North;	Campus; VHS Brownsville Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Valley Baptist Medical Center - Brownsville; VHS Harlingen Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Valley Baptist Medical Center; Armc, L.P. d/b/a Abilene Regional Medical Center; College Station Hospital, Granbury Hospital Corporation d/b/a Lake Granbury Medical Center; Navarro Hospital, L.P. d/b/a Navarro Regional Hospital; Brownwood Hospital; Brownwood Hospital, L.P. d/b/a Brownwood Regional Hospital, L.P. d/b/a Brownwood Regional Medical Center; Victor and Hospital Navarro and Detar Hospital North; Laredo Texas Hospital North; Laredo Texas Hospital Company, L.P. d/b/a Samo Medical Center; San Agelo Community Medical Grafer; Cedar Park Health System L.P. d/b/a Cedar Park Regional Medical Center; NHCHO Hillsboro, Inc. d/b/a Hell Regional Hospital; Long Ww Medical Center, L.P. d/b/a	Court/Case Number

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Laredo Texas Hospital	Woodland Heights Medical	
				Company, L.P. d/b/a	Center v. Purdue Pharma	
				Laredo Medical Center;	L.P., et al.	
				San Angelo Hospital,		
				L.P. d/b/a San Angelo		
				Community Medical	12	
				Center; Cedar Park Health	し し し し し し し し し し し し し し	
				System, L.P.	l éste de la companya	
				d/b/a Cedar Park		
				Regional Medical	6 K	
				Center; NHCI of	e	
				Hillsboro, Inc. d/b/a Hill		
				Regional Hospital;		
				Longview Medical	19-90~22669-9ekuld DDccd 715,75F11ed 떤 14004 Preliminary Injunction an	
				Center, L.P. d/b/a	a A	
				Longview Regional		
				Medical Center;	l Inj. 75	
				Piney Woods		
				Healthcare System, L.P.	l ict	
				d/b/a Woodland Heights	E P	
				Medical	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
5.4.5				Center	Fire and Police Retire	
545.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard D. Sackler	Third Party	Texas	Fire and Police Retirement Health Care	Fire and Police Retirement	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-33724
	Inc.; Kichard D. Sackier	Payor		Fund, San Antonio	Antonio y Pichard D	2018-33724
				Fund, San Antonio	Health Care Fund, San Aronio v. Richard D. Robert Sackler, et al.	
546.	The Purdue Frederick	Hospital	West Virginia	West Virginia	West Virginia University	Civ. Action Nos. 19-C-69
5 10.	Company;	mospitui	West Highlin	University Hospitals	Hospitals Inc.: Appala	through 19-C-88 and 19-C-
	Richard Sackler;			Inc.;	Hospitals Inc.; Appala	134 through 19-C-139
	Beverly Sackler;			Appalachian Regional	Bluefield Hospital Company,	5
	David Sackler;			Healthcare, Inc.;	LLC: Broaddus Hospital 🗭	Consolidated before MLP
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;			Bluefield Hospital	Association; Camden-Ela	In re Opioid Litigation, Cir.
	Jonathan Sackler;			Company, LLC;	Memorial Hospital	Ct. Kanawha County
	Kathe Sackler;			Broaddus Hospital	Corporation; Charleston Rea	19-C-9000
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			Association;	Medical Center, Inc.; The	
	Theresa Sackler;			Camden-Clark	Charles Town General P Hospital; City Hospital, Inc.;	
	John Stewart;	<u> </u>	l	Memorial Hospital	Hospital; City Hospital, Inc.;	<u> </u>
				151	f 275	
					InE	

			<b>Underlying Plaintiff</b> (s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Related Parties Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes	Action Type	State	(Last, First) Corporation; Charleston Area Medical Center, Inc.; The Charles Town General Hospital; City Hospital, Inc.; Community Health Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Grafton City Hospital	Case Caption Community Health Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Grafton City Hospital, Inc.; Grant Memorial Hospital; Greenbrier VMC, LLC; Hospital Hospital Company; Oak Call Hospital Corporation d/bog Plateau Medical Center; Hospital Corporation; Princeton Memorial Hospital Inc.; Reyrol Memorial Hospital Inc.; Servol Memorial Hospital Inc.; Water Stonewall Jackson Memorial Hospital Center, Inc.; Corporation Stonewall Jackson Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Wetzel Hospital, Inc.; Wetzel Hospital, LLC.; and Backon County Memorial Hospital Hospital, LLC.; and Backon County Memorial Hospital Inc. v. Purdue Pharma County Memorial Hospital Net Hospital, LLC.; Server Stonewall Jackson Memorial Hospital, LLC.; Server Webster County Memorial Hospital Hospital, LLC.; Server Stonewall Jospital Hospital Hospital, LLC.; Server Hospital, LLC.; Server	Court/Case Number
			152	275 275	
			132		
				Ð	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
		Action Type	State	Inc.; Webster County Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Wetzel County Hospital Association; Williamson Memorial Hospital, LLC.; Braxton County Memorial Hospital, Inc.	A.M.H., individually and	
Esta	te, Individual, Third Party Payor	(MDL)	I			1
	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	NAS	MDL	A.M.H.	minor Baby C.E., and an	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45052 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				153	behalf of all others sinifficated v. Purdue Phatnary Injunction aneloy 200/201908:548ainExample 12.P., et al. 1992 Page 2019 Pg 231 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
548.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	NAS	MDL	Alexander, Melba	Melba Alexander, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.H.R. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45502 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				Melissa Ambrosio,	
549.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ambrosio, Melissa	Melissa Ambrosio, individually and as net in friend of Baby G.A., of behalf of themselves and behalf of themselves and others similarly situation Purdue Pharma L.P., ean BOX20/2010 Purdue Pharma L.P., ean BOX20/2010 9232 of 275 9232 of 275	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45375 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				154	1 <b>3/220/≥07:5</b> -008:568ain Extended	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
550.		NAS	MDL	Artz, Jennifer	Jennifer Artz, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby I.A.A., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45459 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
551.	Sackler FamilyThe Purdue FrederickCompany, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit ofMembers of the RaymondSackler Family	NAS	MDL	Atkinson, Sandra	Sandra Atkinson, individually and as next friend and initial guardian of Baby L.C.Y. Purdue Pharma L.P., ection anegy 20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45531 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				155	073/270/72071:50:08:568a in Extended in on Pg 233 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
552.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Berzinski, April	April Berzinski, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.Z. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45503 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				19-9-82-889-9-ehdd DDood Prelimina	
553.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Brant, Shelby L.	Shelby L. Brant, individually and as next friend and 75 guardian of Baby L.A.Y. In behalf of themselves and Bell others similarly situation Purdue Pharma L.P., et an BOY 2020 234 Purdue Pharma L.P., et an BOY 2020 234 Purdue Pharma L.P., et an BOY 2020 234 Pg 234	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45494 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				156	<b>3/20/207:5</b> :08:548ain Extendio	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
554.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Brumbarger, Brandi	Brandi Brumbarger, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.B.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45469 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
555.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Carlson, Desiree	Desiree Carlson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.S., Gnue behalf of themselves and behalf of themselves and Purdue Pharma L.P., en and QZ20/200/200/2007. Pg 237	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45487 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				157	0 <b>/2/0/2012:6/</b> 08: <b>56</b> 8.in Extraction on Pg 235 of 275	

	Delated Danties	Action True	Stata	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Case Contion	Court/Cose Number
556.	Related PartiesThe Purdue FrederickCompany, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;	Action Type NAS	MDL	(Last, First) Chancey, Musette	Case Caption Musette Chancey, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies D.C.1. and D.C.2. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Prelimina	Court/Case Number N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45533 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
557.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Cherry, Angela	Angela Cherry, individually and as next friend and 17 guardian of Baby Z.C.H. behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated to Purdue Pharma L.P., eane Q/20150100 20100 20100000000	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45490 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
558.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	MDL	Cleveland Bakers and Teamsters Health and Welfare Fund and Pipe Fitters Local Union No. 120 Insurance Fund	Teamsters Health and Workare Fund and Pipe Fitters Do	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45432 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				158	Fund v. Purdue Pharm@IF., et al., 588 7588	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
559.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Collier, Jessica	Jessica Collier, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.P. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45506 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				19-90-8226694-9ehold DDocd Prelimina	
560.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Cruz, Gloria	Gloria Cruz, individually and as next friend and gualling of Baby C.E.L., on behalf of themselves and all otherson similarly situated v. Predice Pharma L.P., et al. and 0200/200/200/200/200/200/200/200/200/20	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45466 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				159	<b>3/2:0/2:07:5:1</b> 08:548ain 田xolocluin 1 Pg 237 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
561.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Delancey, Christina	Christina Delancey, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.J.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45480 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
562.	Sackler FainityThe Purdue FrederickCompany, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit ofMembers of the RaymondSackler Family	NAS	MDL	DeMaro, Samantha	Samantha DeMaro, Y J. individually and as net J. friend and guardian of Bady J.W.L.B., on behalf of Ct. themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purder Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 232 Pg 232 Pg 232	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45465 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				160	0/22/02/22:072:05:00:568ain Extended in Pg 238 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
563.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Dixon, Deborah	Deborah Dixon, as next friend and guardian of baby S.E.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45511 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				19-99-82-839-961add D0ccd.) Prelimina	
564.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Doe, John	John Doe, by and through Jane Doe, his parent and natural guardian, on beater himself and all others C similarly situated v. Pood Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46318 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
565.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Doyle, Erin	Erin Doyle, individual <b>1</b> as Mother and Custod <b>1</b> Baby D.F., on behalf <b>1</b> themselves and all other similarly situated v. April <b>1</b> LLC, et al. <b>Pg 239</b> <b>239</b>	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46327 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				161	2:5-11)8:548a in EXercitation 39 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
566.		Third Party Payor	MDL	Drywall Tapers Insurance Fund	Drywall Tapers Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45810 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
567.	Stuart D. BakerThe Purdue FrederickCompany, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit ofMembers of the RaymondSackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ellis, Esperenza	Esperenza Ellis, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby S.O.B. In behalf of themselves and fill others similarly situated for Purdue Pharma L.P., en of PO BO BO BO BO DE DE DE DE DE DE DE DE DE DE DE DE DE	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45464 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				162	146/ <b>0.40/2:0/2:07:5:0</b> 8: <b>5:6</b> ain EXendeduit nction Pg 240 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
568.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Flach, Brittany	Brittany Flach, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies A.B. and G.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45488 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
569.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Flanagan, Darren and Elena	Darren and Elena Flansgun, individually and as adoptive parents and next friends of Baby K.L.F., on behalcoo themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purder Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 24	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45405 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				163	07 <b>3/270/72071:0</b> 5008:566a in EtXendonia on Pg 241 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
570.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gauthier, Krista; Sawyers, Angela; Springborn, Jessica	Krista Gauthier, Angela Sawyers, and Jessica Springborn, individually and as next friends and guardians of Babies D.L.D., M.A.S., and N.S., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purd Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45478 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
571.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gauthier, Mechelle	Mechelle Gauthier, VIE 75 individually and as net in 55 friend and guardian of 15 B.L. v. Purdue Pharmation an Boy 20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45514 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				164	0/3/2/0/2:0/2:0:0:0:5:6a in Extended in on Pg 242 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
572.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Gibson, Amanda	Amanda Gibson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.A. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45515 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				liminar	
573.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gilson, Jamiee	Jamiee Gilson, as next friend and guardian of Baby D. v. Purdue Pharma L.P. L.P. Let ed Hold OSC20/2012 Majunction Pg 243 Pg 243	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45461 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				165	<b>3/20/207:9</b> 08: <b>5</b> &ain Extended	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
574.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	NAS	MDL	Goforth, Rebecca	Rebecca Goforth, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies A.S. and N.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45532 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				19-99-82-889-9-ehdid DDmd 7 Preliminar	
575.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Goldman, Jenni	Jenni Goldman, individuality and as next friend and 17.55 guardian of Babies J.K.Will and M.J.R. v. Purdue Phaema L.P., et al. DBC 0/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45516 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				166	<b>13/20/2071:5</b> 08: <b>5/8</b> ain Etxabiduitr n Pg 244 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
576.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Goss, Heather	Heather Goss, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies C.B. and V.B. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45518 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				19-9922839961dd DDcc47 Prelimina	
577.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Wrongful Death	Mississippi	Greer, Julia	Julia Greer, individually and as next friend and on Entry of all wrongful death beneficiaries of Rose Carg deceased v. Charles Efficient M.D., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-46117 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
578.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of	NAS	MDL	Hamawi, Marijha; Lara, Meghan	Marijha Hamawi, indi Edully and as next friend and guardian of Babies K. SHO and N.A.W.; and Meger Lara, individually and sext friend and guardian of aties K.L.H. and N.A.W., or both alf of themselves and all the similarly situated v. Produce Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45477 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family			167	2:5-208:508ain EXection 45 of 275	
					n Extractionitr	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
579.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Hampel, Jessica	Jessica Hampel, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.M.H., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al., Preliming Courtney Herring,	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45473 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
580.	Sackler FamilyThe Purdue FrederickCompany, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit ofMembers of the RaymondSackler Family	NAS	MDL	Herring, Courtney	Courtney Herring, individually and as net friend and guardian of Balay M.T. v. Purdue Pharmal Of Balay M.T. v. Purdue Pharmal Of Balay et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45519 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				168	<b>75,/20/207:9</b> :08:568ain Extended in Pg 246 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
581.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Howell, Reannan	Reannan Howell, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby N.J.D. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45520 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				nd Dood 7 Prelimina	
582.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Hunt, Shannon	Shannon Hunt, Individually and as Next Friend and 7 Guardian of Minor S. Ling on Behalf of All Otheor Similarly Situated v. Portuge Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 20/20	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45681 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				169	纪200/22072:50-08:5548ain 田xoloidolin 1 Pg 247 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
583.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	NAS	MDL	Hutchins, Kiana	Kiana Hutchins, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby T.E. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45505 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				19-99-82-889-9etadd DDccd. Prelimina	
584.	Sackler FamilyThe Purdue FrederickCompany, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit ofMembers of the RaymondSackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ivie, Billie	Billie Ivie, individually and as next friend and guarding by A.I., on behalf of the server and all others of the server and all othe	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45489 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				170	<b>/2/20/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 8ain Extended	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
585.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	NAS	MDL	Johnson, Aracya	Aracya Johnson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby R.H. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45521 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				19-9)22669-9etadd DDcod 7 Preliminar	
586.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Johnson, Jamie	Jamie Johnson, individead and as next friend and guardian of Babies K. J.D. v. Purdue Pharmation aneloy 20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45504 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				171	<b>13/20/207:9</b> :08: <b>56</b> ain Extended	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
587.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Kirk, Krystle	Krystle Kirk, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.K. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. →	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45509 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				19-9-82389-9ekuld DDccd.7 Prelimina	
588.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Kommer, Elizabeth	eliminary III 75 individually and as neilin 75 friend and guardian of Paller, c.K. v. Purdue Pharmation aneloy 20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45522 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				172	<b>13/20/207:5</b> 08: <b>58</b> ain Etxaduluit n Pg 250 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
589.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler;	Third Party Payor	MDL	Laborers Local 1298 of Nassau & Suffolk Counties Welfare Fund	Laborers Local 1298 of Nassau & Suffolk Counties Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45813 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker				19-9-826899etadd DDood 75 Preliminary	
590.	Stuart D. Baker The Purdue Frederick Company Inc., Rhodes Technologies Inc., Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc., The P.F. Laboratories Inc., Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	MDL	Local 8A-28A Welfare Fund	Local 8A-28A Welfar Local 8A-28A Welfar Pg 251 Local 8A-28A Welfar Pg 251 Local 8A-28A Welfar Local 8A-28A Welfar Pg 251 Local 8A-28A Welfar Pg 251 Local 8A-28A Welfar Local 8A-28A Welfar Pg 251 Local 8A-28A Welfar Pg 251 Local 8A-28A Welfar Local 8A-28A Welfar Local 8A-28A Welfar Pg 251 Local 8A-28A Welfar Local 8A-28A Welfar Local 8A-28A Welfar Pg 251 Local 8A-28A Welfar Local 8A-28A Welfar Local 8A	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45809 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				173	<b>が定の7:5</b> の8:568ain臣xtoiduitr <sup>9</sup> g 251 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
591.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Lechuga, Niola	Niola Lechuga, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies Q.H.L. and A.G.L., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45468 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
592.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Lively, Carol	Carol Lively, individual and as next friend and guardiand Baby L.L. v. Purdue Practice Ction and 052/20/2010 and 052/20/2011 Baby L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45523 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				174	0/22/0/22/072:05:00:00:568a in EXeministry on Pg 252 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
593.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	NAS	MDL	Lyle, Alyssa	Alyssa Lyle, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45524 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of				19-99-82288949etadd DDccd. Prelimina	
	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				nina	
594.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Martin, Kimberly	Kimberly Martin, individually and as next friend and 17 guardian of Baby A.M. Purdue Pharma L.P., equilibre and gy 25 Majunction Pg 25 B B B B C D C D C D C D C D C D C D C D	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45510 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				175	3/220/22012:50:08:50% ain Exhibitin Pg 253 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
595.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	NAS	MDL	Martin, Penny	Penny Martin, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby D.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45508 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				19-99-822-889-9-ehold DDcod	
596.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Martinez, Jacquelynn	Jacquelynn Martinez, V II Jacquelynn Martinez, V II individually and as net friend and guardian of the free and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharman BQ/200/200/200/200/200/200/200/200/200/20	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45484 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				176	<b>3/20/207:6</b> :08:548ain EXabiduit n Pg 254 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
597.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of	NAS	MDL	Massey, Melanie	Melanie Massey, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies S.L.M. and K.D.R. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45525 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
598.	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Massachusetts	Mayflower Municipal Health Group	Mayflower Municipal Height Group v. Johnson & Junction an Boy 20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45897 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				177	46/140/122/072072:13:00:08:568ain Extended in Pg 255 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
599.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	NAS	MDL	McAnany, Samantha	Samantha McAnany, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.L.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45526 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				19-0-226949etald DDccd	
600.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Means, Corey	Corey Means, individually and as next friend and 15 guardian of Baby E.D.H., dell behalf of themselves and the behalf of themselves and the purdue Pharma L.P., en and 200 gy 255 http://www.com/gy/265 behalf of the selves and 200 gy 255 http://www.com/gy/265 http://wwww.com/gy/265 http://wwwww.com/gy/265 http://www.com/gy/265 htt	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45470 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				178	<b>宏定の定の7:5</b> -008:548ain日Xolobulin n Pg 256 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
601.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Meinecke, Kjellsi	Kjellsi Meinecke, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45493 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
602.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Moore, Bobbie Lou	individually and as nex $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ , $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ friend and guardian of minor of minor B B C, on beau of	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46305 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				179	themselves and all others similarly situated v. Puranel Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 257 of 275 Pg 257 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
603.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL	Muffley, Amanda	Amanda Muffley, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45507 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
604.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ortiz, Maria	Maria Ortiz, individually and as next friend and guality and as next friend and guality of Baby A.O., on behalf and themselves and all other series similarly situated v. Public and Pharma L.P., et al. and Q220/20720 258 of 275 Babin Examine Boy 258 of 275 Stanin Examine States of 275	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45492 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				180	072/02/072:072:05:008:568a in Extended in Pg 258 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
605.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	Third Party Payor	MDL	Painting Industry Insurance Fund	Painting Industry Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45793 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				19-90-822899-9etatid DDccd 715.7	
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker				DDccd 7151 eliminary	
606.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Patterson, Gena	Gena Patterson, individing and as next friend and guardian of Baby F.P.etion Purdue Pharma L.P., eon an BOX200/2001 Baby 74 junction Pg 250 Pg 250 Pg 250	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45534 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				181	20/2071:9:08:548ain EXendedulit Pg 259 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
607.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Paul, Chloe	Chloe Paul, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.R.P., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45467 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
608.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Perkins, Jessica	Jessica Perkins, individually and as next friend and 17 guardian of Babies P.A. and R.A. v. Purdue Pharmation and O.Z.0/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45535 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				182	073 <b>/270/12071:9:0</b> 8: <b>56</b> 8ain Etxabidavitr on Pg 260 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
609.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Peterson, Sally	Sally Peterson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.A.P., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45472 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
610.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Puckett, Heather	Heather Puckett, individually and as next friend and 5 guardian of Baby C.M. Purdue Pharma L.P., et an Bion an Bion an Bion bion Bion Bion Bion Bion Bion Bion Bion Bion Bion Bion Bion Bion	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45539 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				183	0/22/02/22/07:10:00:568a in Extended in n Pg 261 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
(11	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
611.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Rees, Deric; Rees, Ceonda	Deric Rees and Ceonda Rees, individually and as next friend and guardian of baby T.W.B. on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. McKesson Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45252 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
612.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Richardson, Waikeisha	Waikeisha Richardson, individually and as net friend and guardian of Balles E.M.1. and E.M.2. v. Parthe Pharma L.P., et al. On and GV200/EDite E000 2020/EDite Majuncti	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45538 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
613.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Riling, Andrew G.; Riling, Beverly	Andrew G. Riling and Beverly Riling, as next friends of A.P. Riling, B. S. Market Strandson, and S. S. P. Riling, B. S. P. Riling, B. S. S. P. S. P. S. P. S. P. S. P. S. S. P. S.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45056 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				184	Purdue Pharma L.P., en 12 2019 0f 275 275 5 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
614.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Roach, Tyler M.	Tyler M. Roach, natural Tutor on behalf of his minor child, Baby K.E.R., and as class representative for all Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome afflicted babies born in Louisiana v. McKesson Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45662 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
615.	Sackler FamilyThe Purdue FrederickCompany, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit ofMembers of the RaymondSackler Family	NAS	MDL	Rodriguez, Jessica	Jessica Rodriguez, Y III individually and as net II. friend and guardian of Bally M.A.P., on behalf of Ction themselves and all others similarly situated v. Production Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 267	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45463 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				185	<b>)%2/12:0/12:012:5:10</b> 8: <b>5:6</b> 8:in Etxabiduitr in Pg 263 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
616.	Related PartiesThe Purdue FrederickCompany, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit ofMembers of the RaymondSackler Family	NAS	MDL	Salmons, Walter; Salmons, Virginia	Walter and Virginia Salmons, individually and as the next friend or guardian of Minor W.D. and on behalf of all other similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45268 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
617.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Scully, Jenny	Jenny Scully, individual Land as next friend and guarding of Baby I.S. v. Purdue Plante L.P., et al. L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45544 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
618.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Shaffer, Jodi	as next friend and guardian of minor R.C., on behalf	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46302 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				186	of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
619.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	NAS	MDL	Shepard, Amy	Amy Shepard, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45536 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				19.99.822889.9etudd DDccd. Prelimina	
620.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Shewmake, Shilo	Shilo Shewmake, individually and as next friends and Strand guardians of Babies L.G A.S., and J.S., on behattisch themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purder Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 2	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45482 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				187	<b>3/20/207:9</b> 08: <b>5</b> &ainExaddain n Pg 265 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
621.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Shockley, Kayla	Kayla Shockley, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.G.L. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45527 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of				19-99-82369-9eladd DDccd. Prelimina	
	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				nina	
622.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Simonson, Alicia	Alicia Simonson, individually and as next friend and 1. G guardian of Baby M.Suno behalf of themselves and All others similarly situation Purdue Pharma L.P., et and 0.2007 Purdue Pharma L.P., et and 0.2007 Pg 260 Pg 260 260	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45479 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				188	2/220/22072:5-08:5568 in Extraction Pg 266 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
623.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Stewart, Wendy	Wendy Stewart, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby K.J.C., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45481 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
624.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Taylor, Jessica	Jessica Taylor, individually and as next friend and JJ. J.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45528 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				189	0/22/07/22/07:10:00:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
625.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	NAS	MDL	Taylor, Lori	Lori Taylor, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45529 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of				19.90-822-889-9-ehold DDood 74	
	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				nina	
626.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Thomas, Jennifer	Jennifer Thomas, individually and as next friend and In 55 guardian of Baby A.S.G. Purdue Pharma L.P., equip and and a superior and a superio	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45542 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				190	3/220/22072:5008:568ainExtendeduit Pg 268 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
527.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Tindall, Nichole	Nichole Tindall, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45530 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				19-9-82-889-9-ehold DDcod	
628.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Tuttle, Nicole	Nicole Tuttle, individually and as next friend and In To guardian of Baby A.T. Sold behalf of themselves and Bull others similarly situation Purdue Pharma L.P., et an BU 2007200720 Pg 262	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45476 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				191	<b>3/20/207:5</b> 08:548ain Exhibitin Pg 269 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
629.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL	Underwood, Taylor Brooke	Caroline VonCannon,	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45537 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
630.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	VonCannon, Caroline	friend and guardian of Balles C.W. and S.W. v. Purce Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Built Ball C.W. and S.W. v. Purce Pharma L.P., et al. Built Ball C.W. and S.W. v. Purce Pharma L.P., et al. Built Ball C.W. and S.W. v. Purce Pharma L.P., et al. Built Ball C.W. and S.W. v. Purce C.W. and C.W. and	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45540 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
631.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	W.E., by and through her guardian and next friend, Pamela Osborne	w.E., by and through merging guardian and next friend, P Pamela Osborne, on bergen of herself and all others sumerly	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46347 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				192	L.P., et al.	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
632.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Warren, Desirae	Desirae Warren, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45486 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
633.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Watson, Paula	Paula Watson, individual and as next friend and 17 guardian of Baby D.Murv Purdue Pharma L.P., ection and 0220/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45545 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				193	<b>1/3/220/201:5:0</b> 08: <b>56</b> ain <b>Exhibili</b> n Pg 271 of 275	

			a	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
( <b>a</b> :	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
634.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Weatherwax, Quincy	Quincy Weatherwax, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45483 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
635.	Sackler FamilyThe Purdue FrederickCompany, Inc.;Rhodes Technologies Inc.;Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;Jonathan D. Sackler;Mortimer D.A. Sackler;Kathe A. Sackler;Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;Beverly Sackler;Theresa Sackler;David A. Sackler;Trust for the Benefit ofMembers of the RaymondSackler Family	NAS	MDL	Whitley, Roxie; Denson, Chris; Denson, Diane; Holland, James; Holland, Teri	Roxie Whitley, individually and as next friend of Baby Z.B.D.; Chris and Diale Denson, individually and as next friends of Baby LODE; and James and Teri Holland, individually and as next friends of Babby A.C.B. behalf of themselves are behalf of themselves are others similarly situated Purdue Pharma L.P., chante Rection Pg 27.2	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45598 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				194	<b>13/20/2071:5</b> 08:548ain EXabiduitr n Pg 272 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	<b>Related Parties</b>	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
636.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Whittaker, Shelley	Shelley Whittaker, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies E.W., G.L.O., and N.S.G., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et a Preliminal	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45475 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
637.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Whittington, Katherine	Katherine Whittington, II individually and as net II friend and guardian of Partie S.W. and A.W. v. Purdet Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P. et al. Pg 272	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45541 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				195	0/32/20/12:0/12:0:08:568ainEXoloidouidr on Pg 273 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
638.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL	Williams, Farrah	Farrah Williams, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45485 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
639.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Wood, Rachel	Rachel Wood, Individually and as Next Friend and Grand as Next Friend and Grand of Minor O. Brither Guardian of Minor O. Brither Similarly Situated v. Portal Pharma L.P., et al. and Gy 20/20 Pharma L.P., et al. Provide the state of the sta	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45264 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				196	<b>/3/20/207:9</b> :008:508ain Extended	

Related Parties         Action Type         State         (Last, Érist)         Case Caption         Court/Case Number           640.         The Purdue Frederick         NAS         MDL         Wright, Naomi         Naomi Wright, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.W. v. Purdue Pharmacuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratorices, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Inene Sackler; Thereas Sackler; There					Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
640.     The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmacuticals Inc.; Rhodes Pharmacuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D. A. Sackler; Theres Sackler; David A. Sackler; David A. Sackler; David A. Sackler; Theres Sackler; David A. Sackler; David A. Sackler; David A. Sackler; David A. Sackler; David A. Sackler; Theres Sackler; David A. Sac		Related Parties	Action Type	State		Case Caption	Court/Case Number
641.       The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.       Class Action       MDL       Hanlon, Amanda; Gardner, Amy       Amanda Hanlon, et al 3 Purdue Pharma L.P., Gardner, Amy       6th Cir. 19-3398         001       an BQ/201/201/201/201/201/201/201/201/201/201	640.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				Naomi Wright, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45543 Master Case No. 17-md-
641.       The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.       Class Action       MDL       Hanlon, Amanda; Gardner, Amy       Amanda Hanlon, et al 3 Purdue Pharma L.P., Gardner, Amy       6th Cir. 19-3398         001       an BQ/201/201/201/201/201/201/201/201/201/201						A A	
Inc.     Manual random, Andaloa, Gardner, Amy     Manual random, et al.2 Purdue Pharma L.P., Sail Of anticol 402020 (Protocol 402020) Protocol 402020 (Protocol 402020) Prot			Class Action	MDI	Hanlon Amanda:	イロ Amanda Hanlon, et al ママ	6th Cir
HTHWOL403020/短ntel居ottle在ottleAd403020/20140163744)unction Pg 275 o	041.		Class Action			Purdue Pharma L.P., Ea	
197 f 275					197	9 <b>14-073/320/2501年時は1946/1140/3/320/207:9</b> :008:568ain田Xobidoin an間以2074410419/7141junction Pg 275 of 275	